Southern BC Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative



Integrated Strategic Plan for Southern BC Chinook

WORKING DRAFT (Version 9.4)

December 2018

Southern BC Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative (CSPI): DRAFT Integrated Strategic Plan (V9.4)

Developed by: The CSPI Steering and Planning Committee and the CSPI Technical Working Group

Prepared by: ESSA Technologies, Ltd and The CSPI Technical Working Group, on behalf of the CSPI Steering and Planning Committee

Funding support provided through: the Pacific Integrated Commercial Fisheries Initiative (PICFI) and the Aboriginal Aquatic Resource and Oceans Management Program (AAROM)

Additional in-kind support and coordination provided by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) and the Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat (FRAFS)

** DRAFT VERSION ** DO NOT CITE **

IMPORTANT NOTES ABOUT THE CURRENT VERSION:

This version integrates the feedback received from the SPC in November 2018 on the final draft from the 2017/2018 phase of work on the Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative.

Participants and Contributors

Steering and Planning Committee – Current Members

DFO	First Nations	Other Participants
Jeff Grout (co-chair)	Ken Malloway (co-chair)	Gerry Kristianson (SFAB)
John Holmes	Gord Sterritt	Peter Sakich (CSAB)
Ryan Galbraith	Howie Wright	Greg Taylor (MCC)
Kendra Holt (TWG co-chair)	Tony Roberts Jr.	Misty MacDuffee (MCC, alternate)
	Andy Olsen	lan Bruce (SEHAB)
	Mike Staley (TWG co-chair)	
	Pat Matthew (alternate)	
	Cheri Ayers (alternate)	
	Lyle Billy (alternate)	
Observers: Jeffrey Lemieux (DFO)		

Technical Working Group – Current Members

DFO	First Nations	Other Participants
Kendra Holt, Science (TWG c-chair)	Mike Staley (TWG co-chair)	Andy Rosenberger (MCC)
Gayle Brown, Science	Cheri Ayers	Misty MacDuffee (MCC)
Richard Bailey, BC Interior	Kelsey Campbell	Rupert Gale (SFAB)
Steve Baillie, South Coast	Elinor McGrath	Bob Bocking (LGL)
Chuck Parken, BC Interior	Sabrina Crowley	Jeremy Maynard (SFAB)
Wilf Luedke, South Coast	Wanli Ou	Trevor Davies (Province of BC)
Jason Mahoney, SEP	Pat Matthew	
David Willis, SÉP	Pete Nicklin	
Marla Maxwell, RM	Teresa Ryan	
Chrys Neville, Science	Michelle Walsh	
Marc Trudel, Science	Penny White	
Mark Saunders, Science	Aaron Gillespie	
Jamie Scroggie, RM	Katie Beach	
Arlene Tompkins, Science	Kim Charlie	
Mike Hawkshaw, Lower Fraser	Janvier Doire	
Mary Thiess, Science		

Project Lead

ESSA Technologies	
David Marmorek	
Alex Hall	

Past members, participants and/or contributors

Aimee Arsenault (FNFC)	Erland MacIsaac (DFO)	Marc Nelitz (ESSA)
Aleria Ladwig (DFO)	Gisele Magnusson (DFO)	Mark Saunders (DFO)
Antonio Velez-Espino (DFO)	Gottfried Pestal (SOLV Consulting)	Mary Thiess (DFO)
Bernette Laliberte (IMAWG)	Jennifer Nener (DFO)	Patrick Mahaux (DFO)
Carrie Holt (DFO)	Kaarina McGivney (DFO)	Ruth Withler (DFO)
Craig Orr (MCC)	Karl English (LGL)	Sarah Hawkshaw (UBC)
Deana Machin (FNFC)	Louise de Mestral Bezanson (DFO)	Zo Ann Morten (SÈHAB)
Eric Angel (SFU)		

Contents

Pa Co Ta Ta Li Gl	Participants and Contributorsiii Contentsiv Table of Figuresv Table of Tablesv List of Acronyms and Abbreviationsvi Glossary of Termsvii		
Pr	imary S	trategic Goal	1
0	/erview	of Document	2
1	Intro	duction	3
	1.1	Background and Context	4
	1.2	About the Plan	9
2	State	us and Trends	14
	2.1	Introduction	. 14
	2.2	Units of Assessment: Conservation Units versus Designatable Units	. 15
	2.3	The role of enhancement in status assessments	. 15
	2.4	Data sources for status assessments	. 15
	2.5	Results	. 16
3	Thre	ats and Knowledge Gaps	19
	3.1	Limiting Factors and Threats to Southern BC Chinook Salmon	. 19
	3.2	Knowledge Gaps, Limitations and Data Availability	. 33
4	Obje	ctives	40
5	Strat	tegies	47
	5.1	Scope and Context	.47
	5.2	Integrated Strategies to Address Threats, Knowledge Gaps and Objectives	. 48
	5.3	Summary of Strategies in an Adaptive Management Framework	.61
6	Plan	Implementation	64
	6.1	Process of Implementing the Strategic Plan	. 64
	6.2	Draft Timeline and Major Plan Elements for the next 20 years	.66
	6.3	Draft Timeline and Tasks for the next 5 years	. 67
7	Perf	ormance Review	77
8	Refe	rences	78

Appendices:

Appendix A.	The complexity of interacting spatial scales	I
Appendix B.	Detailed Threats and Knowledge Gaps Tables	II
Appendix C.	Examples of CU-specific Identification of Threats	VIII
Appendix D.	CU Summary Table	XVI
Appendix E.	Assessment Summary for Southern BC Chinook	XVII

Table of Figures

Figure 1.	The goals and objectives of the strategic plan are nested within the goals and objectives of
	the CSPI and the Wild Salmon Policy (WSP)10
Figure 2.	Multiple scales of interest with respect to southern BC Chinook salmon populations12
Figure 3.	Three-generation trends in spawner abundance for southern BC Chinook conservation units,
	differentiated by ocean and stream juvenile life history types
Figure 4.	Conceptual illustration of the cumulative effects of different stressors affecting Chinook
	salmon
Figure 5.	Summary of the CSPI strategy in the form of an adaptive management (AM) cycle63

Table of Tables

Table 1.	Goals and objectives associated with Wild Salmon Policy and the Chinook Strategic Planning
	Initiative11
Table 2.	Threats to southern BC Chinook salmon20
Table 3.	Primary threats to estuarine habitat27
Table 4.	Knowledge gaps and uncertainties related to our understanding of southern BC Chinook
	salmon and the consequences of management actions and other numan influences
Table 5.	Hierarchy of objectives, indicators and performance measures proposed for the CSPI41
Table 6.	High-level summary of the strategies. Each strategy is stated in its simplest (see main text
	for further details)
Table 7.	Examples of Organizations, Processes, Regulations and Plans by which the draft Chinook
	strategy could be implemented and integrated65
Table 8.	Draft proposed timeline for implementation of Southern BC Chinook Strategic Plan over the
	next 20 years
Table 9.	Draft implementation plan and timelines for the next 5 years70
Table 10.	Examples of programs that can potentially support actions under various strategies, listed in
	alphabetical order74

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronyms and abbreviations used in the main text of the document. Acronyms and abbreviations that appear exclusively within tables where they have been defined or within the appendices have not been included in this list.

ATK	aboriginal traditional knowledge	MSY	maximum sustainable yield
AABM	aggregate abundance-based management	NGO	Non-governmental organizations
BC EAO	BC Environmental Assessment Office	PBS	Pacific Biological Station
BCI	BC Interior Area	PDO	Pacific Decadal Oscillation
CE	cumulative effects	PHOS	proportion of hatchery-origin spawners
CEA	cumulative effects assessment	PNI	proportionate natural influence
CEAA	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency	PSC	Pacific Salmon Commission
COSEWIC	Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife Species in Canada	PSF	Pacific Salmon Foundation
CPUE	catch per unit effort	PST	Pacific Salmon Treaty
CSAB	Commercial Salmon Advisory Board	R&M	research and monitoring
CSAS	Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat	RFCPP	Recreational Fisheries Conservation Partnerships Program (DFO)
CSPI	Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative	R _{max}	maximum recruits
CTC	Chinook Technical Committee	S-R	spawner-recruit
CU	Conservation Unit	SARA	Species at Risk Act
CWT	coded wire tag	SBC	southern BC
DFO	Fisheries and Oceans Canada	SCA	South Coast Area
DGM	Data Generation Model	SEAK	Southeast Alaska
DO	dissolved oxygen	SEHAB	Salmon Enhancement and Habitat Advisory Board
EA	Environmental Assessment	SEP	Salmon Enhancement Program (DFO)
ER	exploitation rate	SFAB	Sport Fishing Advisory Board
ESU	Evolutionary Significant Units	SFU	Simon Fraser University
FHRI	Fish Habitat Restoration Program (DFO)	S _{max}	maximum spawners
FN	First Nations	SMSY	spawner required to produce MSY
FNFC	First Nations Fisheries Council	SoG	Strait of Georgia
FPP	Fisheries Protection Program (DFO)	SPC	Steering and Planning Committee
FRAFS	Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat	Srep	spawners required for replacement
FSC	Food, Social and Ceremonial	SRKW	Southern Resident Killer Whales
FW	freshwater	TAC	total allowable catch
HHAT	Hatchery-Harvest Analysis Tool	TFM	total fishing mortality
IFMP	Integrated Fisheries Management Plan	TSS	total suspended sediments
ISBM	individual stock-based management	TWG	Technical Working Group
LFA	Lower Fraser Area	WCVI	West Coast Vancouver Island
M&E	monitoring and evaluation	WSP	Wild Salmon Policy
MCC	Marine Conservation Caucus	Umsy/ µmsy	exploitation rate at MSY
MSE	management strategy evaluation		

Glossary of Terms

Term	Definition	Source
Aboriginal	Includes, but is not limited to, the knowledge Aboriginal peoples have accumulated	WSP (DFO 2005)
Traditional	about wildlife species and their environment. Much of this knowledge has	
Knowledge	accumulated over many generations. Also referred to as Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK)	
(ATK) Adaptive	Adaptive management is a decision process that promotes flexible decision	Missouri River
Management	making that can be adjusted in the face of uncertainties as outcomes from	Adaptive
5	management actions and other events become better understood. Careful	Management Plan
	monitoring of these outcomes advances scientific understanding and helps adjust	(Fischenich et al
•	policies or operations as part of an iterative learning process.	2016)
Aggregate	An AABM fishery is an abundance-based regime that constrains catch or total	Pacific Salmon Treaty
Rased	monality to a numerical limit computed from which a harvest rate index can be calculated	
Management	expressed as a proportion of the 1979 to 1982 base period.	
(AABM)		
Biological	Science-based biological reference points for categorizing the status of a	Based on Holt and
benchmarks	population or stock. Under the WSP, for each CU, higher and lower benchmarks	Irvine (2013), WSP
	will be defined that will delimit three status zones: Green, Amber and Red. As	(DFO 2005), and
	described in the WSP integrated status assessment:	WSP status
	"Four classes of indicators have been recommended to evaluate WSP status of wild Pacific	2016h)
	salmon: abundance, trends in abundance, distribution, and fishing mortality (Holt et al.	201007
	2009). Within each class of indicator, one or more metrics can be used for status	
	Red to Amber and Amber to Green status zones, respectively (Table 1) These biological	
	benchmarks are specifically used for status assessments, and are not prescriptive for	
	specific management actions. They are also designed to be more conservative than the	
	criteria established by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) as required by the WSP "	
Bycatch	Incidental or unintentional catch of non-target stocks or species.	DFO Glossary (DFO
		2013d)
Carrying	The maximum population of a species that an area or specific ecosystem can	NOAA Fisheries
capacity	support indefinitely without deterioration of the character and quality of the	Glossary (NOAA
Catch reporting	resource. Providing information in written or electronic form, on the catch and other essential	2000) M&C Papel (2017)
Catch reporting	details related to the fishing activity such as location and gear type	
Coded wire tag	A small metal tag inserted into the nose of a juvenile salmon (usually hatchery	DFO Glossary (DFO
5	stock) prior to release or migration to the ocean. The tag has encoded information	2013d)
	that indicates the origin and year of release of the fish.	
Conservation	A group of wild salmon sufficiently isolated from other groups that, if extirpated, is	WSP (DFO 2005)
Unit (CU)	very unlikely to recolonize naturally within an acceptable timetrame.	CCAC (Detterson et
Depredation	Fish that die as a result of predators directly removing fish from fishing gear during the capture process: this does not include the predation of released fish	al 2017)
Designatable	Assessment unit used by COSEWIC: species, subspecies, variety, or	COSEWIC Glossary
Units	geographically or genetically distinct population that may be assessed by	(COSEWIC 2017)
	COSEWIC, where such units are both discrete and evolutionarily significant	. ,
Drop-off	Combined mortality of avoidance, escape, depredation, and drop-out mortalities	CSAS (Patterson et
mortality	(i.e., mortality of all fish that encounter gear but do not make it on-board). Also	al. 2017)
Escanomont	The number of calmon returning to the spowning grounds. In the absonce of other	DEO Clossony (DEO
Locapement	sources of mortality the total run-size to a system is the total catch plus the total	2013d)
	escapement.	201001

Exploitation rate	Expressed as a percentage, the proportion of the total return of adult salmon in a given year that die as a result of fishing activity.	DFO Glossary (DFO 2013d)
Fisheries monitoring	The observation and examination of the catching and landing of fish (both targeted and by-catch species and stocks) and related activities, such as counting of vessels and gear and biological sampling.	M&C Panel (2017)
Fishery reference points	Science-based reference points that combine biological information and with socioeconomic considerations (e.g., including harvest objectives) in a consultation process. Fishery reference points may be associated with prescribed management actions or restrictions. Fishery reference points are also referred to as management reference points.	Based on Holt and Irvine (2013)
Fishing related incidental mortality (FRIM)	All mortality associated with fishing activities, beyond the mortality accounted for in retained catch. FRIM includes estimates of mortality rates for fish that encounter fishing gear but are not captured (e.g. escape mortality), that are dead upon or die during capture (e.g. on-board mortality), or that die after release (e.g. post-release mortality). As defined by Patterson et al (2017), there are seven components of FRIM (avoidance, escape, drop-out, depredation, on-board, short-term post-release, and delayed post-release).	CSAS (Patterson et al. 2017)
Incidental catch	Catch of non-target species. Also often called bycatch.	CSAS (Patterson et al. 2017)
Indicator / index stock	A spawning population of fish that is monitored as representative of other populations of the same species in a proximal geographic area or habitat.	Pacific Fisheries Resource Conservation Council (2009)
Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM)	An ISBM fishery is an abundance-based regime that constrains to a numerical limit the total catch or the total adult equivalent mortality rate within the fisheries of a jurisdiction for a naturally spawning Chinook salmon stock or stock group. Under the PST, ISBM management regimes apply to all Chinook salmon fisheries subject to the Treaty that are not AABM fisheries.	Pacific Salmon Treaty
Local ecological knowledge	LEK is similar to TEK in that it is tied to place (e.g., specific hunting or fishing grounds) and is knowledge acquired through experience and observation. It can be acquired over a single lifetime or over many generations. LEK differs from TEK in that it does not require an ancient or even a multi-generational accumulation of knowledge, it does not require that the population be indigenous, and it does not require embedding in a broader shared culture. In other words, an individual can accumulate LEK over the course of one lifetime interacting with a local environment.	NOAA Fisheries Local Fisheries Knowledge Project (2007)
Maximum sustainable yield (MSY)	The largest catch (yield) that can be taken on average from a population under existing environmental conditions. Catch will vary annually due to variation in a population's survival rate.	DFO Glossary (DFO 2013d)
Pre-spawn mortality	Pre-spawn mortality is defined as females that have arrived on spawning grounds but die with most of their eggs retained in their body	Cohen Commission Tech Report #6 (Marmorek et al. 2011)
Proportion of Hatchery-Origin Spawners (PHOS)	The proportion of hatchery-origin spawners in the natural habitat.	CSAS (DFO 2018b)
Proportionate Natural Influence (PNI)	An index of the relative degreed of hatchery influence based on a genetic model that assumes that the optimal phenotype (and fitness) of a salmon differs between the hatchery and the natural environment.	CSAS (DFO 2018b)
Recruitment	The process whereby young fish are added to an adult population.	DFO Glossary (DFO 2013d)
Release mortality	Mortality of fish captured but not retained, includes immediate (i.e., on-board) mortality of fish that are not retained, along with short-term post-release mortality and delayed post-release mortality.	CSAS (Patterson et al. 2017)

S _{max}	Spawner abundance that maximizes recruits	CSAS (Pestal and
		Johnston 2015)
Smsy	Escapement producing MSY	PSC Joint Chinook
		Technical Committee
		(2017)
Srep	Spawner abundances at replacement (i.e., replacement point on the spawner-	CSAS (DFO 2016c,
	recruit curve)	DFO 2018a)
Total allowable	The amount of catch that may be taken from a stock determined by analytical	DFO Glossary (DFO
catch (TAC)	procedures to achieve management objectives.	2013d)
Total fishing	Retained catch plus all components of fishing-related incidental mortality.	CSAS (Patterson et
mortality (TFM)		al. 2017)
Total mortality	1. A measurement of the rate of removal of fish from a population by both fishing	NOAA Fisheries
-	and natural causes. Total mortality can be reported as either annual or	Glossary (NOAA
	instantaneous. Annual mortality is the percentage of fish dying in 1 year.	2006)
	Instantaneous mortality is that percentage of fish dying at any one time.	
	2. The sum of natural (M) and fishing (F) mortality rates.	
U _{msy}	Exploitation rate at MSY	PSC Joint Chinook
-		Technical Committee
		(2017)
Upstream	Mortality during the upstream migration, prior to returning to the spawning grounds	Modified from Cohen
mortality		Commission
		Technical Report #6
		(Marmorek et al.
		2011)
Weak stock	An approach to protect the weakest sub-aggregate of stocks in ocean mixed-stock	WDFW (PSIT and
management	fisheries. [WDFW]	WDFW 2003)

Primary Strategic Goal

Problem Statement

The poor status of many Chinook salmon populations in southern British Columbia is a matter of great concern. In some cases, stocks have been declining since the 1990s despite actions taken to reduce harvest levels. This is a broad pattern, affecting stocks in a range of geographic areas including the Fraser River, the Strait of Georgia and the West Coast of Vancouver Island. These populations are facing a number of potential challenges, including:

- depressed and/or declining spawner abundance;
- reduced and variable freshwater and marine survival rates;
- high uncertainty about future production;
- pressures on freshwater habitat;
- total mortalities associated with harvest;
- increased predation; and
- ecosystem effects from climate changes

There are some notable exceptions, as some populations have continued to be highly productive and/or increased substantially during this period, though the predominant pattern across Conservation Units has been one of declining abundance. The decline of many southern BC Chinook populations is a significant concern for First Nations, the Canadian public, commercial and recreational fishers, and conservation groups and the impacts of declining Chinook abundance are far reaching. While the status of Chinook is a conservation concern at the level of Conservation Units, local Chinook populations are of great importance to First Nations for access to food, social and ceremonial harvest opportunities, which have been negatively affected. Harvest restrictions and closures have been implemented in the commercial and recreational fisheries, with resulting economic and social impacts.

Furthermore, the current state of knowledge is highly variable across southern BC Chinook populations, some of which have excellent information and data but many have considerable gaps in knowledge. There is a critical need to increase learning and to strengthen the knowledge base. Strategies should be deliberately structured to reduce critical uncertainties affecting management decisions, so as to adaptively improve management and conservation actions over time.

Goal Statement

To restore and maintain the abundance, distribution and diversity of southern BC Chinook salmon for all that rely on them.

Overview of Document

The Integrated Strategic Plan is organized into seven sections:

- <u>Section 1</u> introduces the document, including the broader context for the plan and the scope of the current plan;
- <u>Section 2</u> summarizes the current state of knowledge about the status and trends of southern BC Chinook;
- <u>Section 3</u> describes major threats that may be contributing to the observed trends in southern BC Chinook, as well as major gaps in our knowledge about the trends, the threats, and potential management actions;
- <u>Section 4</u> outlines an objectives hierarchy, with objectives for the Strategic Plan and potential indicators and performance measures;
- <u>Section 5</u> identifies a comprehensive set of strategies to address the objectives, threats and knowledge gaps;
- <u>Section 6</u> provides a preliminary foundation for the implementation of the Strategic Plan; and
- <u>Section 7</u> briefly introduces the need for reviewing the performance of the overall plan over time.

If you have limited time, we recommend you read the Strategies (Section 5), preferably after reviewing Section 1 to provide some overall context. Then use this overview to help guide which additional sections to focus on, depending on your interests and needs.

1 Introduction

"Chinook have always been the most important salmon for our people. First Nations prefer the red Chinook over other species because it is so fat and so rich. Early-timed Chinook are especially important to our people. Every year we'd send our best fisherman out to catch an early timed Chinook. It would be shared amongst the people. The bones were returned to the river and the salmon people were thanked. This is the same ceremony referred to in Sparrow. Most First Nations have the same ceremony.

Spring Chinook have always been a vital part of our lives, but we would also fish in the winter too. Our calendar, like many other First Nations, has thirteen months based on the cycles of the moon and eight of those months have something to do with salmon. For example, there is a month when you need to put your paddles away, because it is the time for salmon to spawn. Our elders would never allow us to wander around the spawning grounds when the salmon are spawning. In the old days, we had people who were chiefs of different resources and activities, such as the fishing chief and the hunting chief. We had a fish forecaster who would predict the returns of the salmon. Sometimes the forecast was good and he'd say, "get ready to fish"; sometimes the forecast was bad and he'd say, "better start hunting because there won't be many fish this year". Conserving and respecting the salmon, especially Chinook, was always important because they are such a central part of our lives.

Chinook are also the favourite salmon for bears, orcas, seals, and sea lions. Bears were considered part of our family, and we have all kinds of stories that involve bears and Chinook. When I was fishing on the river once, I saw a grizzly bear drag my brother's net up onto the beach and he ate all eight red Chinook and ate all the brains out of every sockeye in the same net but then left the rest of their bodies. The grizzlies prefer Chinook and the same is true for orcas. One time years ago, I attended a US presentation to the Fraser Panel about Orcas and Chinook. They concluded that Chinook are the favourite fish of orcas. But they could have asked the First Nations who already knew that orcas prefer Chinook over all other salmon. Seals and sea lions also prefer Chinook over all other salmon. Once while fishing near Yale, when the water was very clear, I saw a seal by the nets who ate the Chinook first, then the steelhead, then the eggs of chum, then left the others (sockeye, coho, male chums).

The declines in Chinook have been very hard on our people with the closures in the Chinook fishery. In 1995, I caught 13 springs with one set for a first salmon ceremony. But there were a lot more fish then than now. We used to be able to fish for just a couple months and feed ourselves for the whole year, as well as feed our relations from other places. That's no longer possible. Today we get very little fishing time – we are counting our fishing time in hours now, not days. Now we are thankful for any opportunity at all to get out fishing. Sometimes there are limited opportunities in June but often not until July. So now the first salmon ceremony is not until June or July.

A lot of our folks are very dependent on early run Chinook, but today there are limited opportunities to catch them. It's getting to be quite difficult for our people. It's difficult to have to fish for folks up at Williams Lake who are no longer able to fish for themselves, and to not be able to help them because of so few fish down here. When there was death in the family, we needed fish for ceremonial purposes, for hundreds of people, but only got a license to catch five fish – for hundreds of people. For over 20 years I've been catching fish for the elders gathering. They always ask for Chinook, usually 100-150 fish, to feed 5,000 elders. Every year it's difficult get permission to harvest that many fish and sometimes we only get the license to catch less than one hundred fish.

I'm glad this committee has been working on Chinook because they are such an important part of our life."

~ Grand Chief Ken Malloway

1.1 Background and Context

1.1.1 Chinook Situation

Chinook salmon are very important to British Columbia -- ecologically, economically, and socially. Unfortunately, many Chinook salmon stocks in southern BC have shown decreases in abundance, repeatedly low escapements, and/or declines in fishery catches, especially over the last fifteen years. Although a small number of stocks have continued to be highly productive and/or increased substantially during this period, the dominant pattern has been one of declines. The breadth and magnitude of these recent declines have caused broad concern among First Nations and fisheries managers as well as commercial and recreational harvesters and other groups. These concerns drive interest in implementing strategies both to halt and reverse the declines in poor-performing stocks as well as to avoid future declines in well-performing stocks. The decisions required to reverse recent trends will likely have serious consequences for those who rely on Chinook salmon returns each year to meet their social, cultural and economic needs. However, there may potentially be even more serious consequences if the necessary actions are not taken. These decisions are complex, difficult and will involve tradeoffs, but the recovery of depressed stocks and the maintenance of strong stocks for southern BC Chinook could have numerous benefits for First Nations, commercial and recreational fishers, and the people of British Columbia.

Despite the implementation of recent measures by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) to reduce exploitation rates, improvements to the status of many southern BC Chinook stocks have been mixed or uncertain. The underlying causes of the poor performance of some Chinook conservation units (CUs) are unknown. However, if poor survival rates continue to persist, then it is unlikely that these initial harvest reductions alone will be sufficient for the purposes of rebuilding southern BC Chinook stocks. A more successful response will require a long-term, strategic approach. To address this challenge, the Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat (FRAFS), DFO and other participants have collaborated on a strategic planning process to develop a long-term plan that is consistent with the Wild Salmon Policy. This process needs to account for the biological status of southern Chinook conservation units, their habitat and the broader ecosystem, while reflecting the diverse interests of First Nations and stakeholder groups.

There are six central challenges to the problem of assessing the southern BC Chinook situation and determining appropriate management responses. First, southern BC Chinook are not only caught in coastal BC fisheries, but also in Washington State and Alaska, thereby involving international management requirements under the Pacific Salmon Treaty. Second, there is insufficient understanding of harvest impacts in BC, including the total mortalities associated with catch and release fisheries. Third, as highlighted in the 2013 science workshop on southern BC Chinook, substantial scientific uncertainties exist in our fundamental understanding of the recent patterns exhibited by these stocks and the underlying processes that may be contributing to those patterns. Fourth, the interaction between Chinook and the influence and interests of humans occurs across a wide range of spatial scales (see Figure 2 and Appendix A). Individual fisheries may harvest fish from numerous CUs with similar ocean distribution patterns, common migratory habitat may be shared by multiple CUs, yet freshwater stressors or recovery actions may apply only within individual watersheds or stream reaches, thus not even applying to an entire CU. Local Chinook populations, at smaller scales than CUs, are of great importance to First Nations. Fifth, until recently there were no modeling tools available for simulating management actions over many stocks, fisheries and years and evaluating the long-term consequences of management actions on wild and enhanced Chinook populations. While this situation has recently improved, much more work is required to develop, apply and test these models. Finally, there are many

social and economic complexities in finding strategies which can accommodate First Nations Rights, the desire of the Canadian public for health and diverse Chinook populations, and the many different interests across a broad group of stakeholders over a large and diverse region.

Overall, the challenge for the CSPI is to apply existing knowledge and tools to find the most effective, acceptable strategies to recovering and protecting Chinook stocks in southern BC, while recognizing the reality of substantial (and possibly increasing) constraints on the available resources (e.g., people, funding, capital) for implementing such strategies. Given substantial knowledge gaps, likely future changes in climate and the productivity of ecosystems, uncertainties in the consequences of various management actions, and complex dynamics of the entire socio-ecological system, the strategies provided by the Strategic Plan must recognize and promote the need for learning, adaptation and precaution.

1.1.2 Southern BC Chinook Salmon

Unique characteristics that distinguish Chinook salmon from other species of Pacific salmon include their large size at maturation and the wide range of strategies exhibited at all stages of their life cycle (including freshwater and marine migration timing behaviours, marine distribution patterns and morphological characteristics).

In freshwater, Chinook salmon spawning habitats can be found anywhere up to more than 1,500 km upstream from their ocean entry point (Healey 1991). Freshwater rearing strategies of juvenile Chinook salmon fall into two broad categories: those that migrate to sea in their first year of life, commonly referred to as "ocean-types", and those that migrate to sea after spending one or more years in freshwater, known as "stream-types". Within the two major juvenile life history types, there are wide variations in the duration of freshwater residence. For ocean-types, some migrate to the estuarine environment immediately upon emerging while others reside in freshwater well in excess of 100 days. Conversely, stream-types rear in freshwater for at least a year and rearing may occur throughout the river system from headwater tributaries downstream to the lower extent of large river systems. Multiple strategies may influence the distribution of stream-type fry and parr annually (R. Bailey, unpublished data report; Bradford and Taylor 1997). Smolting of stream-type Chinook salmon typically occurs after one year of freshwater rearing; however, in less productive environments, juveniles may remain resident for two or even three years before migration to the marine environment. The timing of emergence and subsequent length of freshwater residence then determines the seasonal timing of entry into the marine environment. Post-smoltification residence times in estuarine environments are also thought to vary from a few weeks to several months, depending on the population in question.

Between the Asian and north American-origin stocks, the oceanic distributions of Chinook salmon encompass much of the North Pacific Ocean and into the Bering Sea, and even parts of the Arctic Ocean (Healey 1991). Early marine and subsequent ocean distributions also vary considerably among populations. Estuarine residence is particularly important for ocean-types (Quinn 2005) and many studies have documented estuarine use and behaviour (e.g., Healey 1980; Sibert and Kask 1978), whereas others may begin their marine migration almost immediately upon entry into the ocean. Early marine and seasonal migrations have been investigated by Hartt and Dell (1986), Trudel et al. (2009), Beamish et al. (2010, 2012) and Tucker et al. (2011).

Several marine migration strategies are also exhibited by Chinook salmon. Marine distributions (derived from fishery encounters with coded wire tagged fish) have been examined by various authors including Sharma (2009) and Weitkamp (2010). In general, Chinook salmon either reside on the continental shelf or migrate offshore into the open waters of the North Pacific Ocean. In general, but not exclusively, stream-types are thought to rear off the continental shelf, whereas ocean-types are "shelf-residents",

remaining on the continental shelf for the duration of their ocean residence. Within those that are shelfresident, some stocks migrate north to the Gulf of Alaska, whereas others appear to remain proximate to their point of ocean entry.

In summary, Chinook salmon have evolved a wide set of behavioural adaptations and variations in their life histories that likely spread the risk of mortality across time and space (Healey 1991), and in occupying widely varying habitats, may experience more or less favourable conditions due to the influences exerted by environmental fluctuations, both seasonally and annually.

1.1.3 Constitutional, Legal and Policy Context

Constitutional Rights

The Constitutional responsibilities to First Nations of the Crown as administered by the Governments of Canada and British Columbia are based in part on the Royal Proclamation of 1763 and the Constitution Act of 1982. As stated in the Wild Salmon Policy:

"Existing Aboriginal and treaty rights are recognized and affirmed in section 35 of the Constitution Act, 1982. In its 1990 decision in R. v. Sparrow, the Supreme Court of Canada held that the recognition and affirmation of existing Aboriginal rights in the Constitution Act, 1982 means that any infringement of such rights must be justified. As described in more detail in Appendix 1, DFO seeks to manage fisheries in a manner consistent with the decision of the Supreme Court of Canada in R. v. Sparrow and subsequent court decisions." (DFO 2005, p. 2)

The interpretation and implementation of the Aboriginal Right to fish has evolved and continues to evolve through time. The Southern BC Chinook Strategic Plan recognizes that Aboriginal Rights are involved in all aspects of this plan: harvest, habitat and enhancement (including hatcheries). The implementation of the priority is still evolving and there are significant aspects that are not fully understood.

The Wild Pacific Salmon Policy

Canada's Policy for Conservation of Wild Pacific Salmon (also known as the Wild Salmon Policy or WSP) was originally released in 2005 with the primary goal of restoring and maintaining healthy and diverse salmon populations and their habitats for the benefit and enjoyment of the people of Canada in perpetuity. Since then, implementation of the WSP has been approached through an incremental 6-strategy plan, as laid out in the Policy itself. The strategies are:

Strategy 1: Standardized monitoring of wild salmon status Strategy 2: Assessment of habitat status Strategy 3: Inclusion of ecosystem values and monitoring Strategy 4: Integrated strategic planning Strategy 5: Annual program delivery Strategy 6: Performance review

The Policy and additional details about it can be found at: <u>http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/fm-gp/species-especes/salmon-saumon/wsp-pss/index-eng.html</u>

With respect to the southern BC Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative, the WSP has provided overarching guidance on the unit of assessment (Conservation Units), an approach (through work conducted under

Strategy 1) for providing a standardized and objective assessment of status¹, and high-level guidance on integrated strategic planning (Strategy 4).

The Strategic Plan also needs to be consistent with the more broadly encompassing national guidelines for rebuilding fish stocks². The rebuilding guidelines provide comprehensive guidance, broadly applicable to any species of fish under management, for developing rebuilding plans in order grow stocks out of the Critical Zone. The Rebuilding Guidelines reaffirm that, as stated in the overarching Precautionary Approach Framework, the Wild Salmon Policy "applies as the guiding document for adopting the Precautionary Approach to decisions on the management of Pacific Salmon stocks." The Rebuilding Guidelines document acknowledges that "the required components of an integrated strategic plan [under the Wild Salmon Policy] for conserving and restoring salmon are similar to those outlined in the rebuilding plan guidelines for the PA Framework" and affirms that "while the Wild Salmon Policy will continue to be the primary directive for the maintenance and restoration of Pacific Salmon CUs, where it is determined that conservation units require rebuilding, DFO will consider additional guidance from the PA Framework rebuilding plan guidelines for inclusion in an integrated strategic plan."

Species at Risk Act (SARA)

The Species at Risk Act (SARA) was enacted in 2003 "to prevent wildlife species from being extirpated or becoming extinct, and to provide for the recovery of a wildlife species that are extirpated, endangered or threatened as a result of human activity and to manage species of special concern to prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened".

If a species is listed under SARA, it is illegal to kill, harm, harass, capture, take, possess, collect, buy, sell or trade any listed endangered or threatened animal or any part or derivative of an individual. These prohibitions apply unless a person is authorized, by a permit, licence or other similar document issued in accordance with SARA, to engage in an activity affecting the listed species or the residences of its individuals. These prohibitions do not apply to species listed as being of "special concern".

Endangered, threatened, and special concern marine species in Pacific region currently listed under SARA can be found at: <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/listing-eng.htm</u>

Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife Species in Canada (COSEWIC)

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) was formed in 1977 to provide Canadians with a single, scientifically sound classification of wildlife species at risk of extinction. COSEWIC began its assessments in 1978 and has met each year since then to assess wildlife species.

COSEWIC serves as an independent body of experts responsible for identifying and assessing wildlife species considered "at risk" and is considered to be the first step towards protecting them. Wildlife species that have been designated as threatened or endangered by COSEWIC may then qualify for legal protection and recovery under SARA. This includes development of a recovery potential assessment, increased monitoring and status re-assessments at regular intervals.

To search the full list of species identified and assessed by COSEWIC, see: http://www.cosewic.gc.ca/eng/sct1/searchform_e.cfm

¹ The WSP status assessment for southern BC Chinook was completed in 2014 and is currently in revision (Brown et al. 2016c)

² Guidance for the Development of Rebuilding Plans under the Precautionary Approach Framework: Growing Stocks out of the Critical Zone (DFO 2013b)

To date, DFO has undertaken two pre-COSEWIC data reports to describe and summarize data available to inform a COSEWIC assessment of southern BC Chinook salmon (currently in revision³) and COSEWIC has contracted a status assessment of southern BC Chinook salmon ⁴.

International Agreements

At the international scale, bilateral (i.e., Canada and the United States) management issues pertaining to southern BC Chinook are addressed within the Pacific Salmon Treaty. Interception of Pacific Salmon bound for rivers of one country in fisheries of the other has been the subject of discussion between the Governments of Canada and the United States of America since the early part of the last century. In 1985, after many years of negotiation, the Pacific Salmon Treaty was signed, setting long-term goals for the benefit of the salmon and the two countries. The Pacific Salmon Treaty is implemented by the Pacific Salmon Commission, as formed by the governments of Canada and the United States. As directed by the Treaty, the bi-lateral Chinook Technical Committee (CTC) reports annual catch and escapement data, harvest rate indices, estimates of incidental mortality and exploitation rates for all Chinook salmon fisheries and stocks harvested within the Treaty area. The CTC provides annual reports to the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC) to fulfill this obligation as agreed by Canada and the US under Chapter 3 of the Treaty (for example, CTC 2015a, 2015b, 2015c).

1.1.4 The Southern BC Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative (CSPI)

The Strategic Plan is nested under the auspices of the Southern BC Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative (CSPI), a bilateral planning process led by First Nations and DFO, with collaboration from multiple interest groups. A DFO/First Nations bilateral steering committee oversees the overall process. The Steering and Planning Committee (SPC) is responsible for the governance of the CSPI process, as per the Terms of Reference, and providing guidance for the development of the Strategic Plan. The SPC includes representatives from First Nations, DFO, the recreational and commercial fishing sectors, and non-governmental organizations. Within the governance structure of the CSPI, the SPC also oversees the activities of the Technical Working Group (TWG), which is composed of scientists and technical experts from DFO, First Nations and other interested parties. The TWG coordinates scientific analyses to evaluate the status of Southern BC Chinook, examines causes for their decline and will continue to support the strategic planning process (e.g. developing performance indicators, analyzing options developed by the SPC, and synthesizing results to facilitate decisions by the SPC).

The overarching objective of the CSPI is:

"To develop an Integrated Strategic Plan that accounts for the biological status of southern BC Chinook conservation units, their habitat and the ecosystem, that addresses the causes of any declines, and identifies the management actions necessary to remedy their status where possible. This initiative will depend on the collaboration of First Nations, interest groups and DFO to identify rebuilding actions related to fisheries management, salmonid enhancement and habitat restoration.

Deliverables from this process will provide guidance to annual Integrated Fisheries Management Plans, fish culture production plans, habitat restoration work plans and community partnership agreements where possible. It may also inform Pacific Salmon Treaty discussions between Canada and the United States.

This strategic plan will be developed in a manner consistent with Strategy 4 of the Wild Salmon Policy, the [DFO's] Rebuilding Guidelines of the Precautionary Approach Framework and the Species at Risk Act."

³ Brown et al. 2016a, 2016b

⁴ The date for completion and review of the status assessment is currently not defined.

The CSPI is a unique process, as it is the first example of a Tier 2 government-to-government process where other interested parties have been invited to participate.

1.1.5 CU Summary Table

Appendix D provides a summary of some CU-level information across all the southern BC Chinook CUs, including basic life history information and indicators of selected pressures and threats.

1.2 About the Plan

The purpose of this strategic plan is to provide high-level, strategic guidance to inform the more detailed decision-making and tactical processes occurring at smaller scales and/or within subcomponents of the entire southern BC Chinook socio-ecological system (i.e., habitat, harvest or hatchery management). The Plan represents the collective perspective of a diverse group of First Nations and interested parties who have identified a comprehensive set of strategies intended to provide benefits to Chinook populations and those that rely on them. The CSPI hopes that the Strategic Plan can provide the guidance and influence to help tactical actions and decisions being considered by other established decision-making, advisory and planning processes and bodies throughout British Columbia in support of achieving the overarching objectives for southern BC Chinook.

Throughout this document, the Plan refers to other policies and frameworks, where appropriate, to illustrate linkages to other processes that are external to the CSPI but within the broader sphere of salmon conservation and management in southern BC. However, reference to such external sources within this document does not mean that these policies or frameworks are fully endorsed or accepted by the First Nations or other CSPI participants.

1.2.1 Goals of the WSP, CSPI and Strategic Plan

The goals and objectives of the Strategic Plan are nested within other sets of goals and objectives, pertaining to the WSP and the CSPI (Figure 1 and Table 1). As described in the CSPI Terms of Reference, the Strategic Plan is also intended to be developed in a manner consistent with the *Rebuilding Guidelines of the Precautionary Approach Framework* (DFO 2013b) and the *Species at Risk Act*. The detailed objectives of the Strategic Plan are described in Section 4.



Figure 1. The goals and objectives of the Strategic Plan are nested within the goals and objectives of the CSPI and the Wild Salmon Policy (WSP). The Strategic Plan is also intended to be consistent with the *Rebuilding Guidelines of the Precautionary Approach Framework* and the *Species at Risk Act.*

Table 1. Goals and objectives associated with Wild Salmon Policy, the Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative, and theStrategic Plan. The Strategic Plan is also intended to be consistent with the Rebuilding Guidelines of the PrecautionaryApproach Framework and the Species at Risk Act.

Policy or Process	Goals	Objectives		
WSP	Restore and maintain healthy and diverse salmon populations and their habitats for the benefit and enjoyment of the people of Canada in perpetuity.	 Conserve the diversity, distribution and abundance of wild Pacific Salmon⁵ Maintain habitat and ecosystem integrity Manage fisheries for sustainable benefits 		
CSPI	Develop an Integrated Strategic Plan that accounts for the biological status of southern BC Chinook conservation units, their habitat and the ecosystem, that addresses the causes of any declines, and identifies the management strategies necessary to remedy their status where possible.	Deliverables from the CSPI process will provide guidance to annual Integrated Fisheries Management Plans, fish culture production plans, habitat restoration work plans, rebuilding plans, and community partnership agreements where possible. It may also inform Pacific Salmon Treaty discussions between Canada and the United States.		
Strategic Plan	To restore and maintain the abundance, distribution and diversity of southern BC Chinook salmon for all that rely on them.	Biological/ecological, social, and economic objectives (see Section 4)		
The CSPI also indicated that the Strategic Plan should be developed in a manner consistent with:				
Rebuilding Guidelines ⁶	To rebuild stocks that have declined into the Critical Zone.	 For stocks whose status is in the Critical Zone: Rebuild these stocks out of the Critical Zone. Grow these stocks up through the Cautious Zone and ultimately into the Healthy Zone (where possible) 		
Species at Risk Act (SARA)	The purposes of this Act are to prevent wildlife species from being extirpated or becoming extinct, to provide for the recovery of wildlife species that are extirpated, endangered or threatened as a result of human activity and to manage species of special concern to prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened.			

1.2.2 Intended Audience

The audience for this Strategic Plan is very broad and inclusive – all parties with direct/indirect interests in sustaining robust and diverse populations of Chinook salmon and/or influence over management decisions that may potentially affect such populations. Such parties include groups within all levels of government (federal, First Nations, provincial and municipal), as well as other public and private interests.

Different audiences may have a different degree of interest in each section of the report. At a minimum, we recommend that all audiences review the strategies in Section 5, preferably after reading Section 1 for appropriate context for the overall Strategic Plan.

1.2.3 Spatial and Temporal Scale

Within the aggregate of all southern BC Chinook salmon conservation units, there are numerous relevant spatial scales. Different threats, biological dynamics, and management actions operate at different scales. Figure 2 conceptually illustrates the hierarchy of spatial scales that could be considered.

⁵ This is a broader interpretation of WSP Objective 1: "Safeguard the genetic diversity of wild Pacific salmon".

⁶ DFO 2013b. Critical, Cautious and Healthy Zone are defined in DFO's *Precautionary Approach Framework* (2009).

The present Strategic Plan focuses on identifying threats and strategies at the aggregate level and by CU group where possible. The CU groups represent one potential sub-aggregate classification, which is based on the geographic distribution of CUs during their freshwater life stages. However, other groupings are used within the Strategic Plan as necessary. For example, examination of harvest patterns and potential strategies must apply alternate aggregations of CUs because the CU groups do not represent consistent patterns of ocean distribution and may therefore be subject to entirely different sets of fisheries.

The Strategic Plan includes CU-specific information or examples in some places. However, finer spatial scales such as watershed or spawning sites are too detailed to be considered in this Plan.

The qualitative evaluation of alternative strategic directions in 2014 (Hall et al.) considered two temporal scales: short-term (2 generations of Chinook) and longer term (7-8 generations of Chinook). Although the Strategic Plan does not presently include any quantitative modeling results, these two time frames are relevant for considering the shorter and longer term consequences of the identified strategies.

The scales at which different strategies will be implemented will vary according to specific processes through which tactical actions are selected and the specific types of actions utilized (e.g., habitat, harvest, or hatchery management actions, research and monitoring, or education and outreach activities all have different spatial and temporal scales).

The complexity of integrating multiple interacting spatial scales across CUs is conceptually illustrated in Appendix A.



Figure 2. Multiple scales of interest with respect to southern BC Chinook salmon populations. The Strategic Plan predominantly focuses on the aggregate level and the CU group level, where feasible, plus selected CU-specific examples.

1.2.4 Strategic vs. Tactical Plans

The Strategic Plan is intended, by definition, to provide high-level, strategic direction for the improved management of Chinook salmon in southern BC. The Plan is not intended to address tactical/operational planning or specific management actions related to harvest allocation, pre-season or in-season harvest

planning, hatchery-specific production plans, watershed-specific management plans, or institution/department research planning. The details of how the strategies within the Plan could be tactically implemented cannot be specified at the level of the Strategic Plan. The Strategic Plan is intended to inform (i.e., provide guidance and direction to) multiple scales of planning processes where such tactical actions are determined, implemented and refined.

1.3 Cowichan Watershed Health and Chinook Initiative

The Strategic Plan covers all southern BC conservation units for Chinook and is therefore unable to drill down into the threats and actions at the watershed level. However, the Cowichan Watershed Health and Chinook Initiative (CWHCI) provides an excellent example of a collaborative, multi-stakeholder, integrated planning process at a watershed scale⁷. Elements of this initiative could be insightful for similar process for other watersheds.

The CWHCI is built on a common vision to restore the river, improve watershed health, and recover Chinook fisheries, and thus takes an integrative view of all stressors and actions in the Cowichan River watershed and estuary. The initiative was developed through a partnership between the Cowichan Tribes and DFO, and its governance and implementation include participation from First Nations, regional, provincial and federal governments, industry, local interest groups, and residents.

Major building blocks of the initiative include watershed health goals (hydrology, physical habitat, water quality, biological communities) and a framework for assessing watershed health. The framework provides the scientific foundation for each of the watershed health goals, identifies state indicators for each goal, and assesses how landscape and human pressures impact the four goals. Within this framework, Chinook salmon are identified as a key indicator, since the presence or absence of Chinook is central to many other physical, chemical and biological processes. The initiative will assess the status of the indicators, starting the process by looking at the status of the watershed through the lens of Chinook. DFO developed and applied a risk assessment procedure that combined local expert knowledge on the fish and habitat with regional expertise in Chinook biology to assess risks and identify critical factors limiting Chinook production. Based on the goals, indicators and limiting factors identified, an action plan for rebuilding Cowichan Chinook was developed. This plan includes actions associated with freshwater habitat health, predation management, fishery management, hatchery supplementation, and additional research and monitoring. Overall, the CWHCI provides an example of what could be done at finer scale, bringing together interests in the watershed and generating concrete tactical management actions.

2 Status and Trends

2.1 Introduction

To help identify effective ways to conserve populations of Chinook salmon, requires an understanding of the current status and population trends for these populations. Guidance on assessment frameworks for evaluating status and trends of biological indicators for Pacific Salmon is provided by COSEWIC and the WSP. The quantitative criteria established by COSEWIC can be used to delineate threatened and endangered populations (COSEWIC Table 2). Similarly, work to implement the WSP has included the development of a series of metrics and benchmarks that can be used to identify population status (Holt *et al.* 2009). Recent work within both of these frameworks has provided current evaluations of status and trends for southern BC Chinook⁸. In 2013, an independent science review panel additionally provided novel insights into the status and trends of the biological indicators for these populations (Riddell et al. 2013). The WSP assessment of integrated biological status of Southern BC Chinook ("WSP

⁷ See <u>http://www.pacfish.ca/cowichan/</u> for a detailed overview of the initiative. Also see Hunter et al. (2014) and DFO (2015) for additional information.

⁸ Brown et al. 2016a (pre-COSEWIC part 1), 2016b (pre-COSEWIC part 2), 2016c (WSP assessment)

Status Assessment") is presented in DFO (2016b), including CU-specific results. The following subsections explain: a) the units used in the WSP and COSEWIC assessments, b) the challenge of distinguishing wild and enhanced populations, c) the data sources used in the status assessments described, and d) the results of the WSP status assessment for southern BC Chinook conservation units.

2.2 Units of Assessment: Conservation Units and Designatable Units

Prior to the completion of quantitative assessments of status, decisions on the basic unit for analysis are required. There are 419 individual waterbodies listed in DFO's escapement database (nuSEDS) that are relevant to southern BC Chinook. These must be aggregated appropriately to ensure consistent and comparable data time series are available for analysis. As an example, data from tributaries to larger river systems are not assessed every year. It is imperative to ensure that data time series for the larger system do not "double count" the data from the various tributaries differently over time. Under the auspices of the WSP, Holtby and Ciruna (2007) introduced conservation units (CUs) as the fundamental structure which is required to preserve the genetic diversity of all Pacific populations of Chinook salmon. (The approach is similar, though not identical, to the Evolutionary Significant Units (ESUs) used in the United States.) Their work relied on a 3-pillar approach, using ecotype, life history and genetics to define CUs. A recent review of the southern BC Chinook CUs confirmed Holtby and Ciruna's initial assessment with few exceptions (DFO 2013e), re-affirming the 35 southern BC Chinook CUs. Subsequently, COSEWIC (which uses Designatable Units (DUs) as their unit of analysis) based their preliminary assessment on the list of 35 CUs, but then placed additional emphasis on genetics, ultimately resulting in the aggregation of several CUs into single DUs; as a result, COSEWIC identified 27 DUs for southern BC Chinook (Ma 2013).

2.3 The Role of Enhancement in Status Assessments

Populations of Chinook on the Pacific coast of Canada have been the subject of extensive enhancement efforts since the early 1970s (MacKinlay *et al.* 2004), and this was considered as part of the qualitative assessment of status and trends. Under the WSP, "wild" salmon are hatched in the wild from parents who were also born in the wild. Unfortunately, at present, it is not possible to readily determine the parental origins of salmon spawned in the wild, and as such, the WSP Assessment relied on estimates of hatchery contributions to "naturally spawned" populations (wild and hatchery salmon that spawn in the wild) as a surrogate measure of "wildness" for the population. Research being undertaken by DFO scientists aims to clarify the interpretation and quantitative assessment of hatchery-origin salmon within the context of the WSP status assessment process, the results of this work may inform future reassessments of CU status (Brown et al. 2016c).

2.4 Data Sources for Status Assessments of Chinook Populations

Results from all quantitative assessments summarized here are based largely on the same data sets. Spawner abundance data up to 2012 was obtained from DFO's salmon escapement database (nuSEDS) and subjected to a wide range of data quality evaluations and treatments prior to analysis (DFO 2013c)⁹. Data issues included: varying quality of estimates over time within and among time series within a CU, missing and incomplete data, and uncertain variations in aggregated estimates over time (i.e. estimates for component systems were aggregated in some years and not in others). It is important to bear in mind that many of the field programs to collect spawner abundance data began in the 1950s (or earlier) before the development of the current CU framework. Thus, some CUs are well-represented by extensive, high quality spawner abundance data while others are not. Furthermore, most CUs have historical data that have not been incorporated into nuSEDS and as such, the pre-1995 time series

⁹ Brown et al. 2016a, 2016b, 2016c (pre-COSEWIC; WSP Assessment)

remains unverified in many cases (i.e. the quality of the estimate of abundance is unknown). Assessment techniques have also evolved over time. Overall, these limitations impair the ability to interpret historical (pre-1995) spawner abundance information relative to current estimates.

Of 35 southern BC Chinook CUs, five were deemed to be "data deficient" for spawner abundance time series data. In addition, CUs had a range of enhancement activity including twelve CUs with only "low or unknown" enhancement activity, eleven CUs with "moderate or high" enhancement activity, and seven CUs containing spawning sites with both "low or unknown" and "moderate or high" enhancement activity.

A Pacific coast-wide coded wire tag (CWT) data program is maintained for Chinook by the PSC (and operationalized through DFO and US agency CWT programs). There are 10 hatchery Chinook CWT indicator stocks included within the southern BC region. Several others from outside the southern BC region provide proxy indicator information for several southern BC Chinook CUs (though less informative than a direct indicator, proxies are still able to provide measures of relative change for associated CUs). Overall, the coast-wide program coordinated by Canada and the US to recover CWTs from fishery catches and spawning returns provides information on biological measures such as fish size at release, fishery distributions, fish size at capture, and through an associated cohort reconstruction model, estimates of exploitation rates, marine survival and distributions of age at maturation (see, for example, CTC 2015a, 2015b).

Quantitative stock-recruit (S-R) analyses based on high quality field estimates are currently available for only two southern BC Chinook CUs (CK-03: Harrison¹⁰ and CK-22: Cowichan & Koksilah¹¹). S-R analyses provide estimates of intrinsic productivity and capacity for the CU, and a measure of overall survival (from spawner to recruit) for the population. Additionally, the annual PSC CTC CWT cohort reconstruction model provides updated S-R analyses for larger aggregates of stocks from southern BC (however, these cannot be partitioned into CU-specific estimates). These results are also of uncertain relevance to naturally spawning fish because many of the "model stocks" include large, temporally varying, and/or unknown fractions of hatchery fish in their estimates of ocean catch and spawning escapement. Typically, catches and escapements resulting directly from hatchery releases are excluded from standard S-R analyses designed to assess performance of naturally-spawning fish. Despite these concerns, the model stock results tend to be positively correlated with marine survival time series derived from CWTs for stocks from within the same region (i.e. they tend to show generally similar, though not identical, time trends) (Riddell *et al.* 2013).

2.5 Status and Trend Results for Chinook Conservation Units

There is general agreement across all quantitative assessments that spawner abundances of most Conservation Units (CUs) of Chinook salmon in southern BC have decreased over the most recent three fish generations (i.e. the last 12 years for CUs with a four-year average generation time). For the 19 CUs with spawning sites having "low or unknown" enhancement activity, 12 CUs showed a greater than 50% decrease in spawner abundance in the last three generations (six of these declined more than 70% and two dropped by more than 90%); two CUs showed modest increases (33-40%); and five CUs showed decreases between zero and 50%. Although trends of decreasing abundance were observed across all regions, juvenile life history types and adult return timings, the Fraser and Thompson River stocks with stream-type juvenile life-history (i.e., juveniles that overwinter in rivers and go to sea as yearlings) represent the majority of those cases with decreasing spawner abundance in the last three generations

¹⁰ Brown et al. 2001 (unpubl. manuscript)

¹¹ Tompkins et al. 2005

(11 out of 19 non-data deficient CUs with "low or unknown" enhancement activity). Results for CUs with "moderate or high" enhancement activity are generally more variable. Of 18 CUs with "moderate or high" enhancement, seven CUs showed decreases greater than 50%, three CUs had decreases in the range of 0 to 50%, two CUs showed no significant trend (less than 3% change) and four CUs showed an increasing trend over the last three generations. For the seven CUs with both "low or unknown" and "moderate or high" enhancement activity data time series, there was generally agreement in the direction of trend, if not the magnitude, between the two data streams within any given CU. The three generation trends in abundance by CU are shown in Figure 3.

Riddell *et al.* (2013) provided a coast-wide analysis of marine survival rates for all CTC model stocks (including all available stocks to contrast with results for southern BC). The marine survival rates estimate the proportion of juveniles leaving their freshwater habitat that are still alive after their first winter at sea. Four of the five Chinook model stock groupings found in southern BC (Fraser River Late, Lower and Upper Strait of Georgia, and West Coast of Vancouver Island) indicate marine survival rates have decreased substantially from their highs in the 1970s or 1980s to lows in the 1990s and 2000s. Regions outside of southern BC also showed decreased survival rates, but in several cases, there was a temporary increase in the late 1990s and early 2000s followed by a decline. Coast-wide comparisons across all CTC Chinook salmon model stocks' age-2 cohort marine survival rate time series show an underlying trend of shared variation from Oregon through BC, and even into some Alaskan stocks. That shared trend indicated increasing survival rates from ocean-entry year 1995 to around 2000, then decreasing until 2005, followed by a partial reversal. However, in many of the modelled stocks, there also are stock-specific sources of year-to-year variation that mask the general underlying trend (Riddell *et al.* 2013).

S-R analyses of modelled data for larger Chinook aggregates produced from the PSC Coast Wide Chinook Model show life-cycle productivities decreasing substantially over time for lower Strait of Georgia and west coast Vancouver Island Chinook salmon, but only slightly, though steadily, for the Fraser Late model stock. Other southern BC model stocks show either no trend in productivity indices, or slight increases. Numerous stocks outside of southern BC have also shown a decrease in productivity, especially since the late 1990s or early 2000s, including central and western Alaska stocks. The latter Alaskan stocks had high-quality S-R data that were not confounded by hatchery contributions (Riddell *et al.* 2013; ADF&G 2013; CTC 2015c).

Overall, southern BC Chinook stocks exhibit temporal patterns in spawner abundance, life-cycle productivity, and to a lesser extent age-2 cohort survival rates, that are shared (to varying degrees) across a large spatial area from Oregon north through to western Alaska. Thus, although it seems likely that there are large-scale processes influencing Chinook productivity, Riddell *et al.* (2013) concluded that no single predominant factor can be readily identified at this time to fully account for the recent patterns and trends observed for southern BC Chinook.



Figure 3. Three-generation trends in spawner abundance for southern BC Chinook conservation units (CUs), differentiated by ocean (circle) and stream (triangle) types of juvenile life histories. The number of years included in the calculation of 3 generations of Chinook depends on the average age of maturity for each CU. Given that southern BC Chinook Salmon most often mature between 3 and 5 years of age (on average), the number of years used to calculate the trends illustrated here varies between 9 and 15 years (2004-2012 or 1998-2012).

3 Threats and Knowledge Gaps

3.1 Limiting Factors and Threats to Southern BC Chinook Salmon

This subsection identifies and describes the most substantial threats that are believed to be contributing to the recent declines observed across many southern BC conservation units and/or preventing recovery of depressed populations. The potential threats have been grouped into broader classes (e.g., marine habitat/ecosystem). The dominant threats within each class are briefly described and they are summarized in Table 2 (or Appendix B for a more detailed version). Although these threats are described at the scale of the entire southern BC Chinook aggregate, their relative importance and magnitude varies considerably across CUs, as illustrated by comparing the CU-specific examples described later.

Table 2. Threats to southern BC Chinook salmon.

Class and		Natural/ Human	Description of Threat	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected subsets of CUs ¹		
CI	Climate Change ¹² – impacts on freshwater or marine ecosystems driven by climatic changes						
	Water temperature (freshwater)	Mixed	Most southern Chinook populations face increasingly stressful thermal conditions during return migrations	Returning adults	Esp. UMFR, Th, Ok		
	Water temperature (marine and freshwater)	Mixed	Increased water temperature lead to higher mortality associated with catch and release of Pacific Salmon (Raby et al. 2015)	Returning adults			
	Water quantity (freshwater)	Mixed	Increased vulnerability to changes in water quantity - climate driven changes exacerbate pressure from water extraction.	All freshwater life stages	Esp. UMFR, Th, Okanagan		
	Productivity	Mixed	Highly likely that climate variation and change is influencing productivity	All, especially marine	All		
	Warm water predators	Mixed	Increased exposure to warm water predators that Chinook have largely not co-evolved with.	Smolts, possibly adults in some areas	WCVI examples; potential to affect all CU's; possibly less so for far north migrating CUs		
Ma	arine Habitat – imp	acts on mari	ne habitat/ecosystem quality, quantity or usage affecting marine survival rates/adult returns				
	Early marine conditions	Natural	Shared declines in marine survival across many stocks (not all). Uncertainty about mechanisms	Juvenile, immature adults	Stocks with early or late entry timing have fared better		
	Early marine conditions	Natural	Changes in local and basin-scale oceanographic conditions.	First year of ocean residency	All		
	Predation	Natural	Marine mammal predation may affect abundance / inhibit recovery during periods of low productivity. Unlikely driver of general declines for all SBC Chinook.	Marine life stages	Esp. SoG, Johnstone Strait		
	Disease	Human	Disease risks from interactions with salmon farm or aquaculture operations	Smolts	CUs migrating by operations		
	Competition	Natural	Inter-specific competition (with other salmon species and non-salmon species)	Marine life stages	All		
Es	Estuarine Habitat – impacts on estuarine habitat conditions						
	Predation	Human	Human-mediated opportunities for increased predation by marine mammals	Smolts	SoG		
	Habitat	Human	Loss of habitat (e.g., natural shoreline, estuarine marshes) in lower river reaches from human activity and urbanization/development pressure	Fry, smolts	All, especially Fall Chinook		
	Water quality	Human	Decreases in water quality due to wastewater discharges and/or other contamination				
Freshwater Habitat – potential loss or degradation in productivity or useable area of freshwater habitat							
	Water regulation	Human	Human-induced changes in flow and water temperatures , exacerbated by climate change.	All freshwater life stages	VI, UMFR, Th, Ok		
	Didymo ¹³	Mixed	Didymo outbreaks – potentially contributing to reduced productivity	Eggs, fry, smolts	Esp. stream type CUs		

¹² Threats associated with climate change are identified as "mixed" rather than trying to distinguish the specific mechanism associated with the threat from the mechanisms driving climate change – e.g., although some of the threats may be natural responses to particular changes in climate, the underlying changes in climate may be result of both human and natural factors.

¹³ Didymo (*Didymosphenia geminate*) is a species of diatom that can affect stream habitats and food sources for salmon and other fish. It has invasive characteristics and produces nuisance growths in freshwater streams.

Class and Natural/		Natural/ Human	Description of Threat	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected subsets of CUs ¹	
	Hydrologic Processes ²	Mixed	Hydrologic processes (forest disturbance, Equivalent Clearcut Area)	All freshwater life stages	High risk: none (only BB) Mod risk: Th	
	Vegetation Quality ²	Mixed	Vegetation quality (riparian disturbance, insect and disease defoliation)	All freshwater life stages	High risk: UMFR, Th, LSC, Ok Mod risk: WCVI	
	Surface Erosion ²	Human	Surface erosion (road development)	All freshwater life stages	High risk: Th, LSC, WCVI, Ok Mod risk: LFR, UMFR	
	Fish passage/ connectivity ²	Human	Fish passage / habitat connectivity (stream crossing density)	All freshwater life stages	High risk: LSC, Ok Mod risk: LFR, UMFR, Th, WCVI	
	Water quantity ²	Human	Water quantity (water licenses)	All freshwater life stages	High risk: Th, LSC, Ok Mod risk: LFR, UMFR, WCVI/USC	
	Water quality ²	Human	Water quality (wastewater discharges)	All freshwater life stages	High risk: Ok, plus 5 separate CUs Mod risk: All CU groups	
	Human development footprint ²	Human	Human development footprint (total land cover alterations, impervious surfaces, linear development, mining development, agricultural/rural development)	All freshwater life stages	High risk (for Linear): LSC, Ok Mod risk: UMFR, Th, LSC Mod/low: LFR, WCVI/USC	
На	Harvest – impacts associated with fishing activities including retained catch, releases and/or gear interactions					
	Harvest mortality	Human	Total mortalities (e.g., bycatch, release mortality, depredation, disease, recapture, post- release predation, unauthorized harvest, other unknown/unreported removals) from Chinook directed fisheries exceed sustainable rates given current productivity	Adults, immature	Unknown	
На	Hatchery Production – impacts associated with hatchery / enhanced production of Chinook (or other salmon)					
	Genetic	Human	Genetic risks to wild populations from domestication selection within hatchery population and/or outbreeding effects on surrounding populations. Potential stressors on natural populations and contributions to reduced productivity/abundance include: straying into non- target systems; high enhanced contributions in target systems; lack of information relating to enhanced contribution; inability to mass mark Chinook to assist in genetic management.	Spawners	Higher risk ³ : SoG, WCVI Lower risk: LFR, Th, UMFR	
	Disease	Human	Contained hatchery populations with disease can potentially transmit pathogens to wild populations in receiving waters.	Fry, smolts	Low risk: All	
	Ecological	Human	Hatcheries pose five main ecological interaction issues related to salmon ecosystems: carrying capacity, competition, predation, disease and behavior.	Fry, smolts	Low risk: All	
	Harvest pressure	Human	Increased fishing pressure on wild stocks due to increased hatchery production (indirect impact from hatchery production, mediated through harvest decisions).	Adult	CUs caught in mixed stock fisheries with hatchery stocks	
Cumulative or synergistic interactions among threats						
	Interactions	Mixed	One or more threats or stressors acting in conjunction on a CU.	All	All	

¹Abbreviations for regional groupings: BB - Boundary Bay; LFR - lower Fraser River; LSC - lower south coast; Ok - Okanagan; SoG – Strait of Georgia; Th - Thompson River; UMFR - upper/middle Fraser River; USC - upper south coast; VI - Vancouver Island; WCVI - west coast Vancouver Island.

² Impact category, pressure indicators (i.e., bolded text under 'description of threat') and risk classifications are based on the southern BC Chinook salmon habitat report cards (Porter et al. 2015). ³ "Higher risk" does not necessarily mean "high risk" – the classifications are relative not absolute (i.e., no formal definition of high, moderate and low risk categories). The CU groups have been divided into those known to have relatively higher or lower genetic risks.

3.1.1 Freshwater Habitat

A watershed is influenced by many factors some a result of the natural landscape and some human induced. Natural landscape factors include the climate of the region and the characteristics of the watershed (physical geography, geology and soils, watershed morphology, hydrology and vegetation) determining the ecosystems and habitats within a watershed. Human induced factors include land-use, impervious surfaces, dam impacts, water withdrawals, drainage networks, channel alterations, outfalls, vegetation management, wetland alterations, outfall discharges, introduction of exotic species, and spills or harmful discharges.

Chinook have important habitat requirements to sustain their different life history strategies in freshwater. These habitats can be divided into three inter-connected spatial dimensions: longitudinal (or riverine); lateral (or riparian); and vertical (or hyporheic¹⁴) (Portland 2005). Changing the hydrology of a watershed results in changing the available habitat diversity, by disconnecting the spatial dimensions between the channel, its groundwater sources and the associated floodplain and upland habitat areas. Increasing imperviousness within a watershed can cause a net decrease in groundwater recharge (and reduce base flows) and increase surface water runoff (increased flashiness and erosion capability) (Portland, 2005).

Threats to important Chinook habitat can be a result of human activities on the landscape or landscape level factors, which rarely work in isolation.

Water Regulation

Water regulation is an entirely anthropogenic threat. Water storage structures such as dams and flow control weirs are designed to store water during periods of high runoff so that this water can be accessed for a variety of uses during periods of low flow. Uses include domestic water supply, flood control, power generation, agriculture and industrial needs such as dilution and cooling.

The threat to Chinook salmon from water regulation can take different forms. Among others:

- 1. Physical blockage of migration
- 2. Alteration of natural flow regimes
- 3. Increased water temperature from lake storage
- 4. Removal of water from natural water courses

Physical blockage of migration can be mitigated by incorporating fish ladders or bypass channels that allow adult Chinook salmon to get beyond the barrier. Similarly, juvenile Chinook salmon will require a route downstream past the barrier and measures that prevent them from entering any withdrawal structure. Alteration of flow regimes may produce a situation that isolates Chinook redds from mainstem water courses by abnormally decreasing water flows during emergence periods. Increased water temperature will advance egg and alevin development, resulting in emergence earlier than normal, placing the juveniles into habitats that may not have the food resources available yet. In addition, higher water temperatures increase the metabolism of the fish, exacerbating the requirement for food.

Geographically, the extent of water regulation is generally centred near high human population densities and areas where there is a high amount of water withdrawal for agriculture requirements, or remote power generation facilities.

¹⁴ The hyporheic zone is an area of groundwater and sediments below and to the sides of a stream, which is close enough to be affected by the movement of the stream water.

The nature of water regulation is highly varied, depending on usage. Dams and power generation will normally return water back to the water course, so severity is somewhat limited. Water withdrawal activities have much more serious outcomes as there is not much Chinook can do without adequate water flow and appropriate times of their life cycle. In certain circumstances, water storage and regulation can be used as a tool to mitigate the effects of potential stressors such as warm or low water, from environmental variability or climate change, although this requires tradeoffs against water availability during other periods.

Future trends are based on human population and development. Generally, the human populations have increased over time, and increased the influence they have on their environment; therefore, it can be assumed that Chinook salmon will continue to be negatively affected by water regulation.

Surface Erosion

Surface erosion of fine substrates is a natural process that is can be exacerbated by anthropogenic activities such as forest cover removal, road construction or general disturbance of surface material. It is often caused by abnormally high or excessive precipitation. Erosion of streamside or riparian habitats is the result of movement of water along the normal water courses. During high precipitation events surface runoff of water will also loosen rock and soil from the substrate surface and carry this material along to natural water courses. Rain on snow events and scour due to anchor ice shifting are the major forces in the interior. Those processes are greatly exacerbated by removal of streamside vegetation (cottonwoods in the southern interior), resulting in braided gravel channels and loss of pool-riffle-run structure.

This threat can affect Chinook salmon several ways. Deposition of fines and organics in potential incubation areas can mask or smother the eggs within the gravel redds; however, these areas are not generally selected by Chinook salmon, minimizing this threat due to the slow-moving water which is required for deposition of fines. On a micro scale, some deposition will still occur in sub-surface areas. A more serious threat is the deposition of these materials in rearing areas where submerged vegetation and associated aquatic benthic invertebrate communities occurs, affecting the productivity of food organisms.

Extent of impact by geographic range is highly dependent on local land use. Areas with active logging are subject to both surface and bulk erosion processes. Land gradient will also determine the impact as higher slope substrates will result in faster velocities of water, and greater erosion potential. Particularly sensitive are many interior valley floors that are comprised of alluvial gravels and cobbles resulting from glaciation. These are not resistant to erosion.

Temporal extent of impact is also dependent on localized situations. A source of sediments will continue to affect downstream water courses until the source is exhausted or resolved. Restoration to physical habitat can be difficult but does occur naturally as the annual hydraulic cycle continues to carry material downstream to areas where natural settlement occurs. This process, however, will take years to decades.

The climate change trend is for warmer, wetter winters along the coastal BC areas. This will result in higher peak winter flows as more precipitation falls as rain rather than snow, thus draining through creeks and rivers in the higher precipitation months instead of melting in spring and draining through spring and summer. In non-coastal areas the annual hydrologic flow may shift from snowmelt dominated flow regimes to winter runoff flow regimes however it is unlikely to change surface erosion patterns.

Fish Passage/Habitat

Fish passage barriers may negatively impact Chinook salmon by reducing or blocking access to spawning and rearing areas. Complete barriers can lead to reduced or fragmented species distributions and result in dwindling populations that are increasingly genetically isolated and at greater risk of extinction (Wofford *et al.* 2005). Fish passage barriers are a threat to southern BC Chinook salmon populations particularly in the lower south coast and Okanagan and, to a lesser degree, the Lower Fraser, southern and central Interior and the west coast of Vancouver Island.

Fish passage barriers impact Chinook salmon at varying scales and life stages. Large dams, typically constructed for hydroelectric generation, have blocked access of entire populations to their spawning grounds and effectively led to their extirpation from upstream areas. For example, almost the entire Canadian Columbia River Basin is inaccessible to Chinook salmon due to hydroelectric dams in the Columbia River, resulting in the loss of all Chinook salmon populations that once inhabited this area. Several such large dam barriers exist throughout BC. Small barriers, such as small irrigation or flood control dams, culverts, dikes, levees, floodgates and weirs, are much more widespread and reduce or block access of salmon to portions of their historical habitat. For example, channelization and dike construction can prevent access of juvenile Chinook salmon to virtually all wetland and floodplain habitats that once comprised important rearing areas, which limits the productive capacity of the system. These smaller barriers exist to varying degrees in all regions of BC that support moderate to large population clusters and agricultural activities, but Chinook CUs in the Southern Interior, lower mainland and Vancouver Island are likely most affected.

Many large hydroelectric dams are complete fish passage barriers that prevent spawners from migrating upstream. Those that do allow fish passage often lead to reduced survival of adults due to the strenuous migration through fish ladders and facilities. Impacts include delays and reduced reproductive fitness associated with dam and reservoir passage (Dauble and Mueller 1993; Geist *et al.* 2000), as well as direct and delayed mortality from fallback over dam spillways or through turbines (Dauble & Mueller 2000). The migration is also hazardous for out-migrating smolts. They must pass hydroelectric facilities on their migration to the ocean, which may lead to varying degrees of mortality from passage through the power turbines, rapid pressure changes, large forces, abrasion and turbulence. Elaborate juvenile bypass systems, as installed on the mainstem Columbia River dams, reduce mortality by guiding smolts around the power house, with survival at each dam estimated in the 93-96% range (Skalski, 2014). Nonetheless, a cumulative impact remains from passage of multiple dams.

Removal or mitigation of barriers that block fish movement can be an important tool in the recovery of fish populations and has led to some of the largest increases in fish production compared to other restoration techniques (Scully *et al.* 1990; Roni *et al.* 2002). Furthermore, this approach can yield potential benefits (e.g. increased fish abundance and productivity) relatively quickly compared with other methods (Roni *et al.* 2002). A catchment-scale approach to mitigating fish passage barriers is likely to provide the most effective and cost-efficient means by which to enhance fish populations and overall ecological status of fluvial systems (Kemp and O'Hanley, 2010).

Water Quantity

The hydrology or freshwater flows of a watershed vary naturally depending on the climate, the characteristics of the watershed and the seasonal changes within the watershed. These variations depict the timing, magnitude and frequency of changes to flow. Change to this hydrological regime can affect critical habitat for Chinook in all three spatial dimensions. Alterations to the quantity of water, either higher high flows or lower low flows or the timing of freshets and droughts can cause migration delays, impact spawning redds and egg to fry survival, change riparian and in-stream vegetation, increase suspended sediments in the water, increase water temperature (by decreasing water depth and heating

of stream substrate), change species composition within the aquatic ecosystem and change predatorprey relationships.

Issues with water quantity are thought to be increasing as more development takes place within many watersheds. The hydrology of a watershed is altered by urban development resulting in changes to the rate volume and timing of streamflow (Konrad and Booth, 2005). Imperviousness within a watershed is a measure of the surface area that no longer infiltrates water.

Threats for this factor are linked to impacts from climate change and more frequent droughts are expected over the southern BC region.

In particular, Chinook salmon from CUs located in watersheds influenced by snowmelt (rather than raindominated) are typically snow dominated hydrographs, with much of the total discharge resulting from winter snow accumulation and subsequent melting. Water availability is strongly influenced by land use practices that influence rate of melting, by climate change and by natural climatic variation such as phases of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation¹⁵.

Snowpack accumulation directly influences groundwater accumulations which supply considerable input to many interior rivers and stabilize temperatures. Groundwater abstraction may significantly impair that function.

Water Quality

Water quality is defined in terms of the chemical, physical and biological content of water. Parameters such as nutrients (nitrates and phosphates), dissolved oxygen, pH, pollutants and total suspended sediments (TSS) are measurements used to determine the quality of water. Water quality within a watershed is affected by geographic location, discharge and level of human development or industrial activity, including mining, forestry or agriculture. The Ministry of Environment is mandated to manage water bodies and has created multiple water quality objectives for various lakes and rivers that consider the natural local water quality, uses and movement of water and waste discharges (BC MELP, 1994). These objectives are routinely monitored. The dominant drivers of water quality in the more developed regions of BC are based on cumulative effects due to land use, urbanization and human population growth. Traditionally, water quality monitoring has focused on large point source pollution as an effective means of protection, but this has evolved to an integrated approach linking land-use to environmental quality supported by science-based monitoring, regulatory compliance and shared stewardship (Smorong and Epps, 2014).

For Chinook, like all salmon, water quality in freshwater habitats is critical. Threats from water quality impacts can limit productivity or reduce survival. Upstream migration of Pacific Salmon adults is delayed at temperatures over 20 °C (McCullough, 1999) and temperatures over 12.8 °C resulted in increased mortality of females prior to spawning (Andrew and Geen, 1960). Hicks (2002) reported that average temperature exposures of 15.6-17°C can lead to a reduction in reproductive success.

For dissolved oxygen (DO) the lower lethal limit is 1.6 mg/L. DO levels greater than 6.3mg/L are recommended for successful migration of anadromous salmonids (Davis, 1975). Minimum concentrations at or near saturation with temporary reductions no lower than 5mg/L were recommended for all anadromous salmonids by Reiser and Bjornn (1979) and Chapman (1988) found that any reduction of DO below saturation reduces survival.

¹⁵ The Pacific Decadal Oscillation is a pattern of climate variation that fluctuates on a timescale of decades. It primarily affects weather patterns and sea surface temperatures in the Pacific Northwest, Alaska and northern Pacific Islands.

TSS poses a significant threat to salmonids particularly egg to fry survival (from smothering of redds), reduces rearing success of juveniles and can affect return migration of adults. Sediment Levels of 509 to 1217 ppm are fatal to juveniles, levels of 500 ppm result in stress responses and levels of 100 to 300 ppm result in reduced feeding. High levels of suspended sediment can also delay upstream migration of adults (Bell, 1973 in Allen and Hassler, 1986). Suspended sediment of less than 25mg/L are suitable for salmonid habitat (Reiser and Bjornn, 1979).

Water quality threats to Chinook salmon are increasing with human population growth and development.

3.1.2 Estuarine Habitat

The near-shore environments of estuaries are unique ecological zones that host productive saltmarshes, eelgrass beds, and other shallow intertidal habitats that serve as nurseries for young salmon. Estuaries provide rearing habitat, food and refuge during a critical (and sometimes stressful) period of growth, development and osmotic acclimation to seawater. Chinook juveniles reside in estuaries from weeks to months prior to ocean migration (Neilson *et al.* 1985; Dorcey *et al.* 1978; Healey 1982). The extent of reliance depends on the specific life history types of individual populations; with ocean-type Chinook generally relying on estuaries to a greater degree than stream-type populations.

Studies on juvenile Chinook undertaken in BC and the US support the notion that the duration and quality of estuarine residency is a determinant of subsequent Chinook marine survival (Schlucter and Lichatowich 1977; Levy and Northcote 1981; MacDonald *et al.* 1988). An evaluation of 20 US estuaries by Morganson and Hilborn (2003) concluded that (fall) Chinook rearing in pristine estuaries had higher survival rates than those rearing in degraded estuaries (up to a three-fold increase in survival between fully degraded and pristine estuary rankings). Further, estuary condition was more important for Chinook survival than it was for Coho survival. Despite these findings, quantitative analyses linking Chinook survival with habitat types or other estuarine factors are sparse. As such, the mechanisms for understanding higher or lower survival within estuaries remains poorly understand.

Despite the inferred importance of estuarine habitats, their location at the mouths of rivers has predisposed them to human settlements and concomitant habitat loss from urban, industrial, agricultural, and extractive land use that affects physical habitat structure and function (Table 3). In addition, estuaries near urban centres also serve as depositories for point and nonpoint sources of pollutants that affect water quality (Dexter *et al.* 1985; Varanasi *et al.* 1993).

Estuaries located near urban centres face a variety of threats associated with anthropogenic activities. In such places, significant habitat loss occurs as wetlands and other habitats have been diked off, drained, and converted for agricultural, industrial, and urban developments. In the Lower Fraser, for example, this has resulted in a loss of 70% of the rearing habitats once available to juvenile Chinook (Hoos and Packman 1974). Human activities in areas like Vancouver, Victoria, and Puget Sound are also the source of an incredible variety of contaminants including industrial chemicals, hormones, antibiotics, and central nervous system agents, to name a few (Meador *et al.* 2016). A recent study in Puget Sound estuary habitats found 29 different "contaminants of emerging concern" in tissues of juvenile Chinook, with unknown effects (Meador *et al.* 2016). Although technology has improved our ability to treat effluent, the concomitant increase in population size and the variety of chemicals used has continued to result in high contaminant loads in developed estuaries. These alterations to habitat and water quality have adverse effects that act individually, cumulatively or synergistically to increase mortality and lower survival of Chinook salmon.

Climate change and associated sea-level rise also pose a significant threat to estuary habitats across Southern BC, altering the estuarine conditions upon which juvenile and adult Chinook rely during their critical transition period. Changes in river flows and water temperatures have already begun in the Fraser and likely other systems across Southern BC, altering salinities and temperatures in the estuary (Morrison *et al.* 2002). Juvenile salmon may be negatively affected by increases in salinity during their transition to freshwater, while warming temperatures are likely to increase the susceptibility of adult Chinook to en-route mortality. While the effects of climate change have begun, most effects on Chinook and their habitats will occur in the future.

Sea-level rise will also result in changes to estuary habitats, with increased diking reducing the availability of near shore habitats, most likely replacing salt marsh with rip rap and other modified habitats. Further expansion and upgrades to flood protection structures will occur on a massive scale, with an estimated \$8.8 billion in projected costs just along the tidal portion of the Lower Fraser (Delcan 2012). As salt marshes exist only in the high intertidal areas of the estuary, rising sea levels have the potential to lead to significant declines in salt marsh habitats (Sumas *et al.* 2001), areas which juvenile Chinook rely on heavily during the period prior to ocean entry.

Nature of Threat	Overarching geographic extent	Severity (e.g., how many life stages are affected)	Stability of future trend
Habitat loss/ alteration	Throughout Salish sea but greatest in highly settled areas	Strongest effect likely on ocean-type juveniles limited by available rearing habitats, but all life- history types potentially affected.	Unknown – estuarine habitat loss has been extensive in the Fraser and Puget Sound estuaries. Alterations through jetties and armoring will increase unless preventative and proactive measures are taken.
Contaminants	Greatest in urban and industrialized estuaries of Salish Sea.	Strongest effect likely on ocean-type juveniles that spend more time in estuarine waters, but could potentially extend to all types that have extended rearing in nearshore waters of the southern Salish Sea.	Stable? – Although sewage treatment may improve and regulations address some legacy pollutants, other point and non-point sources of contamination persist.
Climate change and associated sea-level rise	Important across southern BC but will lead to cumulative effects in highly settled estuaries	Changes in temperature and salinity are likely to adversely affect juvenile and adult salmon during their transition period from freshwater to salt water, potentially making them more susceptible to predation and pre-spawn mortality. Sea-level rise will lead to further armoring in developed estuaries like the Lower Fraser, reducing the availability of high intertidal areas which salt marshes and juvenile Chinook rely on.	Increasing –Climate change and sea- level rise are beginning to affect our hydrological cycles and oceanic conditions, but most of the effects will be seen in the future. Although some areas have begun to prepare for sea-level rise the majority of flood protection infrastructure will be built in the future.

Table 3. Primary threats to estuarine habitat.

3.1.3 Marine Habitat

Many stocks of Chinook salmon in southern BC are currently in serious decline despite substantial reductions in fishing mortality and the implementation of several conservation and mitigation initiatives such as selective retention of hatchery fish in some areas, and numerous restoration activities (Labelle 2009; Tompkins *et al.* 2011). The current poor status of southern BC salmon stocks is constraining both
domestic and international allocation and has already required closures in commercial and recreational fisheries as well as affecting food, social and ceremonial harvest opportunities for First Nations (CTC 2012). These declines may also impact on the recovery of endangered resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*), which largely depend on an abundant supply of southern BC Chinook salmon (Ford *et al.* 2010; Hanson *et al.* 2010). It is important to note though that despite the ubiquity of these declines, some Chinook salmon stocks are currently performing well within southern BC (Tompkins *et al.* 2011; Beamish *et al.* 2012).

While the causes of Chinook salmon declines are likely multifactorial (NRC 1996; Magnusson 2001), it is generally recognized that persistently unfavourable ocean conditions and poor marine survival played a major role in these declines (Beamish et al. 2000, 2011; Labelle 2009). First, this is a broad pattern affecting not only populations in in southern BC, but also extends to Puget Sound, the Columbia River, California, and more recently to Alaska (NRC 1996; CTC 2012). The simultaneous decline of salmon originating from geographically-distant watersheds suggests that a common cause is affecting these stocks in the marine environment (Peterman and Dorner 2011; Kilduff et al. 2014, 2015). Second, these declines occurred in pristine and perturbed watersheds, as well as in river systems with and without dams (Wells et al. 2008). Lastly, these declines parallel trends in marine survival of hatchery indicator stocks (Beamish et al. 1995). This provides evidence that, in some areas, the reduction in adult returns was due to changes in ocean conditions rather than to changes in the production of salmon smolts (Beamish et al. 2000). Thus without an understanding of the effects of ocean conditions on the marine survival of Chinook salmon, it will be difficult to assess the success of mitigation measures and recovery strategies on salmon resources, and to provide suitable advice to fisheries managers for establishing effective harvest policies while preserving biodiversity and the productive capacity of salmon ecosystems (Kareiva et al. 2000; Holt 2010).

Several factors may affect salmon in the marine environment. These include: changes in 1) prey abundance, 2) the timing of prey production, 3) the timing of smolt migration, 4) smolt health, 5) the nutritional quality of their prey, 6) the abundance of predators, 7) the abundance of competitors, 8) the incidence of harmful algae, 9) pathogens and microbes infection, 10) rearing habitat quality, and 11) thermal stress, to name a few. The nature of the adaptive management strategies required to recover these populations will depend on which of these mechanisms are controlling the survival of southern BC Chinook salmon in the marine environment. However, the relative importance and cumulative effects of these factors in the overall marine survival of Chinook salmon are not well known. In addition, these factors may be compounded by climate change, and may worsen under a warming climate. Clearly, further research is required to understand how changes in climate and ocean conditions affect the viability of southern BC Chinook salmon.

3.1.4 Climate Change

Water Temperature – Freshwater

Chinook salmon depend on water temperatures within their tolerance range to survive. Elevated water temperatures in freshwater habitats are a threat to southern BC Chinook salmon populations particularly in the southern and central BC Interior, the lower mainland and southeastern Vancouver Island (Nelitz et al. 2007).

Elevated water temperatures negatively affect Chinook salmon in several ways at various life stages. Warmer winter water temperatures cause salmonid eggs to hatch sooner and may result in young that are smaller. They may emerge at a time when their insect prey base is not available (Karl et al. 2009).

Elevated temperatures during the juvenile rearing stage lead to increased fish metabolism, resulting in reduced growth if more energy is devoted to searching for food (Coutant, 1976). They may also inhibit the ability of juvenile Chinook to compete with other salmonids or warm water species for food and preferred habitats (McCullough, 1999; Hillman, 1991). If temperatures approach lethal or sublethal levels, direct mortalities of juveniles may be observed. The result of elevated water temperatures during the juvenile life stage is a reduction in productive capacity of rearing habitats. The CUs most strongly affected are those that exhibit a stream-rearing life history due to their extended freshwater rearing stage that extends through the summer low flow period when temperatures are most problematic. Juveniles from ocean-type CUs are less susceptible because they have generally migrated to sea from their freshwater habitats prior to the summer low flow period.

During the spawning migration, high stream water temperatures affect adult Chinook salmon through higher metabolic cost of migration as well as increased susceptibility to disease and parasite infection. These factors may contribute to delayed migration and increased pre-spawn mortalities (Keefer et al. 2010; Goniea et al. 2006). Higher water temperature can also contribute to increased mortality for released fish (Raby et al., 2016).

Elevated water temperatures are a result of multiple anthropogenic and natural factors. The primary anthropogenic causes include water extraction leading to low streamflow, modification of stream channels, riparian vegetation removal, waste water and drainage discharges, water impoundments, and reductions in groundwater levels (Caissie 2006). Another dominant cause is changes in air temperatures and precipitation associated with Climate Change. Above normal winter air temperatures and below normal snowfall lead to earlier and shorter duration freshets and earlier onset and longer duration summer low flow periods. During the summer, above normal air temperatures and below normal rainfall combine to result in very low streamflow, which leads to high water temperatures (IFC Recovery Team, 2006).

Water Quantity

Pacific Salmon are vulnerable to the effects of climate change in various degrees based on links between their distribution and exposure and the spatial variation in current and future climate regimes. A gradual increase in surface air, freshwater and sea surface temperatures are anticipated with climate change. This change in temperature will affect coastal freshwater discharge regimes and include more precipitation during the fall, winter and spring, earlier snow melt and increased freshet flows and result in overall lower flows during the summer months. These flow regime changes may affect life-history strategies of salmon (Beechie et al., 2006) including adaptations of timing of spawning and fry emergence which are strongly linked to coincide with favourable river conditions and avoid high water temperatures and scouring flows (Beer and Anderson, 2001). Climate change may also affect smolt production, which has been shown to be highly correlated with annual air temperatures, winter flows, date of first fall freshet, and flow during smolt outmigration (Lawson et al. 2004). In particular, parr to smolt growth rates and survival indices may shift as they are closely linked to summer temperatures and minimum fall flows (Crozier and Zabel 2006; Nislow et al. 2004). Lower flows have also been predicted to result in potential fragmentation and loss of habitat through changes in wetted width and discharge which translates to potential changes in growth rates and age of smoltification for Chinook juveniles (Minns et al. 1995). Climate change may also impact smolt to adult survival indices by changing arrival timing of smolts into the estuary, which in high survival years coincides with favourable ocean conditions (spring up-welling) (Anderson and Hinrichsen 1996).

Flooding and low flow events frequency will vary across rain driven, snow-melt driven or hybrid watershed types (Whitfield et al. 2003). In coastal areas climate change is predicted to result in lower stream discharge and low amounts of rain in the summer and high discharge and heavy rainfall in the

winter. For some systems on the East Coast of Vancouver Island an extended dry period into November could affect salmonid spawning by limiting migration or changing timing of migration (Hyatt, 2013). Modelling work by Leung and Qian (2003) showed that winter flooding and summer droughts would be greater in smaller watersheds within the Georgia Basin. In interior areas predictions are for earlier timing of peak flows and high discharge and snowmelt coupled with a 2 degree increase in water temperature in summer and low discharge and snow pack accumulation for winter (Wade et al. 2001; Morrison et al. 2002; Barnett et al. 2005).

Predation and Aquatic Invasive Species

Climate change can be defined as a large-scale change in the Earth's weather patterns as a result of an accelerated increase of air temperature. This increase has resulted in increased ocean water temperature and changes in chemical composition, oceanic currents and precipitation patterns. Consequently, marine communities from phytoplankton up to apex predators have responded by changing population species complexes and distribution patterns. As the northern Pacific Ocean experiences warmer water conditions, marine species that are typical of tropical habitats have expanded their range northward. Southern BC Chinook populations are affected by an exposure to an increase abundance of predators such as hake, mackerel and salmon sharks.

Although range extensions of freshwater based predators are limited by geography, anthropogenic activities such as releasing exotic non-native fish species, such as snakehead or yellow perch, would serve as a vector for fish-eating predators that could take advantage of warmer freshwater systems.

This range extension of salmon marine predators represents a threat to all salmonid species in southern BC. Pacific Salmon are anadromous and for part of their life history, rear in marine waters from inshore to the deep ocean, depending on species and stock. This exposes all salmonid species to this threat, especially to the young fish that have recently entered marine waters.

The impact of the threat is dependent on annual sea water temperatures. Most tropical or sub-tropical predators are unable to function effectively during years when temperatures are low. Unfortunately, the trend for water temperatures is indicating that this threat will increase over time, producing a situation that allows warm water predators to continue to affect Chinook salmon in the future.

3.1.5 Hatchery Production

Although hatchery production of salmon is conducted to provide known and demonstrable benefits, it can also have the potential to negatively impact wild stocks. Biological risks to wild salmon from hatcheries exist via three main vectors: genetic, ecological and disease effects (DFO, 2013). In southern BC, wild and hatchery Chinook populations exist near each other and can potentially interact at multiple life stages in the wild and in integrated hatchery programs.

There is evidence that interactions between hatchery and wild Chinook can result in decreased reproductive fitness and potential replacement of wild stocks (HSRG, 2014). These effects are most likely to occur in populations and CUs that are near large-scale hatchery production, but straying has been documented from distant hatcheries in Washington state into many southern BC rivers (D. Willis, pers. comm.). The primary mechanism by which hatchery practices may result in deleterious genetic effects on wild stocks in BC is outbreeding depression (via straying), although inbreeding depression and

domestication of hatchery stocks can exacerbate the threat if those hatchery stocks do introgress¹⁶ into wild stocks.

Ecological interactions between hatchery and wild stocks are also a potential threat to southern BC Chinook. Interactions can occur within the freshwater or marine environment at multiple life stages including juveniles, feeding or spawning adults.

The third sub-category of potential threats is disease transfer from hatchery salmon to wild populations. The two primary theoretical vectors of disease transfer are downstream transmission to receiving waters from hatchery effluent, as well as direct transfer to wild salmon from hatchery fish following their release into the wild.

Both empirical evidence in southern BC and recent scientific reviews indicate that genetic effects on wild Chinook populations from hatchery Chinook are likely the most significant threat posed by hatcheries to wild Chinook in Southern BC. Riddell et al. (2013) concluded that genetic effects from hatchery Chinook on wild populations were most likely in the West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) and Strait of Georgia CU groupings, due to both the relatively higher magnitude of hatchery production as well as the geographic proximity of enhanced and unenhanced systems. There is little to no direct evidence of genetic or other types of negative interactions with wild salmon in the Fraser or other non-Vancouver Island populations. Genetic analyses of some unenhanced populations on WCVI have found genetic introgression of stray hatchery fish into wild stocks at several locations (Riddell et al, 2013) although the exact magnitude of effects on fitness of wild populations is unknown. Ecological and disease effects have not been documented or confirmed in southern BC Chinook stocks, although their presence would be more likely in those areas with more extensive hatchery production on Vancouver Island. It has been hypothesized that some early marine interactions may occur near hatchery systems following release of juveniles due to inter- and intra-specific competition in the estuary.

The degree of hatchery salmon interactions with wild populations in southern BC is currently poorly understood, although the effects are thought to be amplified on WCVI where there are many small, low productivity wild stocks near large, productive hatchery populations. The exact mechanisms for reduced fitness in wild populations subjected to hatchery straying are unclear but are primarily thought to occur at the adult spawner stage (HSRG, 2014). Genetic effects caused by stray hatchery fish can be variable by year, as straying can be exacerbated by environmental conditions.

Given the trajectory of stable or decreasing Chinook hatchery production in southern BC, as well as the strategies used which have shifted away from displacement releases in the past decade it is likely that the threat of negative effects on wild Chinook populations from hatchery salmon are unlikely to increase. Decreases in abundance and productivity of nearby wild stocks could increase their vulnerability to negative effects from nearby hatcheries, as could climate change-driven events that increase the susceptibility of wild stocks to external stressors.

3.1.6 Harvest

The Science Panel report describes the threat associated with harvest as follows:

Very substantial reductions in total BC catch of Chinook salmon (originating from BC, Alaska, Oregon and Washington) occurred from 1975 to 1995; catch has been relatively steady post-1995 (Figure ST-2). More relevant to this review is a substantial decline in total coast-wide ocean catches of Chinook originating from southern BC streams (Figure H-8). Most of the decline in total catch has been attributable to

¹⁶ Introgression is the transfer of genetic material from one species to another, or in this case from hatchery fish to wild fish, as a result of hybridization between them and repeated backcrossing (of hybrids with wild fish).

reduced commercial fishery landings. Commercial landings were roughly twice sport fishery landings from 1975 to 1980, whereas today they are approximately equal (Figure H-5).

Ocean distribution patterns for southern BC Chinook can be grouped into three distinct types: far-northmigrating, local-distributed, and offshore. Far-north migrating stocks contribute to Alaskan fisheries, whereas locally-distributed stocks do not. Offshore-type Chinook are vulnerable primarily as returning mature adults in coastal areas on approaches to natal streams.

Total exploitation rates are the fraction of adults harvested in fisheries over a brood year's complete life span and are computed based on CWT recovery data for indicator stocks. Total exploitation rates of southern BC Chinook stocks declined substantially over brood years 1973-1993 for both Far-north migrating and locally-distributed stock types, from an average of approximately 75% to an average of about 45%. Rates in the range of 70% to 80% are likely well above those that would have achieved maximum sustainable yield (MSY) during periods of average productivities. Total exploitation rates for all three ocean distribution types have been similar since about the 1993 brood year and have ranged from about 25% to 50%. Despite these dramatic reductions in total exploitation rates and ocean fishery landings, many stocks have experienced declines in spawning escapements over the past three generations.

Mean CWT-based estimates of survival from release to ocean age 2, a proxy for marine survival conditions for most stocks, were relatively high for far-north-migrating and locally-distributed stock types over brood years 1973 to 1993, but since then have been much lower.

The relatively long and steady period of low marine survivals that have been experienced by most southern BC Chinook stocks suggests that there has probably been a corresponding decrease in stock-specific productivities. In the Harvest section we show, for illustrative purposes, that if current stock-specific productivities have been reduced to one half of the past "average productivities", then recent exploitations rates could exceed MSY total exploitation rates that would be appropriate for many stocks currently, despite the substantial reduction from past much higher rates. If this were the case, then even the reduced exploitation rates could still be a contributing cause to the recent declines in escapements. Reductions in stock-specific productivities may not have been as great as 50%, however, and in our recommendations in the Harvest section we provide suggestions for methods that might allow more rigorous assessment of stock-specific changes in productivity from their long-term average values.

Riddell et al. (2013, p. vi)

Managers of recreational fisheries have increasingly employed catch and release fisheries where populations of concern are present in mixed stock fisheries. Managers employ limits on the number of Chinook that can be kept as well as limiting the harvest of certain sizes of Chinook. The cumulative or total mortalities associated with releasing fish is not well understood. Total mortalities may include immediate mortality upon release, re-capture, depredation, predation upon disoriented fish after release, and succumbing to release related disease or injury prior to spawning. The above threats may be exacerbated by poor compliance, water temperatures, and environmental conditions.

3.1.7 CU-specific Assessments of Threats

As an example of drilling down into a finer level of detail (e.g., Section 1.2.3), some of the members of the Technical Working Group compiled and summarized the knowledge about threats to five individual CUs. The example CUs are CK-01 (Okanagan), CK-15 (Shuswap Summers 0.3), CK-17 (Lower Thompson Spring 1.2), CK-22 (Cowichan/Koksilah), and CK-31 (southwest Vancouver Island), which were chosen based on having substantial information and knowledgeable experts available to complete the work. The results are shown in Appendix C.

Although the results of these CU-specific assessments have not been interpreted in detail, two major patterns are evident when comparing to the assessment of threats across all southern BC Chinook (e.g.,

Table 2). First, as expected, individual threats can be specified in much finer detail (e.g., mechanisms, spatial location, temporal scale, distinction among similar threats, etc.). Second, when threats are assessed at the CU-scale, the vast majority of threats identified are freshwater and estuarine habitat stressors, with significantly less emphasis on factors during the marine component of the CU's life cycle. It appears that when examined at the scale of all southern BC Chinook salmon or CU groups (Figure 2), it is challenging to characterize unifying freshwater habitat threats because those factors are often not uniform or common across all CUs; however, when examined at the scale of individual CUs it is much easier provide detailed and nuanced characterization of such threats because it more closely aligns with the scale at which those factors operate. Alternatively, it may simply be easier to organize the specific expertise and knowledge related to freshwater/estuarine effects at the CU or watershed scale, which allows these threats to be documented in greater detail.

The CU Summary (Appendix D) also provides CU-level summary indicators for several potential threats, including freshwater habitat pressure indicators. Although much more highly aggregated than the CU specific details in Appendix C, the CU Summary (Appendix D) does provide information relevant to individual CUs rather than across all southern BC Chinook or CU groups.

3.2 Knowledge Gaps, Limitations and Data Availability

Chinook salmon exhibit tremendous biological and ecological diversity. As detailed by Riddell et al. (2013), southern BC Chinook salmon are exposed to a broad range of potential stressors and threats during different periods of their life cycle, varying in extent and duration by CU, making it difficult to disentangle the effects of different factors and unlikely that the observed patterns can be attributed to a single cause, but rather interactions among multiple variables. Combined with the socio-economic dimensions of managing habitat, harvest and hatchery production, the result is an incredibly complex socio-ecological system. Given that we have studied and managed this system for a long time, we have collected a lot of information and learned a lot relative to other species, including some other species of salmon. However, despite how much we know, there are many aspects of the system that we do not understand or do not understand well enough – much, much more remains unknown than known. Furthermore, with declining resources for monitoring and the potential for further declines, there is a risk of losing critical information currently collected (e.g., escapement and harvest monitoring).

This subsection identifies the most substantial knowledge gaps that are believed to be limiting our understanding of how potential threats are impacting southern BC Chinooks or our understanding of the most beneficial and effective strategies for halting, reversing and/or avoiding declines in Chinook.

The dominant knowledge gaps within each class are briefly described and they are summarized in Table 4 (or Appendix B for a more detailed version). Similar to the threats, the knowledge gaps have been grouped into classes. Although the identified knowledge gaps are described at the scale of the entire southern BC Chinook aggregate, their relative importance and magnitude varies considerably across CUs. Additional detail is provided for several of the knowledge gaps, as identified by the Technical Working Group.

Table 4. Knowledge gaps and uncertainties related to our understanding of southern BC Chinook salmon and the consequences of management actions and other human influences.

Status and trends Internet Monitoring framework Lack of a comprehensive assessment and monitoring framework (especially indicator stocks across life-history types, ecotypes, and ecosystems). Most of the other status and trends gaps are nested within this overall gap. Indicator stocks Limited number of indicator stocks. However, tagging representative sample of wind fish is extremely difficult/expensive. Abundance Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitment analyses to estimates tock-specific productivity) Mortality Mortality rates by age-class and annual varianibility. Productivity Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity - not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is elfen incomplete on non-existent for most oppulations. Other biometric data In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadeguate for analysis Marine and FW effects Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity Survival factors Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine marmal prediation Possible underestimation on atural mortality due to increased marine earny marine ecosystem interactions. Human influence on prediato How are human-mediated changes in marine marmal populations and behavious leading to changes in prediaton Human influence	Cla	ass and Subcategory	Knowledge Gap
Monitoring framework Lack of a comprehensive assessment and monitoring framework (specially indicator stocks across life-history types, ecotypes, and ecosystems). Most of the other status and trends caps are nested within this overall gap. Indicator stocks Limited number of indicator stocks. Highest priority gaps: Upper Fraser and mainland inlets. Wild indicators Lack of wild indicator stocks. However, tagging representative sample of wild fish is extremely difficult/expensive. Abundance Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitment analyses to estimate stock-specific productivity) Mortality Mortality rates by age-class and annual variability Productivity Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity – not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-existent for most populations. Other biometric data In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis Marine Habitat Survival factors Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine Habitat Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increase data maine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with wild and enhanced changes in marine marmal populations on Chinock populations and behaviours leading to changes in productivity Harine marmal predation Howare human-meditade changes in marine marmal populations and behav	St	atus and trends	
Most of the other status and trends gaps are nested within this overall gap. Indicator stocks Limited number of indicator stocks. Highest priority gaps: Upper Fraser and mainland inlets. Abundance Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitave sample of wild fish is externely difficult/expensive. Abundance Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct/wity and possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-existent for most populations. Other biometric data In mary cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis. Marine and FW effects Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity Existing data Validation of pre-1995 spawner data Marine martmal predation Possible underestimation on datural mortality due to increased marine marmal predation. Human influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine marmal populations and behaviours leading to changes in predation Environmental conditions Immide or densate drive abundance stocks. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance divings and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Clear understanding of impacts (risks and b		Monitoring framework	Lack of a comprehensive assessment and monitoring framework (especially indicator stocks across life-history types, ecotypes, and ecosystems).
Indicator stocks Limited number of indicator stocks, Highest priority gaps: Upper Fraser and maintand inlets. Wild indicators Lack of wild indicator stocks, However, tagging representative sample of wild fibs: lextremely difficult/expensive. Abundance Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitment analyses to estimate stock-specific productivity) Mortality Mortality rates by age-class and annual variability Productivity Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity - not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-existent for most populations. Other biometric data In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis Marine Habitat Validation of pre-1985 spawner data Marine Habitat Survival factors Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine Habitat Survival factors Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Human influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine marmal predation. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinock stocks. Salmon farms Limited understanding of imf		-	Most of the other status and trends gaps are nested within this overall gap.
Wild indicators Lack of wild indicator stocks. However, tagging representative sample of wild fish is extremely difficult/expensive. Abundance Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitment analyses to estimate stock-specific productivity) Mortality Mortality rates by age-class and annual variability Productivity Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity - not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-visitor for most populations. Other biometric data In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis Marine and FW effects Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity Existing data Validation of pre-1985 spawner data Survival factors Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine marmal predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine mammal predation. Human influence on predation Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinock populations. Requires substantial. Iong-term research programs. Environmental conditions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycach rates, spenetis. Sa		Indicator stocks	Limited number of indicator stocks. Highest priority gaps: Upper Fraser and mainland inlets.
Abundance Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitment analyses to estimate stock-specific productivity) Mortality Mortality rates by age-class and annual variability Productivity Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity – not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-existent for most populations. Other biometric data In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis Marine and FW effects Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity Existing data Validation of pre-1995 spawmer data Marine mamal predation Possible underestimation on natural mortality due to increased marine marmal populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Impacts of changes environmental conditions. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of summa insis of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest tracks, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks and other non-larget stocks. Genetic - straving<		Wild indicators	Lack of wild indicator stocks. However, tagging representative sample of wild fish is extremely difficult/expensive.
Mortality Mortality rates by age-class and annual variability Productivity Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity – not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-existent for most populations. Other biometric data In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis Marine and FW effects Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity Existing data Validation of pre-1995 spawner data Marine mannal predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine environment Murine mannal predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Environmental conditions Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of salaron farms. Hatchery Production Risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stary rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of imfuence of changes in hatchery production on changes in hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. </th <th></th> <th>Abundance</th> <th>Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitment analyses to estimate stock-specific productivity)</th>		Abundance	Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitment analyses to estimate stock-specific productivity)
Productivity Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity – not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-existent for most populations. Other biometric data In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis Marine and FW effects Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity Existing data Validation of pre-1995 spawner data Marine Habitat Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine annual predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine mammal predation. Human influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine mammal populations and behaviours leading to changes in predation Ecosystem interactions Imited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcherines and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capability audiance indices, harvest fates, bycatch rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or		Mortality	Mortality rates by age-class and annual variability
Other biometric data In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis Marine and FW effects Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity Existing data Validation of pre-1995 spawner data Marine Habitat Survival factors Marine mannal predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine emmal productivits leading to changes in predation Human influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine marmal populations and behaviours leading to changes in predation Environmental conditions Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chincok populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chincok stocks. Salamon farms Limited information on potential interactions and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycach rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks.		Productivity	Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity – not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-existent for most populations.
Marine and FW effects Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity Existing data Validation of pre-1995 spawner data Marine Habitat Survival factors Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine mammal predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine mammal predation. Human influence on predation Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chincok populations and behavious leading to changes in predation Environmental conditions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds <		Other biometric data	In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis
Existing data Validation of pre-1995 spawner data Marine Habitat Marine Habitat Survival factors Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine mammal predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine mammal predation. Human influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine enammal populations and behaviours leading to changes in predation. Environmental conditions Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Risks and benefits Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic -		Marine and FW effects	Capability to separate freshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity
Marine Habitat Chowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine Habitat Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine mammal predation. Human Influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine mammal populations and behaviours leading to changes in predation Environmental conditions Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycactch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Lim		Existing data	Validation of pre-1995 spawner data
Survival factors Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment Marine mammal predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine mammal predation. Human influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine mammal populations, and behaviours leading to changes in predation Environmental conditions Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/freq for genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/fragnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds Pathogens and Diseases Monitori	Ma	rine Habitat	
Marine mammal predation Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine mammal populations. Human influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine mammal populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Environmental conditions Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of impact of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds Pathogens and Diseases The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harves		Survival factors	Knowledge of what is currently limiting survival in the marine environment
Human influence on predation How are human-mediated changes in marine mammal populations and behaviours leading to changes in predation Environmental conditions Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Pathogens and Diseases The extent to which pathogens and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Varinting Monitoring Are current harv		Marine mammal predation	Possible underestimation of natural mortality due to increased marine mammal predation.
Environmental conditions Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs. Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Risks and benefits Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in ummarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Pathogens and Diseases The extent which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring acress all fisheries in marine and freshwater ar		Human influence on predation	How are human-mediated changes in marine mammal populations and behaviours leading to changes in predation
Ecosystem interactions Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks. Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Risks and benefits Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/leffect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory. <th></th> <th>Environmental conditions</th> <th>Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs.</th>		Environmental conditions	Impacts of changes environmental conditions on Chinook populations. Requires substantial, long-term research programs.
Salmon farms Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Hatchery Production Risks and benefits Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production to return in unmarked stocks Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring. S		Ecosystem interactions	Limited understanding about broader dynamics of estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedbacks associated with both wild and enhanced Chinook stocks.
Hatchery Production Risks and benefits Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced		Salmon farms	Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms.
Risks and benefits Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring within their territory. Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.	На	tchery Production	
carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring within their territory. Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Risks and benefits	Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks - interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement,
Effects on harvest Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries. Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.			carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics.
Genetic Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Effects on harvest	Better understanding of influence of changes in hatchery production on changes in harvest levels for different stocks or fisheries.
Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks. Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Genetic	Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks
Genetic - straying Limited understanding of the extent/magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Genetic - straying	Limited understanding of the extent/effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks.
Pathogens and Diseases Monitoring Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Catch monitoring Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Genetic - straying	Limited understanding of the extent/magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds
Monitoring Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Catch monitoring Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.	Pa	thogens and Diseases	
Impacts of disease The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Monitoring	Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC.
Harvest Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Impacts of disease	The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production over space and time is not known
Sustainable ERs Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.	На	rvest	
Catch monitoring Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷ First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Sustainable ERs	Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels
First Nations participation in catch monitoring Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring . Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.		Catch monitoring	Need to assess current catch and compliance monitoring across all fisheries in marine and freshwater areas and identify the biggest gaps. ¹⁷
		First Nations participation in catch monitoring	Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring. Some First Nations have experienced or perceived an unwillingness to consider local capacity to do monitoring within their territory.

¹⁷ For example, 1) some CSPI participants identified gaps in freshwater catch monitoring in certain areas, and 2) participants had strongly differing views on the strength and representative of the recreational catch and compliance monitoring system.

Class and Subcategory	Knowledge Gap
Total mortality	 Need to have better estimates of the total mortality from fisheries (incl. catch, bycatch, encounter rates, discards, short-/long-term release mortalities). Is retained catch being accurately and completely estimated? Is Chinook bycatch being accurately estimated? Is long-term release mortality being accurately estimated? Is depredation (forcible removal by predators of fish directly from fishing gear) being accurately estimated? Is long-term mortality from drop-off from gillnets and other fishing gear being accurately estimated? Is compliance being accurately estimated? Is impact of environmental conditions on post-release survival being considered?
Setting ERs	Technical basis for setting optimal exploitation rates is weak for many stocks. Need to consider total allowable mortality from all factors and account for changes and uncertainty in what is considered "optimal". The biggest contributing factor to this knowledge gap is the lack of S-R analyses for most populations to be able to estimate U _{MSY} . ¹⁸
Ecosystem-level impacts	The ecological impact of the removal of biomass due to harvest.
Fishery-induced evolution	Genetic risk of modifying populations from fishing the "tails" of the distribution of run timing (i.e., "fishery induced evolution").
Fishery-induced changes	Are certain harvest patterns (e.g., size, timing) contributing to reduced productivity for certain stocks
Climate Change	
Various mechanisms	Incomplete knowledge of expected changes and potential impacts on different aspects of Chinook life history and habitats

¹⁸ Linkage to Status and Trends knowledge gaps.

3.2.1 Assessment Monitoring

Appendix E summarizes the current state of the overall assessment program for southern BC Chinook salmon, by CU. The table illustrates whether the level of representation of each CU within the current framework is adequate, deficient or non-existent. For example, some CUs have a strong CWT hatchery indicator, multiple rivers that are assessed, and well represented in PST Chinook Technical Committee analyses, whereas there are other CUs (and even entire PST Management Units) that have no CWT indicator (often because no hatchery exists in the area), no/few rivers that are assessed, and in some cases CUs for which even the general ocean distribution pattern is unknown.

Significant gaps in the assessment of southern BC Chinook CUs are:

- 1) We still have CUs that are completely unrepresented within our CTC management
- 2) There are several PST MUs that really do not adequately represent the behaviour of the fish (i.e., Fraser Spring 5-2s include far-north migrating Birkenhead with the remainder being offshore; similar issues in Fraser Summer 5-2s; and other aggregates that include multiple run timing groups)
- 3) The lack of CWT indicators in the Fraser (e.g. Spring and Summer 5-2s, far-north migrating springs) and in other areas (e.g. Homathko, Klinaklini)
- 4) The lack of any high-precision escapement work in many areas, which means no possibility of reliably determining escapement by age and sex.

3.2.2 Freshwater Habitat - Ability to determine impacts of environmental conditions

The ability to understand environmental impacts on Chinook salmon within freshwater habitat is increasingly becoming important in order to identify management actions to mitigate the effects and support reproductive success. Monitoring environmental conditions increases our knowledge of how Chinook salmon utilize freshwater habitat in varying conditions. Information collected on river temperatures, migration, and reproductive success under varying and changing environmental conditions enables better management that can allow for in-season adjustments of fisheries in response to adverse effects. Collecting essential information in freshwater habitat is encouraged to close this knowledge gap to not only better manage fisheries but also to fully understand the potential impacts under varying environmental conditions.

3.2.3 Climate Change - Future Impacts

It is very possible that climate change will eventually bring Chinook habitats outside the range of previously observed environmental conditions, or so variable that adaptation is not possible. Furthermore, there could be a tipping point (or series of thresholds) that once crossed, limit the adaptive responses of Chinook and results in irreversible loss of genetic diversity and productive capacity. Partitioning observed changes in Chinook status among competing sources of variation continues to be an area primarily of speculation rather than scientific finding. Continuing to use available tools to assess (and re-assess) cumulative ecosystem impacts and to conduct scientific experiments to measure physiological responses to changing environmental conditions will help inform this work.

3.2.4 Hatchery Production

The principle knowledge gaps that exist with respect to the interactions between hatchery and wild Chinook populations in southern BC are those relating to the magnitude of changes in fitness of Chinook populations that are subject to hatchery influence (direct and indirect). Current work that is ongoing to better understand the magnitude and extent of interactions will help to inform current status, but the outcomes of this work will be needed to better characterize the risks and to inform future hatchery planning, assessment and monitoring work.

Detailed understanding of potential ecological interactions between hatchery and wild salmon populations also remains a gap. While it is generally understood there are potential interactions in the marine and freshwater environments, there are a lack of tools available to appropriately assess and manage these interactions in southern BC. Given the relatively small magnitude of southern BC hatchery Chinook production compared to that from other jurisdictions in the North Pacific, it is most likely that effects directly attributable to BC hatchery production would exist in the early marine environment, however this continues to be an area where better information is needed.

3.2.5 Harvest

The Science Panel report summarizes the knowledge gaps associated with harvest as follows:

The limited number of indicator stocks, especially for the offshore ocean distribution type (stream-type spring Chinook), limits the level of assurance with which estimates of total exploitation rates for indicator stocks can be used to infer likely exploitation rates for untagged stocks of interest. Inconsistency in estimated total exploitation rates for Dome Creek ([hatchery Chinook no longer produced]/no longer tagged) and Nicola River spring Chinook is also of concern. It is important to have at least one additional indicator stock for the offshore ocean distribution type, with a strong preference for the upper Fraser region.

Quantitative abundance estimates (rather than qualitative indexes) of spawning escapements and freshwater harvests are lacking for most southern BC Chinook CUs, thereby ruling out formal stock-recruitment analyses for estimation of stock-specific productivities and assessment of possible temporal changes in productivities. Watershed and habitat-based methods for estimating productivities likely have merit, but they are less desirable than stock-recruitment analyses, in particular because they do not allow incorporation of marine survival rates as a factor that may influence recruitment production.

We note the critical role that estimates of total exploitation rates and marine survival rates, based on tag recoveries of CWT releases of indicator stocks, have played in our assessment of the possibility that harvest (and also ocean environment) may be a continuing serious stressor on southern BC Chinook. It is critical that such estimates are available in the future.

Riddell et al. (2013, p. vii)

There have been some advances made with respect to the catch monitoring and total mortality knowledge gaps identified in Table 4. Gaps in catch monitoring are currently being tackled through the Strategic Framework for Fishery Monitoring and Catch Reporting in the Pacific Fisheries (DFO 2012b). A recent CSAS Science Advisory Report on fishing-related incidental mortality for Pacific Salmon provides increased understanding and guidance for assessing total mortality and incorporating such estimates into fisheries management (DFO 2016d).

3.2.6 Cumulative Effects

Cumulative effects are the net consequences of the aggregate stresses (both natural and anthropogenic) that determine the status and sustainability of a valued ecosystem component (Greig et al. 2003), and they should ideally be assessed at the scale of ecological regions, not at the scale of individual projects (Duinker and Greig 2006). Cumulative effects were considered in the analysis of Fraser sockeye completed by the Cohen Commission (Marmorek et al. 2011) but remain one of the largest knowledge gaps in the management of Pacific Salmon.

Cumulative effects on salmon populations can occur in multiple forms:

- the total impact of a single type of stress that has occurred repeatedly over time, possibly
 increasing in frequency or magnitude (e.g., the cumulative effect of water pollution in the
 Fraser River estuary over the past four decades). To focus management efforts, it is
 important to improve understanding of the relative impact of each stressor on a Chinook
 during the completion of its life history.
- the total impact of a single type of stress that occurred repeatedly over space (e.g., the cumulative effect of multiple mountain pine beetle outbreaks across the entire Fraser River watershed);
- the total impact of many different types of stressors at one point in time or over a period (e.g., the cumulative effect of changing climate, increased mammal predation, and increased harmful algal blooms). Of particular concern are stressors that could act together in a non-additive (multiplicative) fashion, causing inordinate effects when combined. The interaction of water conditions together with non-lethal fishing impacts would be an example.

Anadromous fish face a well-known set of stressors during the completion of their life cycle; these are documented in this report by (1) freshwater habitats (impacts to water flow, water temperature, water quality, and spawning conditions), (2) marine habitat (Estuarine, near shore, offshore), and (3) generalized human-induced stressors spanning both environments, including the impacts of fishing, climate change, hatchery releases and the introduction of exotic species that compete with or predate on juvenile salmonids (Figure 4).

Since some stressors can accumulate over their entire life history (Figure 4), it is very difficult to ascertain the relative contribution of different stressors to observed outcomes. The only way to tease out such relationships is by examining strong contrasts across time, space and stocks (e.g., Marmorek et al. 2011, Peterman et al. 2010), and making inferences based on correlations of stressors and responses, ideally supported by experimental evidence of cause-effect relationships. The CSPI recognizes the importance of cumulative effects, and the need for maintaining a systematic and well-designed monitoring program across all life stages to provide insights on potential cumulative effects, conducting various forms of applied research to test hypotheses regarding potential impact pathways, and periodically synthesizing all available data into an assessment of cumulative effects. The current assessment program in DFO acquires habitat and fish assessment data for the freshwater and marine portions of the life cycle, as well as gathering data on hatcheries and harvest. DFO is partnering with the Pacific Salmon Foundation and others to fill in critical information gaps regarding pathogens, the effects of aquaculture, and changes in marine habitat (e.g., <u>Strategic Salmon Health Initiative</u> and <u>Salish Sea Marine Survival Project</u> (PSF 2015)).

The management challenge is made even more complex by multiple stocks co-migrating as aggregate populations and subjected to fisheries as well as other stresses. Maintaining a diverse

portfolio of stocks has been shown to have increased the resilience of the entire aggregate population of salmon in Bristol Bay (Schindler et al. 2010). From a practical perspective, the main objectives in managing cumulative effects are to predict: (1) where and when the cumulative effects of human-induced stresses can be tolerated (and where when they cannot); and (2) where limited resources are best applied to mitigate ongoing stress.



Figure 4. Conceptual illustration of the cumulative effects of different stressors affecting Chinook salmon.

4 Objectives

The SPC developed an initial set of biological, social and economic objectives at a 2-day workshop in March 2013 (Compass 2013). The TWG used a subset of these objectives in January 2014 for a *qualitative* evaluation of general *strategic directions* developed by the SPC (ESSA 2014). Table 5 builds on this and other previous work, proposing a hierarchy of objectives at 5 levels (the columns in the table): 1) objectives from the Wild Salmon Policy; 2) *fundamental* objectives (*what is desired*) developed by the SPC; 3) *means* objectives to achieve the SPC's objectives; 4) candidate indicators associated with the means objectives; and 5) candidate performance measures for each of the candidate indicators. Table 5 also indicates the class for each fundamental and means objective (i.e., biological/ecological, social, or economic) and its desired direction (i.e., increasing or decreasing). The indicators and performance measures are examples that could potentially be applied, but they are not firm recommendations. It is anticipated that Table 5 will be further refined as the strategy is implemented, particularly the indicators and performance measures, which need to be monitored and/or modelled to provide feedback on the effectiveness of the strategies in achieving the listed objectives. Indicators will vary depending on the availability of data across CUs.

Table 5.	Hierarchy of objectives,	indicators and	performance measu	res proposed for the CSPI.
----------	--------------------------	----------------	-------------------	----------------------------

WSP Object.	SPC Fundamental Objectives	Proposed Means Objectives	Class	Desired Direct.	Indicator(s) / Criteria to Assess	Performance Measures (evaluations of indicators to yield insights on progress)	Source
1.	Habitat and Eco	system Integrity					
Maintain habitat and ecosystem integrity	Sustain freshwater habitat carrying capacity (B5)	Increase the <i>quantity</i> of freshwater habitat (carrying capacity) in CUs where that appears to be a factor limiting freshwater production (e.g., remove barriers to allow Chinook to access high quality habitat)	Bio./ Eco.	↑	S_{rep} (spawners required for replacement) and S_{msy} (spawners required for maximum sustainable yield), estimated either directly from spawner-recruit data or from GIS information on barriers and area of accessible spawning and rearing habitat (accounting for life history type). Summarized by reach, watershed or CU.	Change over time in area of accessible habitat, Srep and Smsy due to habitat restoration actions (e.g., barrier removal) or human / natural disturbances (e.g., barrier creation)	Parken et al. 2006
		Maintain or improve the <i>quality</i> of habitat attributes that are critical to local freshwater production of Chinook salmon	Bio./ Eco.	Ť	Many possible habitat indicators (Nelitz 2007a, 2007b); key is to determine within each CU which ones are limiting local production of Chinook Relative levels of cumulative stress by CU, re- evaluated every 10 years.	Trends through time in key indicators limiting freshwater production within each CU or watershed Decadal changes in % of watersheds at low, moderate or high cumulative risk based on methods in Porter et al. 2013.	Nelitz et al. 2007a, 2007b Marmorek and Porter 2009 Porter et al. 2013
	Sustain salmon contribution to	Ensure that there are sufficient Chinook post-harvest to sustain Chinook-dependent predators	Bio./ Eco.	\uparrow	5-year average recruitment and 5-year escapement as indicators of available prey for Chinook-dependent predators	Trends over time in 5-year average recruitment and 5-year average escapement	Proposed indicator - builds on work in Nelitz et al. 2006
	ecosystem health (B6)	Ensure that Chinook harvests are not harming Resident Killer Whale populations	Bio./ Eco.	\downarrow	Sensitivity of fecundity and population growth rate of Southern Resident Killer Whales (SRKW) to current harvest rates of Chinook salmon	Changes in the relationship between SRKW metrics and Chinook abundance indicators	Hilborn et al. 2012; Ward et al. 2013; Velez-Espino et al. 2014

WSP Object.	SPC Fundamental Objectives	Proposed Means Objectives	Class	Desired Direct.	Indicator(s) / Criteria to Assess	Performance Measures (evaluations of indicators to yield insights on progress)	Source
2.	Conservation At least sustain and preferably improve overall (wild and enhanced) salmon abundance (B1)		Bio./ Eco.	^	SBC Chinook WSP integrated status paper- red, yellow, green integrated status assessment	Integrated WSP Status (# CUs in Red, Yellow, or Green category) Comparison of spawning abundance to various WSP biological benchmarks	CSAS paper in prep.
	At least sustain and preferably improve wild salmon abundance (B2)		Bio./ Eco.	↑			dashboards
Salmon		Ensure that total fishing mortality rates remain below level required to reach MSY	Bio./ Eco.	÷	 a) Total fishing mortalities (TFM) vs. TFM that would generate MSY (μmsy) for indicator stocks based on <i>historic</i> productivity b) TFM vs. μmsy for indicator stocks based on <i>current</i> productivity 	Current TFM vs. historic productivity Current TFM vs. current productivity (see Riddell et al. 2013, which provided μ_{msy} for historic and 50% historic productivity for CDN indicator stocks)	Riddell et al. 2013; DFO 2016d
tic diversity of wild Pacific Sa		Maintain spawner abundance above escapement goals or WSP benchmarks (where available) and/or maintain positive trend in spawner abundance	Bio./ Eco.	↑ (a) Time series of spawner abundance vs. escapement goal or WSP benchmarks b) Recent trends in spawner abundance 	% years in which spawners exceed goals or benchmarks over last 10 years and pattern of change over time % change in spawner abundance over last 10 years	See CTC report: REPORT TCCHINOO K (15)-2, pg. 98
uard the genetic		Maintain stock status in green zone	Bio./ Eco.	\uparrow	 a) Fraser Chinook management units b) WCVI Chinook c) Cowichan d) Others 	% years objective achieved in last 10 years and pattern of change over time.	Post- season reviews
Safeguar		Maintain harvest rates below harvest objectives or fishery reference points	Bio./ Eco.	Ļ	 a) Exploitation rate or total fishing mortalities vs. management objectives for specific stocks b) Actual Harvests or Total mortalities (incl. catch + releases) vs. TAC for domestic fisheries (also see international objectives) 	 % years actual outcomes met or exceeded in last 10 years and pattern of change over time. % Variance from targets (e.g. +/- 5% vs. +/- 50%) 	Post- season reviews
	At least sustain and preferably improve salmon spawning distribution (B3)	Maintain viable numbers of Chinook spawners across all historic spawning areas	Bio./ Eco.	↑	Distribution of spawners across historic spawning areas	Trend through time in % of spawning areas within each CU that have viable numbers of spawners (targets TBD)	
	Sustain genetic diversity	Preserve or enhance	Bio./	1			

WSP Object.	SPC Fundamental Objectives	Proposed Means Objectives	Class	Desired Direct.	Indicator(s) / Criteria to Assess	Performance Measures (evaluations of indicators to yield insights on progress)	Source
	(B4)	genetic diversity through hatchery programs	Eco.				
		Minimize the negative impacts of enhancement on the genetic diversity of wild Chinook populations in areas of potential concern (currently Strait of Georgia (SoG) and WCVI).	Bio./ Eco.	↓ (PHOS) ↑ (PNI)	Proportion of Hatchery-Origin Spawners (PHOS) Proportionate Natural Influence (PNI) (estimates the degree of influence of the hatchery environment on the mixed hatchery and natural-origin spawners)	Maintain PHOS < population-specific thresholds, and PNI > population-specific thresholds in vulnerable populations in SoG and WCVI Note: pHOS and PNI thresholds do not currently exist	Table Hat-1 (pg. 120) in Riddell et al. (2013); Busack et al. (2006) DFO (2018)

WSP Object.	SPC Fundamental Objectives	Proposed Means Objectives	Class	Desired Direct.	Indicator(s) / Criteria to Assess	Performance Measures (evaluations of indicators to yield insights on progress)	Source
3.	International – Pa	acific Salmon Treaty (PST)				
s and Benefits	Refer to footnote ¹⁹	Maintain harvest below Total Allowable Catch (TAC) ceilings that are developed based on Aggregate Abundance Based Management (AABM) objectives according to schedule in Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST)	Bio./ Eco.	↓ ↓	Maintain AABM harvests below pre-season and post-season TAC	 a) Comparison of North Coast AABM harvests to pre-season and post-season TAC b) Comparison of WCVI AABM harvests to pre-season and post-season TAC c) Comparison of SEAK AABM harvests to pre-season and post-season TAC c) years objectives met in last 10 years by indicator stock, and pattern of change over time 	PST Post- season Reports or CTC Reports
Fisheri		Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) objectives		Ļ	Canada and the United States shall limit the total adult equivalent mortality rate in the aggregate of their respective ISBM fisheries to no greater than 63.5 percent and 60 percent, respectively, of that which occurred during the 1979 to 1982 base period on the indicator stocks identified in Attachments IV and V for stocks not achieving their management objectives.	% years objectives exceeded in last 10 years by indicator stock and pattern of change over time	CTC annual reports

- 1. With respect to stocks subject to this Treaty, each Party shall conduct its fisheries and its salmon enhancement programs so as to:
 - a. prevent overfishing and provide for optimum production; and
 - b. provide for each Party to receive benefits equivalent to the production of salmon originating in its waters.
- 2. In fulfilling their obligations pursuant to paragraph 1, the Parties shall cooperate in management, research and enhancement.
- 3. In fulfilling their obligations pursuant to paragraph 1, the Parties shall take into account:
 - a. the desirability in most cases of reducing interceptions; and
 - b. the desirability in most cases of avoiding undue disruption of existing fisheries; and
 - c. annual variations in abundance of the stocks.

¹⁹ The SPC did not identify any explicit fundamental objectives that align with this category. However, the Pacific Salmon Treaty itself outlines three central principles in Article III:

WSP Object.	SPC Fundamental Objectives	Proposed Means Objectives	Class	Desired Direct.	Indicator(s) / Criteria to Assess	Performance Measures (evaluations of indicators to yield insights on progress)	Source
4.	First Nations						
to First	At least sustain and preferably increase aboriginal FSC harvest abundance (S1)		Social	↑			
Benefits obligations Is	At least sustain and preferably increase aboriginal FSC harvest distribution (S2)		Social	Ŷ			
Fisheries and WSP Principle: Honour Nation		Realize intended harvest opportunities for First Nations, consistent with Allocation priority that recognizes that after conservation, First Nations' FSC fisheries have priority.		↑ (a) Fishery openings by area strata b) Fishing effort by area strata c) Catch vs. communal license harvest target by area (e.g. SCA, LFA, BCI) 	 a) Time trends in open time (hours/days) / Relative change in open time over last 10 years b) Time trends in reported fishing effort by area strata c) Time trends in catch over last 10 years (comparison of catch with communal license harvest target) d) Average Chinook CPUE by area/year 	Post- season reviews

WSP Object.	SPC Fundamental Objectives	Proposed Means Objectives	Class	Desired Direct.	India Crite	cator(s) / eria to Assess	Performance Measures (evaluations of indicators to yield insights on progress)	Source
5.	Recreational							
	Maintain or enrich recreational fishery experience (S3)		Social	↑				
efits	Maintain or increase recreational fishery net revenue (E2)		Econ.	1				
ind Bene	Maintain or increase recreational fishery employment (E4)		Econ.	↑				
Fisheries (Maintain or enhance recreational fishery opportunities while adhering to Allocation priorities for conservation and FN FSC.	Econ. Social	Ť	a) b) c)	Amount of fishing effort Wild and enhanced Chinook catch Chinook CPUE	 a) Time trends in effort (boat days/ rod days) by area stratum b) Time trends in Chinook catch by area strata c) Time trends in CPUE by area strata 	

WSP Object.	SPC Fundamental Objectives	Proposed Means Objectives	Class	Desired Direct.	Indicator(s) / Criteria to Assess	Performance Measures (evaluations of indicators to yield insights on progress)	Source
6.	Commercial (including	g FN economic/demo fisheries	5)				
	Maintain or increase commercial fishery net revenue (E1)		Econ.	↑			
nd Benefits	Maintain or increase commercial fishery employment (E3)		Econ.	Ŷ			
Fisheries ar		Maintain or enhance commercial harvest opportunities while adhering to Allocation priorities of conservation, FN FSC, and recreational fisheries.	Econ.	↑	a) Commercial Harvest b) Employment c) Income/Revenue	 a) Time trends in commercial harvest by year b) Time trends in average % of commercial TAC harvested by year c) Time trends in employment and revenue 	 DFO's Fishery Operations System (FOS) Post-season reports Policy/Economic Analysis using Provincial model
		·					

WSP Object.	SPC Fundamental Objectives	Proposed Means Objectives	Class	Desired Direct.	Indicator(s) / Criteria to Assess	Performance Measures (evaluations of indicators to yield insights on progress)	Source
7.	Other (do not fit e	asily within above categories)					
d Benefits	Reduce management uncertainty (B7)	Show improvements over time in the achievement of biological, social and economic objectives and performance measures.	Bio./ Eco.	¥	Biological, social and economic indicators listed above	Time trends in achievement of biological, social and economic performance measures.	
Fisheries and	Reduce management costs (E5)	Increase cost effectiveness of management as stocks recover (e.g., increasing the ratio of total returns of wild Chinook to SBC CUs to total Chinook management costs)	Econ.	↑	Chinook management costs (including monitoring, stock assessment, analysis, enforcement) Total returns of wild Chinook	Time trends in [the ratio of total returns of wild Chinook to SBC CUs] to [total Chinook management costs]	
[other]	Sustain connection with salmon (S4)	Increase the number of people involved in fishing for Chinook, in recovery of Chinook salmon populations and in watershed protection or restoration	Social	↑	 # people involved in fishing for Chinook (all sectors) # people involved in local programs for recovery of Chinook salmon populations and in the protection and restoration of watersheds that support Chinook 	Time trends in the number of people involved (as specified in adjacent columns)	

5 Strategies

This section presents the set of proposed strategies for achieving the objectives described in Section 4 and thus addressing the threats and knowledge gaps identified in Section 3. Where appropriate, multiple sub-strategies are nested within a single overarching strategy. The strategies are organized within the following categories:

Process Strategies

These overarching strategies provide direction for the implementation of both the overall Strategic Plan and individual substantive strategies:

- Communication, Information and Collaboration
- Assessing the Effectiveness of Actions

Learning and Action Strategies

These strategies provide direction for management actions or research activities within focal domains:

- Marine Habitat/Ecosystem
- Freshwater habitat
- Significant Projects and/or Incremental/Cumulative Development
- Hatchery Production and Hatchery-based Indicator Stocks
- Harvest
- Climate Change
- Additional Monitoring to Assess Status and Trends

The order of the categories does not represent prioritization among the categories. Within each category, strategies and sub-strategies are organized to reflect logical sequence where appropriate.

The implementation and tactical execution of these strategies should be consistent with the national conservation and rebuilding policies that apply both specifically to salmon (i.e., the Wild Salmon Policy; DFO 2005) and broadly to all fish stocks (i.e., the national rebuilding guidelines; DFO 2013b).

5.1 Scope and Context

There are several important points that **must** be considered when reviewing and interpreting these strategies.

- 1. These strategies are not meant to be detailed, executable actions. They are intended to provide strategic direction to inform subsequent action-planning processes where the actions required to implement the strategies would be determined (e.g., within existing planning processes within different domains/regions).
- 2. The sub-strategies are not necessarily an exhaustive list they are examples that support the overarching strategy.
- 3. The Strategic Plan is the product of a Tier 2 government-to-government process with additional multi-stakeholder input. The Strategic Plan does not represent the mandate or plan of any single organization or group. Rather, it presents a set of strategies that, if collectively and collaboratively implemented, would be expected to improve the prospects for healthy Chinook populations and sustainable use. These strategies span the mandates and jurisdictions of many different entities, and the Strategic Plan as a whole does not reflect a commitment by any individual organization (e.g., DFO) to implement the full suite.
- 4. The strategies as presented in this section have not been screened by cost or feasibility of implementation. Some strategies would require very significant investment, potentially beyond

realistic constraints. However, such strategies have been retained if their potential benefits would address identified threats and/or knowledge gaps. These issues are further addressed in Section 6.

- 5. Some strategies are currently addressed by existing legislation or regulations. These strategies are still relevant, however, because legislation can change, and these strategies address the underlying need for maintaining (or strengthening where possible) such frameworks.
- 6. Some strategies may be beyond existing mandates of organizations or agencies, or outside of their usual operations. Such a gap does not diminish the importance of a strategy but does indicate additional complexities that would need to be addressed to implement it.

5.2 Integrated Strategies to Address Threats, Knowledge Gaps and Objectives

HIGH-LEVEL SUMMARY OF STRATEGIES

In Table 6, each of the strategies is stated in its simplest terms. These descriptions represent the essence of each strategy without delving into the full, comprehensive details of each strategy (as provided in the subsequent section).

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF STRATEGIES

The following section provides the detailed description of each strategy and its associated subcomponents. These details represent the full characterization of each strategy, as developed by the Steering and Planning Committee. For each strategy, this section may provide additional information on **what** the strategy is meant to include or exclude, **why** it is included (e.g., what are the intended benefits), and/or **how** it could be implemented. Many of the strategies have additional subcomponents (e.g., bulleted components nested within the overall strategy) – these subcomponents may represent a sequence of steps or stages necessary to achieve the overall strategy, sub-strategies that support the overall strategy. Although detailed action-planning is meant to be part of the implementation phase that *follows from* the development of the Strategic Plan, many of the strategies include specific examples (primarily in footnotes) of actions that *could be* included in the implementation of these strategies. These examples are not intended to be either exhaustive or prescriptive, but they can serve to clarify the intent of the strategies as well as initial ideas for implementation.

Strategies that align with the priorities identified in previous stages of the CSPI are identified as follows:

- **1** = Science Panel highest-level priority²⁰;
- **2** = SPC priority learning strategies²¹

²⁰ See Riddell et al. 2013.

²¹ See Hall et al. 2014.

	Process Strategies							
Communication, Information and Collaboration								
Strategy 1	Develop a communication plan for outreach and education about the Strategic Plan							
Strategy 2	Develop and implement a data sharing plan							
Strategy 3	Promote and encourage local and regional collaborative relationships							
Strategy 4	Integrate Aboriginal Traditional and Local Ecological Knowledge							
Assessing The	BENEFITS, COSTS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF ACTIONS							
Strategy 5	Implement action-based strategies in a way that allows learning about the effectiveness of actions							
Strategy 6	Assess benefits and costs with respect to all affected groups and interested parties							
	Learning and Action Strategies							
MARINE HABIT	AT AND ECOSYSTEM							
Strategy 7	Protect marine and estuarine habitat important to Chinook salmon							
Strategy 8	Improve understanding threats and limiting factors in early marine and estuarine habitats and mitigate							
Strategy 9	Improve understanding and mitigation of impacts of disease on Chinook salmon							
Freshwater H	IABITAT AND ECOSYSTEM							
Strategy 10	Protect freshwater habitat across CUs, migratory routes and rearing areas to support resilience and diversity							
Strategy 11	Identify and remedy threats to freshwater habitat							
Strategy 12	Integrate information on upstream and pre-spawn mortality into harvest planning							
SIGNIFICANT PH	ROJECTS OR INCREMENTAL/CUMULATIVE DEVELOPMENT							
Strategy 13 Include salmon and salmon habitat as focal area of environmental and cumulative impact assessments								
HATCHERY PRO	DUCTION AND HATCHERY-BASED INDICATOR STOCKS							
Strategy 14	Align production with approved program objectives and monitoring requirements							
Strategy 15	Develop/maintain an effective, integrated network of hatchery indicator stocks							
Strategy 16	Assess the risks of hatchery programs on spawning/rearing success of wild salmon							
Strategy 17	Assess the benefits of production on harvest opportunities and stock rebuilding							
Strategy 18	Determine the appropriate level of precaution or risk aversion for CUs or aggregates							
Strategy 19	Evaluate the merits of adding new hatchery production, where appropriate							
HARVEST								
Strategy 20	Ensure that fishing related mortality does not exceed sustainable removal rates							
Strategy 21	Develop an integrated model to evaluate the effects of changes in harvest							
Strategy 22	Conduct monitoring and evaluation to assess fishery related mortalities							
CLIMATE CHANG	GE							
Strategy 23	Assess the potential impacts of climate change on Chinook salmon							
Strategy 24	Identify opportunities to adapt to the effects of climate change on Chinook salmon							
ADDITIONAL M	ONITORING TO ASSESS STATUS AND TRENDS							
Strategy 25	Develop a network of indicator stocks to represent wild Chinook management units							
Strategy 26	Review and incorporate historic information into current data sets							
Strategy 27	Monitor CU status and progress toward WSP benchmarks and/or other biological benchmarks							

Table 6. High-level summary of the strategies. Each strategy is stated in its simplest (see main text for further details).

PROCESS STRATEGIES

5.2.1 Communication, Information and Collaboration

- **Strategy 1.** Develop and implement a communication plan to improve communication and education among all stakeholders and interested parties.
 - 1.1. Increase understanding of Chinook status, threats and potential mitigation of these threats, demonstrating how multiple actions can improve productivity, survival and recovery.
 - 1.2. Increase understanding of existing strategies and processes for managing, monitoring and assessing threats.
 - 1.3. Target outreach to those outside salmon circles, especially decision-makers and stakeholders in domains outside of DFO (e.g., land use management, water management, agencies reviewing development proposals).
 - a. Consider how other legislation interacts with Chinook issues (e.g., Water Sustainability Act)
 - 1.4. Involve governments, including First Nations governments, harvesters, environmental groups, and community interests in the planning and implementation of Chinook recovery initiatives.

Strategy 2. Develop and implement a data sharing plan

- 2.1. Provide opportunities for all participants to access common information/data
- 2.2. Develop, implement and maintain data sharing platform/system for facilitating access to information/data
- **Strategy 3.** Promote and encourage local and regional collaborative relationships for the implementation of all strategies
 - 3.1. Collaborative relationships should be inclusive of First Nations, all levels of government, stakeholders, community groups, and other non-governmental organizations.
 - 3.2. When establishing new collaborative relationships, consider applying or adapting the framework established in the Cowichan Watershed to guide engagement, while recognizing that each situation may differ
 - a. Identify potential partners, especially those with overlapping or conflicting mandates.
 - b. Improve communication among potential partners, connecting competing or noncommunicating groups²².
 - c. Develop objectives and governance processes.
 - 3.3. Identify opportunities to coordinate efforts locally make efficient use of limited resources and avoid spending money on work that is unnecessary, of limited benefit or is a low collective priority.
 - 3.4. Strive to align local and regional strategies.
 - 3.5. Identify and implement opportunities to build capacity within First Nations and local community groups.

Strategy 4. Integrate Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and local ecological knowledge.

²² Connection with Strategy 1

- 4.1. Integrate Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and local ecological knowledge into the further development and implementation of other strategies within the Strategic Plan
- 4.2. Identify opportunities to incorporate Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and local ecological knowledge into existing analyses and/or planning processes.
- 4.3. Develop and implement protocols for use of and for the sharing of Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge.

<u>Anticipated benefits (Strategies 1-4)</u>: More comprehensive actions across multiple stakeholders and where required, First Nations, to preserve and recover Chinook CUs; more constituencies speaking and acting on behalf of Chinook.

5.2.2 Assessing the Benefits, Costs and Effectiveness of Actions

- **Strategy 5.** Implement action-based strategies within a structured approach that facilitates learning about the effectiveness of actions and reduces critical uncertainties.
 - 5.1. Develop a formal plan for Adaptive Management (see section 5.3 for an explanation of Adaptive Management) in consultation with First Nations and other interested parties, that:
 - a. Identifies critical uncertainties in the effects of management actions that could be reduced through formal Adaptive Management
 - b. Identifies hypotheses to be tested and design and implement formal Adaptive Management experiment(s) for the selected management actions.
 - c. Implements, monitors, evaluates, and adjusts management actions as per the Adaptive Management plans developed.
 - 5.2. Where formal Adaptive Management is not appropriate or feasible, apply the principles of Adaptive Management to the implementation of action-based strategies

Anticipated benefits: Stronger evidence for evaluating and adjusting management actions.

- **Strategy 6.** Assess the benefits and costs of actions within each of the strategies with respect to all affected groups and interested parties.
 - 6.1. Identify who/where received the benefits and/or costs of particular actions or practices
 - 6.2. The groups that will be affected by the outcomes of a particular strategy should be engaged in the assessment of the potential benefits and costs, or at least in defining the terms of reference for the assessment

<u>Anticipated benefits</u>: More comprehensive understanding of the magnitude and distribution of benefits and costs associated with strategies or actions.

LEARNING AND ACTION STRATEGIES

5.2.3 Marine Habitat and Ecosystem

Strategy 7. Promote the protection of marine and estuarine habitat important to Chinook salmon and prioritize areas where restoration activities would have the greatest benefit to Chinook survival rates

- 7.1. Maintain, strengthen and enforce legislation and regulations that protect marine and estuarine habitat, at all levels of government.
- 7.2. Communicate the importance of habitat protection and potential threats.
- 7.3. Determine the status of estuaries.
- 7.4. Identify and prioritize key estuary areas that are at risk and/or require protection.
- 7.5. Protect and restore rearing areas of estuaries, particularly areas frequented by multiple Chinook stocks (e.g. Fraser River).
- 7.6. Work with multiple levels of government and stakeholders to mitigate human activities that promote aggregations of predators (and activities that are destructive to habitat) and appear to cause high mortality of Chinook salmon in estuarine and nearshore marine areas (e.g., log booms, hatchery release practices, salmon farms, coastal developments).

<u>Anticipated benefits</u>: Increase survival and/or halt the decline in survival by improving habitat conditions or reducing specific threats.

- **Strategy 8.** Improve understanding of threats and limiting factors affecting juvenile survival in estuary and early marine habitats and mitigate these factors where possible. 1²³
 - 8.1. Confirm or increase understanding of the location and distribution of Chinook in early marine habitats.
 - 8.2. Study natural mortality to update assumptions used in management since the 1980s. 1
 - 8.3. Identify and remedy (where possible) anthropogenic threats limiting early survival or indirectly contributing to natural mortality.
 - a. Identify and study the potential effects of emerging stressors ²⁴
 - b. Implement actions ²⁵ to address known issues
 - 8.4. If marine survival is low, apply compensatory actions within other domains
 - a. Assure the best possible condition of out-migrating smolts ²⁶
 - b. Adjust harvest as appropriate

<u>Anticipated benefits</u>: improve the understanding, tools and effectiveness of Chinook management; develop more realistic/accurate estimates of early marine mortality

- **Strategy 9.** Improve understanding and management of fish health and disease in hatchery and salmon farm production wherever possible.
 - 9.1. Conduct inventories or assessments of current practices in hatcheries and salmon farms with respect to fish health²⁷
 - 9.2. Maintain or improve where possible monitoring and reporting of fish health, including disease, in hatcheries and salmon farms.

²³ In particular, the Science Panel identified the need for further studies of the early marine survival in the Strait of Georgia and on the west coast of Vancouver Island (Riddell et al. 2013).

²⁴ e.g., lights, underwater noise, micro-plastics

²⁵ e.g., kelp bed restoration

²⁶ As addressed by freshwater strategies (Strategies 10 and 11)

²⁷ In the case of salmon farms, this may already have been completed.

- 9.3. Increase research into (a) the dynamics of pathogens and other infectious agents, disease, and fish health; (b) the potential influence of climate change; and (c) the potential impacts on southern BC Chinook.
- 9.4. Develop and maintain strong health management practices and actions to improve fish health in hatcheries and salmon farms, and to minimize impacts on other southern BC Chinook stocks.
- 9.5. Ensure that hatcheries and salmon farms are following best practices with respect to aquatic animal health
- 9.6. Ensure that existing and/or new information on fish health and disease is integrated into management decisions within other domains, whether or not direct mitigation actions exist ²⁸

<u>Anticipated benefits</u>: Improve understanding and management of a potential stressor and issue of public concern.

5.2.4 Freshwater Habitat and Ecosystem

- Strategy 10. Promote protection of freshwater habitat and watershed function (across all CUs²⁹, migratory routes and all rearing habitats used by Chinook salmon) to support the resilience of the entire system³⁰
 - 10.1. Maintain, strengthen and enforce legislation and regulations at all levels of government that protect freshwater habitat.
 - 10.2. Improve collaboration/coordination of existing legislation/regulations among different levels of government.
 - 10.3. Build relationships with local government (i.e., municipal, regional and First Nations) and incorporate habitat protection into municipal and watershed planning.
 - 10.4. Communicate the importance of habitat protection and potential threats.
- **Strategy 11.** Identify and remedy habitat threats within CUs, including those that have exhibited significant declines (greatest need) and those with strong local engagement in protection and restoration (greatest capacity) regardless of status and recent trends.
 - 11.1. Develop collaborative relationships and/or broader governance strategies to improve the coordination among the many government agencies, First Nations and community groups undertaking habitat restoration activities³¹.
 - 11.2. Identify anthropogenic threats limiting survival and/or recovery of Chinook CU's in the freshwater environment (spawning, rearing and migratory habitats)

²⁸ In some cases, effective actions may not currently exist.

²⁹ Habitat protection should not be limited to only the CUs that are currently contributing to fisheries or to only the CUs with the most significant recent declines. Maintaining the resilience of southern BC Chinook in the face of uncertain future climate/ocean regimes across all CUs requires protecting important habitat across all CUs.
³⁰ Different types of habitat may become more or less important in the future as conditions change; therefore, the resilience of the entire system depends on protecting the breadth and diversity of habitats used by different CUs.
³¹ e.g., RFCPP, FHRI, FPP offsetting, PSF, etc.

- 11.3. Assess potential improvements in stock productivity and carrying capacity to identify opportunities where habitat restoration will be most effective.
 - a. Communicate results to scientists working on integrated modeling across SBC CUs.
 - b. Include retrospective analyses of historic habitat loss to identify restoration opportunities
- 11.4. Design habitat protection and/or restoration actions, including appropriate monitoring and evaluation, prioritizing actions with the maximum benefit and/or greatest cost effectiveness
- 11.5. Implement actions designed to protect and restore freshwater habitat (e.g., barrier removal, protection of spawning areas, water use management to provide flow requirements for various life stages)³².

<u>Anticipated benefits (Strategies 10-11)</u>: Healthy and diverse freshwater habitats, supporting healthy and diverse Chinook populations.

- **Strategy 12.** Integrate information on upstream mortality, pre-spawn mortality and reduced reproductive success due to increases in river temperature and/or decreases in flow into harvest planning³³
 - 12.1. Develop methodologies for monitoring upstream/pre-spawn mortalities and assessing relationships with river temperature, as consistent with the available information.
 - a. Integrate information on in-river productivity and mortality estimates into harvest planning
 - 12.2. Conduct research to provide better predictors of pre-spawn mortality
 - a. Identify Chinook-specific relationships with high water temperature and/or low flow
 - 12.3. Determine feasibility of establishing guidelines for in-season fishing adjustments to account for upstream and pre-spawn mortality.
 - 12.4. As feasible, given data availability and potential management actions, set guidelines, implement monitoring as appropriate, and apply in-season adjustments to harvest as necessary to compensate for upstream mortality and reproductive success.

Anticipated benefits: Reduce risk of overharvesting, ensure adequate spawning to sustain populations.

5.2.5 Significant Projects or Incremental/Cumulative Development

- Strategy 13. Ensure that salmon and salmon habitat are included as a focal area of environmental and cumulative impact assessments of significant projects with effects on Chinook salmon or multiple smaller projects³⁴ with a potentially significant cumulative effect
 - 13.1. Actively engage stakeholders, as well as the Fisheries Protection Program, in environmental assessment processes of projects that could potentially affect Chinook salmon or their habitat.

³² Involves engagement with other agencies and other legislation.

³³ Strategy 12 is linked to the strategies associated with climate change (Section 5.2.8). Assessing the impacts of changing river temperature and flow is a particular implementation of Strategy 23 (understanding the impacts of climate change), and incorporating that information into harvest planning is an implementation of Strategy 24 (adapting to climate change impacts).

³⁴ Many smaller projects do not require EAs but may incrementally contribute to significant cumulative effects.

- 13.2. Develop a cumulative effects framework and assess the potential effects.
- 13.3. Determine and prioritize actions for avoiding or reducing identified impacts to Chinook salmon or their habitat.
- 13.4. When existing habitat cannot be protected, mitigate or offset the loss of productive capacity, accounting for the direct, indirect and cumulative effects of the project or activity.
- 13.5. Require proponents of projects with potential impacts on Chinook salmon or their habitats to provide funding for research and monitoring³⁵

<u>Anticipated benefits</u>: Reduce the risk of harm to Chinook populations and their habitats.

5.2.6 Hatchery Production and Hatchery-based Indicator Stocks

Overarching Hatchery Strategy:

Manage hatcheries in a manner that coordinates production for approved hatchery objectives (conservation, assessment, sustainable harvest opportunities, public education and community engagement, which apply to all enhanced salmon) with Chinook status and trend monitoring programs, while minimizing the risk of serious or irreversible harm to wild fish³⁶.

- Strategy 14. Follow the process, objectives, and priorities for setting enhanced production levels, considering current enhancement practices and identifying opportunities to better align production with the approved program objectives and regional monitoring requirements³⁷
 - 14.1. Reduce risk of negative impacts of hatchery practices on wild stocks
 - 14.2. Maintain or increase practices that have demonstrated benefits consistent with the prioritized established objectives³⁸.
 - 14.3. Identify high level production priorities by CU, CU group or Management Unit for further investigation and analysis of risk and benefit
 - 14.4. Include biodiversity and genetic diversity objectives in hatchery program objectives
- **Strategy 15.** Review existing monitoring programs which are based on hatchery stocks, and supplement, modify or maintain as necessary to develop and implement an effective, integrated network of hatchery indicator stocks, across life-history types, ecotypes, and ecosystems, providing information of sufficient quality for sound management decisions.
 - 15.1. Maintain existing hatchery CWT indicators where they still provide important information. 2
 - 15.2. Review, assess and prioritize hatchery CWT indicators to determine where they are most useful for both domestic and international purposes, as appropriate. **2**

³⁵ Especially where direct avoidance, mitigation, and/or compensation cannot be achieved.

³⁶ Agencies outside of DFO conducting Chinook hatchery enhancement can employ the same strategies to achieve similar objectives.

³⁷ See DFO 2012a.

³⁸ See DFO 2012a, 2013a.

- 15.3. Identify and prioritize Chinook ecotypes³⁹ that do not have indicator stocks (e.g., Upper Fraser springs, possibly other Upper/Middle Fraser and/or Thompson stocks, Mainland inlets) and/or have inadequate information for sound management decisions.
- 15.4. Add additional CWT hatchery stock(s) to address major knowledge gaps. 2
- **Strategy 16.** Assess the direct and indirect risks of hatchery and enhancement⁴⁰ practices on the spawning and rearing success of wild populations⁴¹. **2**
 - 16.1. Assess potential effects (genetic, ecological, disease) on wild fish of hatchery fish rearing and/or spawning in the natural environment ^{42, 43}.
- **Strategy 17.** Assess the direct and indirect benefits of hatchery production to the provision of harvest opportunities, stock rebuilding, and conservation of the genetic diversity within salmon populations.
 - 17.1. Assess how changes in hatchery production affect changes in harvest levels in different stocks and fisheries.
 - 17.2. Use available tools⁴⁴ to explore the short and long-term consequences of changes in hatchery production⁴⁵, especially in terms of impacts on wild populations.
 - 17.3. Improve available tools for evaluating the interactions between hatchery production and fisheries.
- Strategy 18. Given existing knowledge about the status, trends, threats and knowledge gaps for each CU, CU group or aggregate, determine the level of precaution, risk aversion, and urgency with which production adjustments should be pursued⁴⁶.
 - 18.1. If risks to fisheries, assessment or rebuilding are considered to outweigh risks to conservation (risk aversion with respect to production objectives is strongest), proceed with conducting additional analyses and thoroughly explore tradeoffs before deciding upon and implementing any adjustment in production levels⁴⁷.
 - 18.2. If risks to conservation are considered to outweigh risks to fisheries, assessment or rebuilding (risk aversion with respect to conservation objectives), proceed with implementing changes in

³⁹ Life-history strategy, adult run timing and geographic area.

⁴⁰ Including all forms of enhancement

⁴¹ See DFO Biological Risk Management Framework for Enhancing Salmon in the Pacific Region (DFO 2013a) and SEP Operational and Planning Guidelines (DFO 2016a)

⁴² e.g., types of effects could include: genetic swamping, changes to fitness, degree of competition, potential loss of local adaptation, changes in behaviours

⁴³ Example of broader analysis – assess whether hatchery production has replaced wild fish with no net increase in abundance (locally, regionally, and across all SBC CUs)

⁴⁴ e.g., Hatchery-Harvest Analysis Tool (HHAT)

⁴⁵ e.g., changes in harvest rates, abundance indices, bycatch rates, weak stock management

⁴⁶ See SEP Production Planning framework (DFO 2012a), Risk Management Framework (DFO 2013a), and

Operational and Planning Guidelines (DFO 2016a)

⁴⁷ i.e., consider available outputs from Strategy 17

production levels based on the best available information and monitoring the effects of these actions to the extent possible.

- 18.3. Prioritize opportunities to increase higher value and lower risk hatchery production to support firstly conservation, secondly First Nations, then recreational and commercial objectives⁴⁸.
- **Strategy 19.** Evaluate the merits of adding new hatchery production of Chinook salmon in areas with CUs that have experienced declines in abundance ⁴⁹
 - 19.1. Assess the benefits and risks of any potential new hatchery production as guided by the above sub-strategies, considering identified priorities and objectives, tradeoffs and integration with the existing system.

<u>Anticipated benefits (Strategies 14-19)</u>: Better alignment of hatchery production with approved hatchery objectives and regional monitoring requirements, an improved monitoring program, and increased learning about the effects of hatchery practices.

5.2.7 Harvest

Overarching Harvest Strategy:

Ensure fisheries are managed in a manner that supports recovery of Chinook CU's that have shown declines and supports sustainable harvest, firstly to provide for First Nations' Section 35 Aboriginal Right to fish, then for other sectors.

- **Strategy 20.** Control harvest such that fishing related mortality does not exceed sustainable removal rates based on current productivity.
 - 20.1. The determination of appropriate harvest actions must explicitly consider the tradeoffs between collecting more information and taking immediate action. The following two substrategies represent two ends of a spectrum. The most appropriate approach along this spectrum should be based on existing knowledge about the status, trends, threats and knowledge gaps for each individual CU, CU group or aggregate, and consider potential biological, social and economic impacts ⁵⁰:
 - a. Conduct analyses before taking additional harvest actions (i.e., implement Strategies 20.2, 21.1 then and 20.3)

⁴⁸ See Section 4 on Objectives

⁴⁹ With particular focus on areas that do not benefit from existing production (e.g., middle / upper Fraser) ⁵⁰ Strategies 20.1a and 20.1b are fundamentally different strategic approaches – they represent the two opposing ends of a spectrum (i.e., learn-then-act vs. act-then-learn). Therefore for a particular CU, CU group or aggregate, the application of one approach precludes the other. The SPC did not have consensus on either approach or the framing of the strategy as two distinct options. Each of these approaches may be appropriate in different circumstances and the relative tradeoffs of both will need to be considered in tactical planning and decisionmaking processes. In the longer term, the MSE work will help inform a better understanding of the tradeoffs between these approaches.

- b. Take immediate action based on the best available information (i.e., implement Strategy 20.3)
- 20.2. Assemble all available ⁵¹ data and analyses to evaluate sustainable harvest levels representative of as many CUs as possible ⁵².
- 20.3. Design harvest management actions with explicit consideration of conservation objectives for all CUs, including appropriate monitoring and evaluation to assess performance.
 - a. Account for the fact that management actions and decisions are made at the level of stock aggregates.
 - b. Incorporate catch composition through DNA analysis.
- 20.4. Informed by the best available information, reduce harvest impacts by fishery management unit to support positive generational growth of populations at risk (e.g., CUs showing >30% decline over 3 generations and/or remaining at depressed levels of abundance, such as Fraser River stream 5.2's) or populations where evidence indicates overexploitation relative to current productivity, so as to contribute to achieving conservation objectives across CUs.
- Strategy 21. Develop an integrated model to evaluate effects of fishery- (including gear, size limit, etc.), place-, and time-specific changes in harvest.⁵³ 2
 - 21.1. Use available and recently developed tools ⁵⁴ to evaluate the potential outcomes of changes in harvest for each CU, stock, or CU group, including the following steps:
 - a. Collect the data necessary to utilize and apply the tools 55
 - b. Consider a full range of uncertainties (including environmental variables), explore the short- and long-term consequences of changes in harvest to recover CUs that have shown serious declines.
 - c. Conduct sensitivity analyses to understand the effects of variability within these uncertainties

⁵¹ Due to limited monitoring and assessment, the data outlined in this strategy are incomplete, uncertain and/or do not exist for many CUs.

⁵² Data and analyses could include: (a) Recent exploitation rates, (b) Total mortalities associated with all fishing activities, (c) Harvest distribution data (e.g., stock identification from DNA sampling), (d) Age-specific total mortalities in fisheries, (e) Current productivity, (f) Marine survival rates, (g) U_{MSY} and S_{MSY} and S_{max} based on current conditions, (h) Escapement goals (where they exist), (i) Comparisons of current exploitation rates with U_{MSY}, (j) Comparisons of spawner levels with S_{MSY} and S_{max}, (k) Trends in total abundance, (I) Information on in-river mortality (as per Strategy 12), (m) DNA information/data

⁵³ It is important to have a tool(s) to understand the impacts from mixed-stock fisheries. Strategic analyses with integrated harvest analysis tools need to evaluate harvest-conservation tradeoffs that occur due to mixed fisheries.

⁵⁴ e.g., DGM and HHAT

⁵⁵ e.g., DGM and HHAT are data limited

- d. Assess and review outcomes across time, region, First Nation, and fishery sector, explicitly accounting for uncertainty.
- e. Evaluate the implications of alternative management strategies for meeting conservation and sustainable use objectives ⁵⁶.
- 21.2. Use integrated modeling tools to conduct a robust assessment of the current situation to provide a strong understanding of the current baseline⁵⁷.
- 21.3. Conduct retrospective analyses of past harvest strategies and decisions, to understand how well past actions worked.
- **Strategy 22.** Conduct appropriate monitoring and evaluation to fully assess fishery related mortalities for Chinook salmon.
 - 22.1. Account for total fishery mortalities for Chinook by providing accurate and timely reporting of retained catch and released fish, and any associated biological sampling requirements ⁵⁸.
 a. Improve estimates of the impacts of non-retention fisheries
 - 22.2. Implement the *Strategic Framework for Fishery Monitoring and Catch Reporting in the Pacific Fisheries* ⁵⁹ and apply DFO's risk assessment tool (and other tools, as beneficial) to assess risks within Chinook fisheries. Mitigate risks or address gaps where required.
 - 22.3. Develop methods to account for other sources of fishing related mortality ⁶⁰ and compliance.

<u>Anticipated benefits (Strategies 20-22)</u>: Improved alignment of fishing mortality with current stock productivity to support improved status and trends of Chinook populations in many CUs, while allowing sustainable harvest.

5.2.8 Climate Change

Strategy 23. Assess (simulate/model) the potential impacts of climate change on SBC Chinook⁶¹.

- 23.1. Complete retrospective analyses to assess the relationships between changes in climate and changes in Chinook survival and abundance over the last few decades.
- 23.2. Complete forward simulations to evaluate the potential impacts of predicted changes on SBC Chinook ^{62, 63}.
- 23.3. Develop and implement an experimental design for monitoring and assessing the impacts of climate change on SBC Chinook.

⁵⁷ Including the potential interactions with hatchery production, both in Canada and the US (e.g., Puget Sound) ⁵⁸ e.g., DNA sampling, CWTs, mark rates, encounter rates, discards, short- and long-term release mortalities.

⁵⁶ e.g., R_{max} type of strategy, strategies that allow buffers or otherwise account for uncertainty (e.g., climate change impacts), alternate metrics for CUs in risk, recovery ERs

Linkages with Strategies 14 and 24 (i.e., CWT indicator stocks), Strategy 22 (i.e., higher water temperatures may increase mortality rates)

⁵⁹ DFO 2012b.

⁶⁰ e.g., depredation, drop-out from gear, ghost fishing, release mortality, etc.

⁶¹ Disentangling the impacts of climate change from the effects of other factors is very difficult and may not be possible given the extent and precision of the available data.

⁶² e.g., assess how climate change will alter the availability of juvenile Chinook habitats

⁶³ e.g., see Nelitz et al. (2009a, b)

- **Strategy 24.** Identify and consider opportunities to adapt to the effects of climate change on southern BC Chinook salmon where possible.
 - 24.1. Identify potential threats to SBC Chinook that result from the interacting influences of climate change and human actions ⁶⁴.
 - 24.2. Identify and prioritize management actions to mitigate the effects of identified threats ⁶⁵.
 - 24.3. Develop climate change adaptation ⁶⁶ plans and tools ⁶⁷.
 - 24.4. Evaluate and implement alternative management strategies that allow for greater uncertainty ⁶⁸.

<u>Anticipated benefits (Strategies 23-24)</u>: Greater chances of having Chinook persist and recover despite the effects of climate change.

5.2.9 Additional Monitoring to Assess Status and Trends

- Strategy 25. Develop a network of indicator stocks to represent wild Chinook management units using best available tools and methods. Integrate the data from the network of hatchery indicator stocks ⁶⁹ with potential wild indicators and biometric data to better provide information of sufficient quality for sound management decisions.
 - 25.1. Add indicators to represent wild stocks.⁷⁰
 - a. Identify wild stocks with greatest need for an indicator.
 - b. Assess the feasibility of establishing an indicator, given best available tools and methods.
 - c. Implement tagging and monitoring as necessary.
 - 25.2. Fill key gaps in existing monitoring⁷¹, to provide the information required to estimate current productivity to be able to assess sustainable levels of harvest.
 - 25.3. Implement additional monitoring of key performance measures.
 - a. Include data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition in annual monitoring.
 - 25.4. Assess data collection within the context of prospective, long-term priorities⁷².

⁶⁷ Developing and implementing climate change adaptation strategies, in particular, will require strong collaborative relationships among all interested parties (see Strategy 3).

⁶⁴ e.g., loss of wetlands and/or decreases in water availability due to the combined effects of changing temperatures, altered patterns of precipitation and increased consumption of water in regions with growing human populations

⁶⁵ e.g., riparian replanting, water conservation, wetland conservation, and/or changing the timing of smolt releases to better match food availability in the early marine habitat

⁶⁶ Climate change adaptation encompasses human actions that can be taken to mitigate or compensate for the direct and/or indirect impacts of climate change on southern BC Chinook

⁶⁸ Linkage to Strategy 21.1 (b, c, e).

⁶⁹ Hatchery-based indicator stocks are covered in Strategy 15

⁷⁰ Note that CWT indicator programs for wild stocks are extremely expensive and very difficult to implement. It is likely going to continue to be most feasible to use hatchery produced Chinook, though there may be exceptions where wild stocks can be tagged.

⁷¹ See Appendix E – Assessment Summary for Southern BC Chinook

Strategy 26. Review and incorporate historic information into current data sets for maximum benefit

- 26.1. Complete validation of Chinook spawner data collected prior to 1995.
- 26.2. Pull together all spawner-recruit data into a central repository.
- 26.3. Use spawner-recruit data wherever it exists⁷³ to develop the best estimates of productivity.⁷⁴

Strategy 27. Monitor CU status with respect to WSP benchmarks and/or other biological benchmarks ⁷⁵

- 27.1. Identify biological benchmarks for CUs that do not currently have goals identified
- 27.2. Monitor CU status with respect to biological benchmarks

<u>Anticipated benefits (Strategies 25-27)</u>: Better informed fish management decisions and increased probability of CU persistence and recovery, consistent with the national guidelines for rebuilding fish stocks⁷⁶.

5.3 Summary of Strategies in an Adaptive Management Framework

Adaptive Management (AM) is most simply described as learning by doing, but it involves a formal process of planning to learn, rather than just trial and error learning. A more detailed definition is:

A **systematic, rigorous** approach for designing and implementing **management actions** to maximize **learning** about **critical uncertainties** that affect management **decisions or policies** *while simultaneously* striving to meet **multiple management objectives**.

The principles of AM include: identifying critical uncertainties that affect management decisions; predicting the estimated effects of different actions; intentionally structuring the design of actions to maximize learning; developing an explicit plan for how monitoring information will be collected and evaluated; including triggers to adjust the form and degree of management actions based on evaluations of performance; and engaging stakeholders throughout the process (Holling 1978, Walters and Holling 1990, Williams et al. 2009, Murray et al. 2015).

The strategies described in this plan can be summarized in terms of the 6-step cycle of adaptive management (Figure 5): assess, design, implement, monitor, evaluate and adjust. Figure 5 groups the 9 sets of strategies described in Section 5 into 8 categories⁷⁷. Common themes which run across multiple life history stages and strategic management domains are grouped together in Figure 5. For example, an improved understanding of threats and potential remedies has relevance to the management of

⁷² Conducting rigorous analyses for run reconstruction and estimating productivity requires about 20 years of data. Therefore, this strategy must be forward-looking – in 20 years, which stocks will be the highest priority to have collected 20 years of spawner-recruit data?

⁷³ e.g., Nicola and Lower Shuswap

⁷⁴ Linkage to Strategies 20 and 21 – these analyses are needed for more populations in order to be able to determine harvest levels that are sustainable with respect to current productivity.

 ⁷⁵ This strategy is focused solely on biological benchmarks, which are used to assess biological status but do not prescribe specific management actions. Holt and Irvine (2013) discuss the distinction between biological benchmarks (which are based solely on long-term conservation and production objectives) and management reference points (which integrate such biological objectives with shorter-term socio-economic objectives).
 ⁷⁶ Guidance for the Development of Rebuilding Plans under the Precautionary Approach Framework: Growing Stocks out of the Critical Zone (DFO 2013b).

⁷⁷ "Additional Monitoring to Assess Status and Trends" and "Formal Adaptive Management to Assess the Effectiveness of Actions" have been combined for the summary figure.

freshwater and marine habitats, harvest and hatcheries, and also needs to also consider climate change as well as cumulative effects. While not all actions are expected to be implemented using a *rigorous* adaptive management approach with a formal experimental design, it is intended that all actions in the strategy will be implemented with an adaptive management *mindset*, so that learning and adjustment becomes a strong principle in the ongoing implementation of the CSPI strategy.

An adaptive management "mindset" includes:

- embracing uncertainty and focus on those that have the most influence on decision making;
- encouraging diverse and collaborative processes for resolving uncertainties;
- having absolute clarity around their fundamental management objectives;
- using 'systems thinking' to analyze complex social-ecological systems;
- adopting scientifically rigorous approaches for developing and testing hypotheses;
- viewing policies, decisions, or actions as 'treatments' that need to be tested;
- making a commitment to monitoring, learning, and adjusting their actions;
- treating failures, mistakes, and surprises as opportunities for learning;
- building on small successes before scaling up; and
- sharing insights and findings with others in a clear and transparent way.

Adaptive management is not always the appropriate approach to reducing management uncertainties. If management uncertainty is low (e.g., how to replace a poor culvert that is preventing fish access to a stream), then it's much more cost effective to just implement the action without doing detailed monitoring and evaluation. In some cases (e.g., system components which are naturally highly variable or infeasible to monitor precisely), it may not be possible or will take too long to detect the signal of management actions against background noise, and to adjust actions accordingly. In the case of decisions on major infrastructure projects, it may only be possible to apply AM to alternative mitigation actions and some aspects of project operations, but not to the project itself, as its existence cannot be easily reversed after construction. Finally, where a stock is at risk, it may be unacceptable to implement management actions in a formal experiment, due to concerns about potential effects on the stock.



Figure 5. Summary of the CSPI strategy in the form of an adaptive management (AM) cycle. The cycle begins with "Assess". Subsets of the strategy are shown in the blue box at top, with coloured codes that appear in the AM cycle wherever applicable. Other abbreviations: CWT= Coded Wire Tag; FNs = First Nations; M&E = Monitoring and Evaluation; SBC = Southern BC; TEK = Traditional Ecological Knowledge.
6 Plan Implementation

This section represents a proposed general structure and some key principles for implementation. However, the development of a more detailed implementation plan will require its own process.

6.1 Process of Implementing the Strategic Plan

Implementation is the crux of all planning efforts. The management of harvest, hatcheries and habitat involves many entities and existing processes, some of which are listed in Table 7, at a variety of spatial scales (Appendix A). Successful implementation of the Southern BC Chinook Strategic Plan will require both a widespread understanding of the strategy, a commitment to its implementation, and integration of strategic content across multiple scales, entities and processes. Implementation goes beyond the scope of the Terms of Reference of the CSPI. As yet, there is not an established entity nor governance process to promote, coordinate and monitor implementation of the Chinook strategy, and to incorporate elements of the strategy into existing processes and institutions. We do not yet know *who* will lead implementation and coordination, but we do know (at least at the strategic level) *what* should be done to make progress in recovering Chinook. There is a logical sequence of tasks inherent in the set of strategies within section 5 of this document, which creates a foundation for implementation. We therefore include in this chapter a Draft Implementation Plan on two time scales: 1) a very broad implementation plan for the next 20 years (section 6.2); and 2) a more detailed implementation plan for the next 5 years (section 6.3).

We do not know if there will be sufficient resources to support these draft implementation plans. We have identified some programs that can potentially support actions under various strategies (Table 10). We are hopeful that a broad plan for implementation (at both 20-year and 5-year time scales) will help to galvanize participation in the strategy among partners and help to garner financial support to fill identified gaps.

 Table 7. Examples of Organizations, Processes, Regulations and Plans by which the draft Chinook strategy could be implemented and integrated. Hyperlinks are provided to further explain some of the items in this table.

Scale	Harvest	Hatchery	Habitat						
National and International	Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST) (CAN/US/FNs/US Tribes); Pacific Salmon Commission Treaties with FNs PSC Chinook Technical Committee	PSC Chinook Technical Committee <u>PSC Chinook Technical</u> <u>Committee</u> <u>Wild Salmon Policy</u> <u>Species at Risk Act</u>	BC <u>Fish Protection Act</u> (CAN) <u>Fisheries Protection Program</u> (CAN) <u>Section 35 of the Fisheries Act</u> (CAN/FN) <u>Climate Change Adaptation</u> (CAN) Columbia River Treaty International Joint Commission						
Provincial and Regional	Integrated Fisheries Management Plans (IFMP) Integrated Harvest Planning Committee Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat (FRAFS) First Nations Fishery Council (FNFC) Commercial Salmon Advisory Board Sportfish Advisory Board	SEP Production Planning Framework (DFO 2012a), Biological Risk Management Framework (DFO 2013a), Operational Guidelines, and Best Management Practices	BC Water Sustainability Act BC Riparian Areas Regulation BC Forest Range and Practices Act BC Environmental Protection Division BC Hydro Water Use Plans						
	Salish Sea Marine Survival Project (Pacific Salmon Foundation) Strategic Salmon Health Initiative (Genome BC)								
Sub-Regional	Fraser Chinook Management Plan WCVI Chinook Management Plan		Coastal Plans (CAN/BC/FN) Regional District Plans Protected Area Plans including <u>Marine</u> <u>Protected Areas</u> (MPAs), <u>Rockfish</u> <u>Conservation Areas</u> (RCAs). <u>Southern Strait Georgia National Marine</u> <u>Conservation Area Reserve</u> (NMCAR) <u>Fraser Basin Council</u> <u>Fraser River Estuary Management Plan</u>						
Local (CU, First Nations, Watershed)	Local Fish Harvest Plans FRAFS Forum on Conservation and Harvest Planning First Nations fishery plans Areas 23 and 25 round tables Cowichan Harvest Round Table Sport fishing in Georgia Strait and other areas	Local Production Plans (DFO) UFFCA/DFO TWG on Summer 5 ₂ indicator stock ONA/SFC Shuswap River Hatchery operations ONA/CRITFC Okanagan Chinook	Land use plans Watershed Plans Water Use plans (BC, local) Official Community Plans Flood management plans Industry plans (e.g., forest management plans, mining plans, run of river hydro) Strategic Engagement Agreements: - Stó:lo Nation - Tsilhqot'in National Government						

Abbreviations: CAN=Canada; CEDP = Community Economic Development Program; CRITFC = Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission; DFO = Fisheries and Oceans Canada; FNs = First Nations; ONA = Okanagan Nation Alliance; PSC = Pacific Salmon Commission; SEP = Salmon Enhancement Program; SFC = Secwepemc Fisheries Commission; TWG = technical working group; UFFCA = Upper Fraser Fisheries Conservation Alliance; WCVI = West Coast Vancouver Island.

6.2 Draft Timeline and Major Plan Elements for the Next 20 Years

Table 8 provides a draft timeline for implementation of the plan over the next 20 years (until the year 2035), organized into 5-year time periods, which are approximately the lifespan of one generation of Chinook salmon. This draft timeline requires further discussion amongst the SPC and TWG, as well as other entities who could be involved in implementation. The draft set of proposed activities listed in Table 8 are expected to continue beyond 2035, but 20 years provides a reasonable planning horizon. It is hoped that implementation of the plan will lead to substantial recovery of Chinook populations over this 20-year time period. The timeline in Table 8 makes the following assumptions:

- Networking to publicize the draft plan and develop information flows among key entities (Task
 1) could begin immediately; Table 7 provides a starting list of such entities. Presenting the plan
 to keenly interested entities, and identifying champions for the plan within each entity, would
 help to catalyze more activities.
- Integration of the plan's strategies into the activities of key entities ("mainstreaming the strategy") can also begin immediately (**Task 2**) and would continue over the duration of the plan (e.g., advances in harvest management would become part of the IFMP process, advances in hatchery management would become part of the production planning process).
- As discussed below in section 6.3, it could take 2 to 3 years to operationalize and finalize some of the actions under the plan (**Task 3**), with intensive analytical and policy activities conducted to converge on acceptable actions, and then repeated at the end of each 5-year period to support a detailed review of the plan.
- Under **Task 4**, the final plan would be widely distributed and publicized to each of the partners developed under **Task 1**.
- Implementation of recommended actions and pilot projects (**Task 5**) could begin immediately for some actions, but for other actions would need to await the completion of analyses under **Task 3**.
- Pilot projects to protect and restore habitat would ideally be in areas which could provide benefits to multiple stocks (e.g., areas of the lower Fraser and Fraser estuary that are used by all Fraser Chinook as rearing and/or migratory habitats). However, pilot habitat projects should be encouraged to occur in any CU where local entities have acquired funding; each project provides an opportunity for learning while at the same time tackling limiting factors and pursuing the strategic objectives described in this plan. Coordinating the implementation and monitoring of pilot projects across multiple watersheds can increase the rate of learning (Marmorek et al. 2004). Pilot projects to alter harvest rates in certain fisheries, or to alter hatchery production, would logically build from the analytical work under **Task 3**, and be accompanied by rigorous monitoring and evaluation to assess their effectiveness under **Task 6**.
- Intensive efforts would ideally occur over the first three years to set up the monitoring, research and evaluation infrastructure to support the plan, and would then continue for the next 20 years (**Task 6**), providing the key performance measures (Section 4) needed for adjustments to the plan every 5 years using an adaptive management approach.
- Annual updates of key indicators and performance measures could be provided to all the partners involved either directly or indirectly with implementation of the plan (**Task 7**), and could be presented at an annual symposium, ideally synchronized with the Salish Sea project.
- A review of the plan could occur every 5-years and could involve an intensive set of analytical and policy activities leading up to an expanded annual symposium.

The structure of the CSPI (SPC and TWG) has worked well over the last 4 years, with constructive and respectful dialogue, and dedicated work, on many challenging policy and technical issues. It would be

prudent to maintain this structure until these parties agree to an alternative structure for governance during implementation of the plan. Governance is a topic of active discussion, for both the Wild Salmon Policy (WSP) and the CSPI. The CSPI is nested under the WSP, and therefore the various scales of the CSPI (Appendix A) should logically fit within whatever governance structure is developed for implementing the WSP. Understanding the hierarchy of decisions (e.g., Pacific Salmon Treaty, WSP, CSPI, IFMP, SEP) will help to develop a governance structure that supports information flow and collaborative decision making throughout the hierarchy across multiple scales. Reviews of other challenging programs to recover species and ecosystems indicate that success requires leadership and support at the highest levels (champions), as well as a thorough understanding throughout all levels of the organizational hierarchy (Greig et al. 2013).

Although several definitions of governance are available, a broadly held view is that it includes a consideration of authority, administration, decision-making, and accountability. Fischenich et al. (2016) describe governance as the approach for converting knowledge into improved management through decision making, including *what* decisions need to be made, *who* is involved in the decision process, *how* decisions are made, and *when* decisions are required. What is clear is that the governance structure needs to accommodate the distinct mandates and responsibilities of different entities (most of which are listed in Table 7), and coordinate across multiple scales (Appendix A). Governance could range from a general coordinating approach with annual workshops to a specific management structure for various regions. Possible governance models worth of consideration include the <u>Fraser Basin Council</u> and the <u>Puget Sound Partnership</u>. Studies in Puget Sound using social network analysis indicates that successful governance for salmon restoration requires close collaboration amongst networks at multiple scales, focused on shared interests, and avoiding silos (Sayles and Baggio 2017).

Capacity is a critical issue affecting the rate and extent of implementation of this plan, including the development of an appropriate governance structure. Technical staff and resources have been very stretched over the last 4 years within all CSPI entities, which has led to delays in moving forward with various activities (e.g., data assembly, assessment modelling) that are required to analyze alternative proposed actions. For successful implementation of this plan, it is essential to not only communicate the strategy, share data and develop collaborative relationships (Strategies 1-3) but also to increase the **capacity** of participating entities to accomplish the tasks identified in the strategy. This increased capacity would ideally be established across multiple entities, including DFO, First Nations, fisheries organizations, academic institutions and non-government entities. Capacity is related to resources, which are discussed further in the following subsections of this chapter.

6.3 Draft Timeline and Tasks for the Next 5 Years

Table 9 outlines a set of tasks which would ideally occur over the next 5 years to move the strategy from a planning phase to an active phase of implementation. Table 9 is at an intermediate level of organization, aggregating various strategies and tasks that are described in chapter 5 of this plan. The rate of implementation of many tasks depends on the amount of resources that will be made available to implement the strategy. In Table 9, the column entitled 'Draft Time Line' includes a range of years for some tasks, reflecting increased resources for the shorter duration, and current resources for the longer duration. Tasks which cannot be accelerated (e.g., the collection of a decade of data takes 10 years regardless of resources) have less variability in the Draft Time Line.

Table 10 provides examples of programs that could potentially support the tasks listed in Table 9, including various funding sources. This CSPI plan could be used to provide a broader basis of support when entities interested in moving a strategy forward are applying for funding. Ideally, core funding and

sufficient capacity would be provided to complete critical tasks, with seed funding provided to initiate pilot projects.

Table 8. Draft proposed timeline for implementation of Southern BC Chinook Strategic Plan over the next 20 years.

Timeline for Implementation of Southern BC Chinook Strategic Plan



TASK	1 st generation of Chinook			2'	2 nd generation of Chinook			3	3 rd generation of Chinook			4 th generation of Chinook			k					
Year →	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1. Networking to publicize draft plan, develop partnerships																				
2. Integrate plan into key processes and entities																				
3. Analytical tasks to operationalize actions under the plan ¹																				
4. Distribution, presentation and publication of final plan																				
5. Implement plan's harvest, habitat and hatchery actions			Pilot p	rojects -	->															
6. Monitoring, research and evaluation to support plan																				
7. Annual updates on progress		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠
8. 5-year reviews and updates of the plan																				
High Intensity	-										_					_				

Low intensity

5-year review and updates of plan

¹ Includes various analytical tasks to better understand the impacts and benefits of alternative harvest, habitat and hatchery actions. More intensive analytical tasks will be repeated every 5 years as part of the 5-year review.

Annual updates on progress & symposium

Table 9. Draft implementation plan and timelines for the next 5 years. Timelines reflect a range of uncertainty in available resources. Estimates of required funding are approximate. Categories for initial funding: Low (< \$100,000); Medium (> \$100,000); High (> \$100,000); High (> \$100,000). Categories for ongoing costs: Low (< \$10,000); Medium (> \$10,000); High (> \$100,000). TBD = To Be Determined. Tasks are grouped into the following categories: Process Strategies; Marine Habitat and Ecosystem; Freshwater Habitat and Ecosystem; Significant Projects or Incremental/Cumulative Development; Hatchery Production and Hatchery-based Indicator Stocks; Harvest; Climate Change; Additional monitoring to assess status and trends. The 🗡 symbol represents strong candidates for places to start – these represent strategies where one or more of their components consistently emerged as part of the potential, initial subset of strategies to implement first, across a variety of different approaches to filtering the comprehensive list. The + symbol represents the highest priority recommendations from the Science Panel.

TASK [relevant strategies]	Intended Outcomes	Actions / Details	Led By [Engages]	Draft Time Line	Resources Required	Funding Required to Initiate Task	Ongoing Funding Required
Finish draft Strategic Plan	 Draft Strategic Plan ready for pilot implementation Documentation of "future work" required for Plan 	 Liaison with MSE work FN workshop Review of draft plan Finalize strategies SPC workshops Revision of document 	SPC & ESSA [FRAFS, TWG]	1-2 years	 Project lead / facilitation Workshop expenses Travel support for workshop participants SPC/TWG in-kind time FRAFS-supported roles 	n.a. – initiated in 2013	Medium (includes ESSA plus other AAROM/PICFI- funded resources)
Develop a communication plan for outreach and education about the Strategic Plan [S1]	 Catalyze implementation of strategy by all interested entities; Share knowledge 	Develop & implement communication plan	Coordinator - TBD [interested entities - Table 6 and others]	1-2 years	Communication / Coordination specialist	Low	Medium
Develop data sharing plan [S2]	Built trust, efficiency and analytical rigor through shared data	Develop & implement data sharing plan, possibly using Open Government Portal	DFO [TWG, interested entities]	1-3 years	 DFO data stewards and scientists Other data mgmt. specialists 	Medium	Medium
Promote and encourage local and regional partnerships [S3]	Make more progress on implementing all strategies by harnessing broader capacity in local and regional partnerships	 Identify key partners for each strategy Share information at annual workshops and other times 	Coordinator - TBD [interested entities - Table 7 and others]	1-5 years	Communication / Coordination specialist	Low	Medium
Integrate Aboriginal Traditional and Ecological Knowledge [S4]	Comprehensive knowledge base for analyses and decisions, especially for recovery actions within FN traditional territories	 Request input from FNs on historic Chinook spawning and rearing and other ATK Acquire information from elders Use ATK in analytical tasks 	Leaders of each analytical task + TWG member of FN [local FNs]	Depends on time line of each task	 Willing participation of FN elders SPC/TWG in-kind time 	Low (financial) May require significant time resources	Low-Med cost to update information
Apply principles of adaptive management [S5]	 Increase rate of learning while meeting plan's objectives 	 Identify critical uncertainties and alternative hypotheses for each action Design M&E to test those hypotheses, learn, and adjust actions 	Lead entity implementing an action [other interested entities]	ongoing	 Scientist time to design action and associate monitoring Adequate funding for M&E to test alternative hypotheses affecting future decisions 	Medium	Medium to High
Improve understanding of factors limiting estuarine and early marine survival, as well as pathogens, disease and critical habitats [S7- S9]	Provide critical information needed to adjust harvest, develop priorities for restoration of estuaries	 Evaluate potential threats affecting early marine survival & critical habitats Determine potential for protective or mitigative actions to improve survival 	Collaboration of PBS, PSF, Salish Sea, DFO Science, Academia, First Nations – Salish Sea Project as main focus	5-10 years [requires multiple years of observations]	 Core funding for research Volunteer Participating scientists 	Low to High (costs vary by sub-task)	High
Adjust harvest to be consistent with current marine survival and productivity [S8]	 Improved escapement and stock conservation in near term Improved harvest in longer term 	Adjust harvest based on updated estimates of natural mortality	DFO [FNs, fishers]	1-2 years	 Updated estimates of natural survival and sustainable harvest rates Modelled outcomes [S20] 	Low	Low
Mitigate human activities causing low estuarine and marine survival [S8, S9]	Improved early marine survival	Implement protection and restoration actions in critical estuarine habitats	Local entities supported by [DFO, NGOs, FN, regional gov't, provincial gov't]	1-10 years	 Support from Fisheries Protection Program Long term commitment of time from local partners, money from funding sources 	Medium	Medium-High

	TASK [relevant strategies]	Intended Outcomes	Actions / Details	Led By [Engages]	Draft Time Line	Resources Required	Funding Required to Initiate Task	Ongoing Funding Required
\checkmark	Protect freshwater habitat across CUs to support resilience and diversity [S10]	Diverse freshwater habitats supporting diverse Chinook life histories despite climate change	 Maintain / strengthen regulations and planning at multiple levels of gov't 	Local entities supported by Fisheries Protection Program	1-2 years	 Input to review of regulations Adequate staff to enforce regulations 	Low-Medium	Low-Medium
~	Identify and remedy threats to survival in freshwater habitat [S11]	 Increased Chinook survival through identification and reduction of limiting threats 	 Identify areas where restoration of FW habitats will increase productivity or carrying capacity Develop and implement restoration designs Monitor and adjust actions 	Local entities supported by [DFO, NGOs, FN, regional gov't, provincial gov't] Academics involved in identifying limiting factors	1-5 years to assess & design 2-10 years to implement, monitor, evaluate, adjust	 Support from Fisheries Protection Program Long term commitment of time from local partners, money from funding sources 	Low-Med to assess & design Med-High to implement, M&E	Low-Med to assess & design Med-High to implement, M&E
$\overset{\sim}{\sim}$	Integrate information on upstream and pre-spawn mortality into harvest planning [S12]	 Adjustments to in-season harvest to ensure adequate escapement 	 Estimate upstream & pre-spawn mortality, relation to temperature Make appropriate adjustments to harvest 	DFO supported by [academics, FNs monitoring]	3-10 years	 Sufficient funds for accurate estimates of upstream and pre-spawn mortality 	Med-High	Med
	Reconcile 'significant development' strategy with respect to current regulatory framework [S13]	 Understanding of alignment of strategy with current legislation Identification of gaps 	 Review strategy with FPP and regulatory agencies Determine gaps (i.e., where strategy goes beyond status quo) Refine strategy as necessary; highlight novel components 	DFO [FPP, CEAA, BC EAO]	1 year	 In-kind DFO staff resources In-kind staff resources from regulators SPC to discuss outcomes 	Low	n/a
\$	Increase prominence of salmon in EA / CEA [S13]	 Salmon as a focal issue in assessment of significant incremental/cumulative development 	 Engage stakeholders Develop CE framework Identify actions for avoiding/mitigating impacts Mitigate or offset unavoidable impacts Proponent-funded R&M 	Regulatory agencies (FPP, CEAA, BC EAO) [SPC equivalent; stakeholders]	1-5 years to implement changes to processes Ongoing once implemented	 Engaged participation from stakeholders and interested parties in assessment review processes Technical staff resources (and input from SPC equivalent) for development of CE framework Willingness of regulators to modify current regulations if gaps are identified 	Low-Med Med-High for CE framework	Low-Med High for substantial mitigation projects (proponent)
	Align production with approved program objectives and monitoring requirements [S14]	 Explicit identification of risk-benefit to production priorities. Adjustment of production to reduce risk, maintain/increase benefit. 	 Reduce negative impacts Increase/maintain beneficial practices Identify production priorities for further analyses 	DFO (SEP) [stakeholders]	1-5 years	 Sufficient science capacity to fill information gaps, provide a defensible basis for production decisions Collaborative discussions on production decisions, recognizing tradeoffs among competing objectives 	Low	High
	Develop/maintain an effective, integrated network of hatchery indicator stocks [S15]	 Maintain/improve hatchery indicator program to generate information for fisheries and stock management decisions 	 Review CWT indicators Maintain useful CWT Add additional CWT indicators to address gaps Link to MSE evaluation 	DFO (SEP) [DFO (fisheries mgt.; stock assessment), TWG; academics involved in helping to design network]	1-2 years for some steps5+ years for others	 Thorough evaluation of costs and benefits of alternative network designs Funding to supplement, add and maintain new information hatcheries 	Med (supplementing existing and adding new >\$250K)	High ~\$300K/year
+	Assess the risks of hatchery programs on spawning/rearing success of wild salmon [S16]	 Increased knowledge base of potential effects to inform management decisions. Improved operational guidelines for hatchery production that minimizes/avoids potential negative effects 	 Assess potential effects on wild fish of spawning/rearing hatchery fish Develop biodiversity and genetic diversity objectives for hatchery program objectives 	DFO (science) [academics, FN technical, DFO (SEP)]	1-10 years	 Sufficient resources to tackle major issues raised by Chinook science panel and inform decisions under S13 	Med ~\$300K If mass marking, much higher	High ~\$200K/year If mass marking, much higher
	Assess the benefits of production on harvest opportunities and stock rebuilding [S17]	Develop more robust tools to demonstrate linkage between production (stock, production level) with explicit objectives	 Quantitatively assess the short-/long-term consequences of changes in production Improve tools for evaluating interaction between hatchery production and harvest; link to MSE 	DFO (SEP, fisheries mgt); MSE; [TWG] Academics involved in modelling benefits at finer spatial scales	1-3 years	 Sufficient resources to apply modelling tools (e.g., DGM, HHAT) and provide input to production decisions Collaborative discussions on production decisions, recognizing tradeoffs among competing objectives 	Med ~\$150K	High ~\$50K/year

	TASK [relevant strategies]	Intended Outcomes	Actions / Details	Led By [Engages]	Draft Time Line	Resources Required	Funding Required to Initiate Task	Ongoing Funding Required
*	Determine the appropriate level of precaution or risk aversion for CUs or aggregates [S18]	Explicit and transparent production decision-making	 Determine risk of CUs or CU groups to hatchery production Identify areas to prioritize for adjustments in production levels Adjust production levels 	DFO (SEP) [FN, DFO (science), NGO, community]	1-10 years	As listed above for S13 to S16	High (due to tradeoff costs)	High (due to tradeoff costs)
	Assess the benefits and risks of new hatchery production [S19]	 New production based on transparent decision-making criteria, benefits, risks 	Use principles of Strategies 13-17 to guide assessment	DFO (SEP) [FN, community, NGO, proponents]	As needed, dependent on specific proposal	As listed above for S13 to S16	Med Variable. Depends on nature, location of production	Variable
	Develop an integrated model to evaluate the effects of changes in harvest, incorporating all relevant and available data [S21]	 Provide the SPC and TWG with a tool for evaluating alternative fishery management actions 	See work plan for Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) (separate documentation)	DFO Science Branch [TWG, CTC, Fishery Managers]	1-2 years for sensitivity analyses3-5 years for full MSE	 DFO scientists' time to assemble data, work on model, interact w TWG/SPC TWG: review progress, provide data SPC: clarify objectives; review results, provide next set of alternatives to be modelled 	Med	Med
	Design harvest management actions which support both recovery of Chinook and sustainable harvest (first FSC, then other), and associated monitoring and evaluation [S20].	 Harvest management actions which should meet conservation, recovery and fishery objectives Monitoring and evaluation plan to evaluate if actions do indeed work out as intended. 	 SPC (with TWG support) iteratively converges to a design for proposed harvest management actions and associated monitoring and evaluation 	SPC Facilitation Team [SPC, DFO scientists, TWG]	1-3 years, depending on resources	 SPC: review results, provide next set of alternatives to be modelled DFO scientists: interact w TWG/SPC TWG: review progress, provide data Funding for SPC facilitation 	Med	Med
\bigstar	Reduce harvest impacts to support recovery of populations at risk and sustainable harvest (first FSC, then other) [S20].	 Recovery of populations at risk to levels which support sustainable FSC harvest and other fisheries 	 Implement designed harvest management actions 	IFMP process [FN, commercial and recreational fishers]	2-5 years to implement Timeframe to assess impacts in next row	 Solid analytical and policy support for harvest mgmt. actions from above 2 tasks 	Low to implement Potential high impact to fishers in short-term	Low to implement (monitoring costs below)
	Conduct monitoring and evaluation to fully assess fishery related mortalities and other outcomes of harvest management [S22, S25 to S27]	 Solid data and analyses to evaluate if harvest management actions achieve intended objectives. 	 Account for total fishery mortalities for Chinook Solid information base, building on 5-year review Rigorous design for genetic samples Mitigate risks or address gaps where required 	DFO [FN, commercial and recreational fishers]	3-20 years	 Sufficient funding to support collection of reliable data from which to evaluate effectiveness of harvest management actions 5-year review of Fraser River Chinook harvest will provide estimates of fishery related mortalities 	High	High
	Assess the potential impacts of climate change on Chinook salmon; design monitoring and evaluation to detect impacts [S23]	 Manage expectations re: Chinook productivity and distribution under climate change Monitoring design to detect changes 	Use mix of data analyses and modelling to assess likely trends in productivity and distribution	TBD [academics, DFO Science, PSF, TWG, consultants]	1-5 years	 Research funding for scientists to conduct vulnerability assessments 	Med	Med
*	Identify & implement actions to help Chinook adapt to the effects of climate change [S24]	 Refine adaptation strategy and mitigate impacts of climate change on Chinook 	 Identify / prioritize actions to mitigate effects of identified threats Develop climate change adaptation plans and tools 	DFO [climate adaptation experts; provincial, regional, local, FN governments; NGOs; forest companies]	1-20 years	 Funding for assessment of potential mitigation actions Funding to implement priority actions 	Med	Med
	Develop a network of indicator stocks to represent wild Chinook management units [S25]	 Improve information base for management 	 Add indicator(s) to represent wild stocks (assess need and feasibility) Fill key gaps in existing assessment and monitoring system Additional monitoring of key performance measures Assess decisions re: prospective priorities 	DFO (stock assessment, science, fisheries mgt) [TWG]	2-5 years to initiate >10 years for results	 Research and analyses of prospective candidates Feasibility studies, as necessary Annual tagging program Maintenance of monitoring/recoveries program In-kind DFO (stock assessment) capacity for incremental analyses 	High	High

TASK [relevant strategies]	Intended Outcomes	Actions / Details	Led By [Engages]	Draft Time Line	Resources Required	Funding Required to Initiate Task	Ongoing Funding Required
Review and incorporate historic information into current data sets [S26]	 Improve information base for management 	 Complete validation of pre-1995 spawner data Consolidate all spawner-recruit data in repository Use spawner-recruit data wherever it exists to estimate productivity 	DFO (stock assessment) [TWG, CTC]	1-3 years	 Capacity of technical staff with expertise in Chinook data In-kind DFO (stock assessment) capacity for incremental analyses 	Low (i.e., similar to ongoing)	Med
Monitor CU status and progress toward WSP benchmarks and recovery objectives [S27]	 Understanding whether the implemented strategies and actions are having a positive cumulative effect 	 Identify monitoring information that will be available to assess status Compile WSP benchmarks in strategic plan Evaluate status and report every 5 (?) years 	TWG [DFO (stock assessment), SPC]	>5 years then ongoing for assessing/reporting status	 DFO/TWG capacity to repeat appropriate WSP status assessment analyses Maintenance of monitoring programs through which data are collected 	Low Identifying monitoring info and compile WSP benchmarks	

Table 10. Examples of programs that can potentially support actions under various strategies, listed in alphabetical order. Hyperlinks are provided to the relevant webpages. This table is not a comprehensive list of all relevant programs or funding sources.

Program	Purposes of programs that are relevant to CSPI strategies
DFO Aboriginal Aquatic Resource and Oceans Management (AAROM)	Provides funding to qualifying Aboriginal groups to establish or enhance bodies for aquatic resource and oceans management. Program only available to groups that are located where DFO manages the fishery, and that have not signed a comprehensive land claims agreement that addresses the matters under AAROM. Objectives include assisting Aboriginal groups to acquire administrative capacity and scientific/technical expertise for aquatic resource and oceans management; establishing collaborative management structures for integrated ecosystem/watershed management and planning processes; enhancing existing collaborative management structures; facilitating sound decision making in areas of DFO responsibility; and strengthening relationships through improved information.
DFO Aboriginal Fisheries Strategy	Responding to 1990 Sparrow decision by Supreme Court of Canada, AFS applies where DFO manages the fishery and where land claims settlements have not already put a fisheries management regime in place. Purposes are to: provide a framework for the management of fishing by Aboriginal groups for food, social and ceremonial purposes; provide Aboriginal groups with an opportunity to participate in the management of fisheries, thereby improving conservation, management and enhancement of the resource; contribute to the economic self-sufficiency of Aboriginal communities; provide a foundation for the development of self-government agreements and treaties and improve the fisheries management skills and capacity of Aboriginal groups.
DFO Fisheries Protection Program	FPP works collaboratively with others to manage impacts to commercial, recreational and Aboriginal fisheries resulting from habitat degradation or loss, alterations to fish passage and flow, and aquatic invasive species. Administers fisheries protection provisions of the Fisheries Act, certain provisions of the Species at Risk Act, and legislative responsibilities in relation to federal environmental assessment regimes. FPP is also responsible for meeting the duty to consult, and when appropriate, accommodate, in relation to potential impacts on Aboriginal and Treaty rights related to authorizations or permits that may be issued under the Fisheries Act or the Species at Risk Act. FPP also delivers the <u>Recreational Fisheries Conservation Partnerships Program</u> , and supports the administration of the Aquatic Invasive Species Regulations.
DFO Oceans Program	Implement Canada's Oceans Strategy, developed in accordance with the Oceans Act, using integrated oceans management and marine conservation tools.
DFO Pacific Integrated Commercial Fisheries Initiative (PICFI)	Increase First Nation (FN) access to the commercial fisheries in British Columbia, develop common and transparent rules that apply to all participants, and improve the management of the commercial fisheries through greater collaboration amongst stakeholders. Has funded CSPI over last several years. The <u>2017 federal budget</u> includes \$250 million over five years, and \$62.2 million ongoing, to DFO to renew and expand PICFI and to augment Indigenous collaborative management programming.
DFO Recreational Fisheries Conservation Partnership Program	Enables government, recreational fishing/angling groups, Indigenous groups and others to collaboratively manage and execute projects that restore compromised and/or threatened recreational fisheries habitat. Example projects include restoration of stream, lake and floodplain habitats, improving fish access, control and stabilization of stream channel and bank erosion, restoration of ocean habitat.
DFO Salmon	SEP's activities aim to rebuild vulnerable salmon stocks, provide harvest opportunities, work with First Nations and coastal communities

Program	Purposes of programs that are relevant to CSPI strategies
Enhancement Program	in economic development, and improve fish habitat to sustain salmon populations. <u>Major projects</u> include fish hatcheries, spawning channels, community economic development programs, resource restoration projects, stewardship and community involvement.
DFO Science	DFO Science makes systematic observations over time and over large areas which are then objectively analyzed to produce meaningful information on the status, patterns and trends in the aquatic ecosystems. DFO scientists perform work in the field of science and technology (S&T) on such topics as fisheries management, aquaculture, state of the oceans, invasive species and energy developments. DFO also brings together partners and stakeholders from the private sector, nongovernmental organizations, and academia to work on S&T issues of shared interest.
DFO Stock Assessment	Provides: <u>pre-season forecasts</u> used in pre-season planning and stock status reports to identify longer-term trends in stock abundance, key conservation concerns and outlooks for the future; <u>in-season stock assessment and re-forecasting of run sizes</u> using information gained from test fisheries, catch monitoring programs and escapement surveys to make in-season adjustments to management plans; and <u>post-season evaluations</u> to assess whether escapement goals and other objectives have been met.
Funding Sources Accessible to Academics	Various funding sources available to academics (e.g., <u>NSERC</u> , <u>MITACS</u>) could greatly expand the capacity of CSPI to assess problems, evaluate alternatives and design actions. <u>Scholarships and bursaries</u> are available for First Nations' students. For example, the <u>Nicola</u> <u>Watershed Research Collaborative</u> , led by the Fraser Basin Council, has brought together FNs, academics, landowners, and consultants to work on a collaborative plan to identify, prioritize and address issues in the Nicola watershed.
Moore Foundation Marine Conservation Initiative	Two complementary strategies underpin this initiative of the Moore Foundation: 1) Ocean planning takes a comprehensive look at all of the activities occurring in a specific place and then balances human uses (including commercial, subsistence and recreational fishing, shipping and renewable energy generation) with ecosystem health; and 2) Reforming fishery management aligns economic incentives with conservation goals through policy tools such as catch shares and science-based total catch limits. For example, First Nations in BC have received grants for <u>development and implementation of marine conservation plans</u> , and <u>small grants</u> are available for community-led projects to protect ecosystems with wild salmon.
<u>National Wetland</u> Conservation Fund	Administered by Environment and Climate Change Canada. Supports on-the-ground activities to restore and enhance wetlands on private lands, municipal lands, provincial Crown lands, or Indigenous lands. Primary objectives are to: 1) restore degraded or lost wetlands on working and settled landscapes to achieve a net gain in wetland habitat area; 2) enhance the ecological functions of existing degraded wetlands; and 3) scientifically assess and monitor wetland functions and ecological goods and services in order to further the objectives of wetland restoration and/or enhancement. Higher priority given to projects with long-term benefits for wetlands providing regionally important ecological functions that are at risk, and areas with critical habitat for species at risk.
Oceans Protection Plan [in early stages of	Coastal environmental baseline and cumulative effects program. Environmental monitoring plans in six high-use areas on all three coasts, which will include environmental indicators, monitoring protocols and strategies. Baseline environmental data collection to detect changes in the ecosystem and improve understanding of the cumulative effects of shipping.
development, mostly related to oil spill risk	Coastal habitat restoration fund. Protect and restore abundant coastal marine ecosystems vulnerable to increased marine shipping and development activities. Support establishment of coastal habitat zone plans and identification of habitat restoration priorities on

Program	Purposes of programs that are relevant to CSPI strategies
reduction and response]	West, East and Arctic coasts. Contribute to the mitigation of stressors affecting marine life and their habitats. Work with Indigenous communities, local groups and communities leading restoration activities.
Open Data Portal	Fisheries data are increasingly being made available through this portal and could be of benefit to CSPI.
Pacific Salmon Commission Southern Endowment Fund	Priorities vary from year to year but are generally dedicated to 1) develop improved information for resource management, 2) rehabilitate and restore marine and freshwater habitat; and 3) enhance wild stock production through low technology techniques. Major investment in Salish Sea Marine Survival Program has limited funds for other projects. In 2017, very high priority Chinook projects include: 1) proposals that respond to the priority activity themes recommended by the Commissioners and the CTC; 2) short-term habitat and enhancement projects; and 3) small-scale, on-the-ground projects designed to benefit wild stocks of salmon by improving the quality or quantity of their habitat.
Pacific Salmon Foundation: Community Salmon Program	Supports volunteer and community–driven organizations that undertake salmon conservation and restoration projects in British Columbia and the Yukon. Annual grants total more than \$1.5 million. Most of these funds are generated through sales of the Recreational Fisheries Conservation Stamp by DFO.
<u>Species at Risk Act</u> Funds	Interdepartmental Recovery Fund. For federal departments, agencies and crown corporations, to support species at risk recovery and survey activities.
	Habitat Stewardship Program. For citizens who wish to become involved in habitat protection and recovery activities.
	Aboriginal Fund for Species at Risk (AFSAR). Builds capacity in Aboriginal communities/organizations for their participation in the implementation of the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> (SARA), and to support Aboriginal involvement in activities that protect or conserve habitats for species at risk.
Strategic Salmon Health Initiative (Pacific Salmon Foundation and Genome BC)	Clarify the presence and/or absence of microbes in Pacific Salmon, over 4 phases: 1) obtain collections of wild, hatchery and aquaculture salmonids from southern BC and develop a stakeholder consultation process; 2) rigorous analysis of tissue samples collected in Phase 1 and in previous research to identify microbes most likely to associate with disease; 3) focus on the microbes identified in Phase 2 potentially of pathological significance in Pacific Salmon, conducting laboratory challenge studies to increase understanding of disease processes and dynamics in wild fish; and 4) reporting of research and presentations.

7 Performance Review

As described in Section 6, it is proposed that there be annual reports on progress, generated by the Technical Working Group (or its future successor), using the indicators and performance measures described in Section 4, presented at an annual symposium open to all collaborators and interested parties. In addition, there will be an intensive review and adjustment of the plan every 5 years, based on trends in these indicators and performance measures, as well as other relevant information from ongoing research activities, changes in the Pacific Salmon Treaty, etc. These 5-year reviews will involve an intensive period of analytical and policy activities, as shown in the Table 8 timeline; they will form a high-level cycle of adaptive management. In addition, the strategy envisions the design and implementation of formal adaptive management experiments for particular harvest, hatchery and habitat actions, as described under the strategies in Section 5.

8 References

- ADF&G (Alaska Department of Fish and Game) Chinook Salmon Research Team. 2013. <u>Chinook salmon stock</u> <u>assessment and research plan, 2013</u>. ADF&G Special Publication 13-01: 56. (Accessed March 24, 2016.)
- Allen, M.A., and T.J. Hassler. 1986. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Pacific Southwest)-Chinook Salmon. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Biol. Rep. 82(11.49). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4. 26 pp.
- Anderson, J.J., and R.A. Hinrichsen. 1996. Climate indicators of salmon survival. Paper presented Oct 18, 1996 at the PICES Meeting, Nanaimo, B.C. Available at: www.cbr.washington.edu/papers/jim/draft.pdf
- B.C. Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (MELP). 1994. Water Quality in British Columbia: Objectives Attainment in 1993. Water Quality Branch. Environmental Protection Department. Victoria, B.C.
- Barnett, T.P., J.C. Adam, and D.P. Lettenmaier. 2005. Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions. Nature. 438 (17): 303–309
- Beamish, R.J., Lange, K.L, Neville, C.M., Sweeting, R.M. and Beacham, T.D. 2011. <u>Structural patterns in the</u> <u>distribution of ocean- and stream-type juvenile Chinook Salmon populations in the Strait of Georgia in 2010</u> <u>during the critical early marine period</u>. NPAFC Doc. 1354. 27pp. (Accessed February 15, 2016.)
- Beamish, R.J., McCaughran, D., King, J.R., Sweeting, R.J. and McFarlane, G.A. 2000. Estimating the abundance of juvenile coho salmon in the Strait of Georgia by means of surface trawls. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 20:369-375.
- Beamish, R.J., Neville, C.M., Sweeting, R.M. and Lange, K.L. 2012. The synchronous failure of juvenile Pacific salmon and herring production in the Strait of Georgia in 2007 and the poor return of sockeye salmon to the Fraser River in 2009. Marine and Coastal Fisheries Dynamics, Management, and Ecosystems Science 4:403-414.
- Beamish, R.J., Riddell, B., Neville, C.-M., Thomson, B.L. and Zhang, Z. 1995. Declines in Chinook Salmon catches in the Strait of Georgia in relation to shifts in the marine environment. Fish. Ocean. 4:243-256.
- Beamish, R.J., Sweeting, R.M, Beacham, T.D., Lange, K.L. and Neville, C.M. 2010. <u>A late ocean entry life history</u> <u>strategy improves the marine survival of Chinook Salmon in the Strait of Georgia</u>. NPAFC Doc. 1282. 14pp. (Accessed February 15, 2016.)
- Beechie, T., E. Buhle, M. Ruckelshaus, A. Fullerton, and L. Holsinger. 2006. Hydrologic regime and the conservation of salmon life history diversity. Biological Conservation. 130: 560–572.
- Beer, W.N., and J.J. Anderson. 2001. Effect of spawning day and temperature on salmon emergence: interpretations of a growth model for Methow River Chinook. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 58(5): 943–949.
- Bradford, M.J. and Taylor, G.C. 1997. Individual variation in dispersal behaviour of newly emerged Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) from the Upper Fraser River, British Columbia. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54:1585-1592.
- Brown, G.S., Baillie, S.J., Thiess, M.E., Bailey, R.E., Candy, J.R., Parken, C.K., and Willis, D.M. 2016a. Pre-COSEWIC review of southern British Columbia Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) conservation units, Part I: Background. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2016/nnn. viii + 67p.
- Brown, G.S., Thiess, M.E., Baillie, S.J., Holt, C.A, Pestal, G.P., Bailey, R.E., Candy, J.R., Parken, C.K., and Willis, D.M. 2016b. Pre-COSEWIC review of southern British Columbia Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) conservation units, Part II: Data, analysis and synthesis. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2016/nnn. viii + 409p.
- Brown, G., Thiess, M.E., Pestal, G., Holt, C.A and Patten, B. 2016c. Integrated Biological Status Assessments under the Wild Salmon Policy Using Standardized Metrics and Expert Judgement: Southern British Columbia Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) Conservation Units. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2016/nnn. viii + 283p.

- Caissie D. 2006. The thermal regime of rivers A review. *Freshwater Biology*, **51**: 1389-1406. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01597.x
- Candy, J.R. and Beacham, T.D. 2000. Patterns of homing and straying in southern British Columbia coded-wire tagged Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) populations. Fisheries Research 47:41-56.
- Compass Resource Management. 2013. Southern BC Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative: Summary Report March 21/22, 2013 Steering and Planning Committee Meeting. Prepared by Compass Resource Management Ltd. (Vancouver, BC) for Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat (Merritt, BC)
- COSEWIC (Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada). 2017. COSEWIC Definitions and Abbreviations. Available at: <u>https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/committee-status-endangered-wildlife/definitions-abbreviations.html</u>.
- Coutant, C. 1976. Thermal effects on fish ecology. In J. R. Pfafflin & E. N. Ziegler (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of environmental science and engineering* (pp. 891–896). New York, NY: Gordon and Breach Publishers.
- Crozier, L., and Zabel, R.W. 2006. Climate impacts at multiple scales: evidence for differential population responses in juvenile Chinook Salmon. Journal of Animal Ecology.
- CTC. 2012. 2012 Exploitation rate analysis and model calibration. Pacific Salmon Commission, Joint Chinook Technical Committee, <u>Report TCCHINNOOK (12)-4</u>. December 2012, Vancouver, British Columbia. (Accessed February 15, 2016.)
- CTC. 2015a. 2014 Exploitation Rate Analysis and Model Calibration. Volume One. Pacific Salmon Commission Joint Chinook Technical Committee. Report TCCHINNOOK (15)-1_V1. July 2015, Vancouver, British Columbia. (Accessed March 24, 2016.)
- CTC. 2015b. 2014 Exploitation Rate Analysis and Model Calibration. Volume Two: Appendix Supplement. Pacific Salmon Commission Joint Chinook Technical Committee. Report TCCHINNOOK (15)-1_V2. July 2015, Vancouver, British Columbia. (Accessed March 24, 2016.).
- CTC. 2015c. <u>Annual Report of Catch and Escapement for 2014</u>. Pacific Salmon Commission Joint Chinook Technical Committee. Report TCCHINNOOK (15)-2. August 2015, Vancouver, British Columbia. (Accessed March 24, 2016.).
- Dauble, D. D., and D. G. Watson. 1997. Status of fall Chinook Salmon populations in the mid-Columbia River, 1948– 1992. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 17:283–300.
- DFO. 2005. <u>Canada's Policy for Conservation of Wild Pacific salmon</u>. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Vancouver, B.C. v+49 p. (Accessed February 15, 2016.)
- DFO. 2009. A fishery decision-making framework incorporating the precautionary approach. Sustainable Fisheries Framework. Fisheries and Oceans Canada. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/reports-rapports/regs/sff-</u> <u>cpd/precaution-eng.htm</u>
- DFO. 2012a. SEP Production Planning: A Framework. Salmonid Enhancement Program, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Pacific Region. November 2012. 19 pp. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/Library/361270.pdf</u>
- DFO. 2012b. Strategic Framework for Fishery Monitoring and Catch Reporting in the Pacific Fisheries. Fisheries and Aquaculture Management, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Pacific Region. March 2012. 40 pp. <u>http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/fm-gp/docs/framework_monitoring-cadre_surveillance-eng.pdf</u>.
- DFO. 2013a. A Biological Risk Management Framework for Enhancing Salmon in the Pacific Region. Salmonid Enhancement Program, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Pacific Region. May 2013. 61 pp. <u>http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/Library/361269.pdf</u>
- DFO. 2013b. Guidance for the Development of Rebuilding Plans under the Precautionary Approach Framework: Growing Stocks out of the Critical Zone.

- DFO. 2013c. NuSEDS V2.0 Regional Adult Salmon Spawner abundance Database. Southern BC Chinook: 2012. (Accessed May 5, 2013).
- DFO. 2013d. Pacific Salmon Glossary. Available at: <u>http://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/fm-gp/species-especes/salmon-saumon/gloss-eng.html</u>
- DFO. 2013e. <u>Review and update of southern BC Chinook conservation unit assignments</u>. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Resp. 2013/022. (Accessed February 15, 2016.)
- DFO. 2015. *Unpublished.* Cowichan River Watershed Health and Chinook Initiative Volume 4: Chinook Re-building Goals, Objectives and Action Plans. DRAFT. February 2015. 54 pp.
- DFO. 2016a. A Compilation of Operational and Planning Guidelines for the Salmonid Enhancement Program. Salmon Enhancement Program, Fisheries and Oceans and Canada.
- DFO. 2016b. Integrated Biological Status of Southern British Columbia Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) Under the Wild Salmon Policy. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2016/042.
- DFO. 2016c. Proceedings of the Pacific regional peer review on the Assessment of Southern British Columbia Chinook Salmon Conservation Units, Benchmarks and Status; February 4-6, 2014. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Proceed. Ser. 2016/029.
- DFO. 2016d. Review and Evaluation of Fishing-Related Incidental Mortality for Pacific Salmon. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2016/049.
- DFO. 2018a. Proceedings of the Regional Peer Review Meeting on Evaluating Benchmarks of Biological Status for Data-limited Populations (Conservation Units) of Pacific Salmon, Focusing on Chum Salmon in Southern BC; July 12-13, 2017. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Proceed. Ser. 2018/001
- DFO. 2018b. Review of genetically based targets for enhanced contributions to Canadian pacific Chinook Salmon populations. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2018/001.
- Dorcey, A.H., J, Northcote, R.G and D.V. Ward. 1978. Are the Fraser marshes essential to salmon? Technical Report, Univ. British Columbia Westwater Res. Cent. Tech. Rep. 1, UBC, Vancouver, B.C., Canada
- Duinker, P.N. and L. Greig. 2006. The impotence of cumulative effects assessment in Canada: ailments, and ideas for redeployment. Environmental Management 37:153–161.
- Fischenich, J.C., K.E. Buenau, J.L. Bonneau, C.A. Fleming, D.R. Marmorek, M.A. Nelitz, C. L. Murray, B.O. Ma, G. Long and C.J. Schwarz. 2016. Draft Science and Adaptive Management Plan. Report prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC. 544 pp.
- Ford, J.K.B, Wright, B.M., Ellis, G.M. and Candy, J.R. 2010. <u>Chinook Salmon predation by resident killer whales:</u> <u>seasonal and regional selectivity, stock identity of prey, and consumption rates</u>. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2009/101. iv + 43 p. (Accessed February 15, 2016.)
- Fraser and Associates. 2009. Identifying Planning Units and Prioritizing Integrated Strategic Planning Initiatives under the Wild Salmon Policy. Prepared for Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Pacific Region, Fisheries and Aquaculture Management Branch. 27 pp.
- Geist, D. R., C. S. Abernethy, S. L. Blanton, and V. I. Cullinan. 2000. The use of electromyogram telemetry to estimate energy expenditure of adult fall Chinook Salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 129:126–135.
- Goniea, T.M., Keefer, M.L., Bjornn, T.C., Peery, C.A., Bennett, D.H. and Stuehrenberg, L.C., 2006. Behavioral thermoregulation and slowed migration by adult fall Chinook Salmon in response to high Columbia River water temperatures. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 135(2), pp.408-419.
- Greig, L.A., D.R. Marmorek, C. Murray, and D.C.E. Robinson. 2013. Insight into enabling adaptive management. Ecology and Society 18(3): 24. Available online: <u>http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol18/iss3/art24/</u>

- Greig, L.A., P.N. Duinker, R.R. Everitt, and K. Pawley. 2003. Scoping for cumulative effects assessment. Prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs Canada Environment Directorate, Whitehorse, Yukon Territory. ESSA Technologies Ltd., Richmond Hill, Ontario. 51 pp.
- Gustafson, R.G., Waples, R.S., Myers, J.M., Weitkamp, L.A., Bryant, G.J., Johnson, O.W. and Hard, J.J., 2007. Pacific salmon extinctions: quantifying lost and remaining diversity. Conservation Biology, 21(4), pp.1009-1020.
- Hall, A.W., D.R. Marmorek, K. English, M. Nelitz. 2014. Southern BC Chinook Strategic Planning Initiative: Alternative Strategic Directions for the Management of Southern BC Chinook Salmon. Prepared by ESSA Technologies Ltd., Vancouver, B.C. and LGL Ltd., Sidney, B.C. for Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat (Merritt, BC) and Fisheries and Oceans Canada (Vancouver. BC) vi + 38 pp. + Appendices.
- Hanson, M.B., Baird, R.W., Ford, J.K.B., Hempelmann-Halos, J., Van Doornik, D.M., Candy, J.R., Emmons, C.K., Schorr, G.S., Gisbourne, B, Ayers, K.L., Wasser, S.K., Balcomb, K.C., Balcomb-Bartok, K., Sneva, J.G., and Ford, M.J. 2010. Species and stock identification of prey consumed by endangered southern resident killer whales in their summer range. Endangered Species Res. 11:69-82.
- Hartt, A.C. and Dell, M.B. 1986. Early ocean migrations and growth of juvenile Pacific salmon and steelhead trout. Bulletin of the International North Pacific Fisheries Commission. 46. 105 p.
- Healey, M.C. 1980. The ecology of juvenile salmon in Georgia Strait, British Columbia. In Salmonid ecosystems of the North Pacific. Edited by W.J. McNeil and D.C. Himsworth. Oregon State University Press. Corvallis. pp.203-229.
- Healey, M.C. 1982. Juvenile Pacific salmon in estuaries: the life support system. Estuarine comparisons. Academic Press, New York, 315-341.
- Healey, M.C. 1991. Life history of Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). In Pacific salmon life histories. Edited by C. Groot and L. Margolis. University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver, BC. pp.313-393.
- Hicks, M. 2002. Evaluating standards for protecting aquatic life in Washington's surface water quality standards temperature criteria. Washington State Department of Ecology, Watershed Management Section, Olympia, Washington.
- Holling, C.S. (ed.) 1978. Adaptive Environmental Assessment and Management. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Holt, C. A. 2010. Will depleted populations of Pacific salmon recover under persistent reductions in survival and catastrophic mortality events? ICES J. Mar. Sci. 67: 2018–2026.
- Holt, C., Cass, A., Holtby, B., and Riddell, B. 2009. Indicators of status and benchmarks for conservation units in Canada's Wild Salmon Policy. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2009/058. viii + 74 p.
- Holtby, L.B. and Ciruna, K.A. 2007. <u>Conservation units for Pacific salmon under the Wild Salmon Policy</u>. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2007/070. (Accessed February 25, 2016.)
- Holt, C.A. and Irvine, J.R. 2013. Distinguishing benchmarks of biological status from management reference points: A case study on Pacific salmon in Canada. Environmental Conservation 40(4): 345-355.
- Hoos, L.M. and G.A Packman. 1974. The Fraser River estuary: status of environmental knowledge to 1974. Special Estuary Series No. 1. Estuary Working Group, Environment Canada, Vancouver: 518 p.
- HSRG (Hatchery Scientific Review Group). 2014. On the Science of Hatcheries: An updated perspective on the role of hatcheries in salmon and steelhead management in the Pacific Northwest. A. Appleby, H.L. Blankenship, D. Campton, K. Currens, T. Evelyn, D. Fast, T. Flagg, J. Gislason, P. Kline, C. Mahnken, B. Missildine, L. Mobrand, G. Nandor, P. Paquet, S. Patterson, L. Seeb, S. Smith, and K. Warheit. June 2014; revised October 2014.
- Hunter, R., O.M. Brandes, M.-L. Moore, and L. Brandes. 2014. The Cowichan Watershed Board: An Evolution of Collaborative Watershed Governance. Prepared for POLIS Project on Ecological Governance. August 2014. 24 pp.

- Hyatt, Kim, 2013. Presentation on Climate Projections for Risk Assessment at the Cowichan River Chinook workshop held on March 4/5 2013, in Duncan, B.C.
- Interior Fraser Coho Recovery Team. 2006. Conservation Strategy for coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch), interior Fraser River populations. Fisheries and Oceans Canada. Kareiva, P., Marvier, M. and McClure, M. 2000. Recovery and management options for spring/summer Chinook Salmon in the Columbia River basin. Science. 290: 977-979.
- Karl, T. R., J.M. Melillo, and T.C. Peterson (eds.). 2009. <u>Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States</u>. Cambridge University Press. 2009.
- Keefer ML, Taylor GA, Garletts DF, Gauthier GA, Pierce TM, Caudill CC. 2010. Prespawn mortality in adult spring Chinook Salmon outplanted above barrier dams. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*. 19(3): 361-372. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0633.2010.00418.x.
- Kemp, P.S. and O'Hanley, J.R., 2010. Procedures for evaluating and prioritising the removal of fish passage barriers: a synthesis. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 17(4), pp.297-322.
- Kilduff D.P., Di Lorenzo E., Botsford L.W. and Teo S.L.H. 2015. Changing central Pacific El Niños reduce stability of North American salmon survival rates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112(35): 10962-10966.
- Kilduff, D. P., Botsford, L.W. and Teo, S.L.H. 2014. Spatial and temporal covariability in early ocean survival of Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) along the west coast of North America. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 71: 1671-1682.
- Konrad, C.P. and D.B. Booth. 2005. Hydrologic changes in urban streams and their ecological significance. Amer. Fish. Soc. Symp. 47:157-177
- Labelle, M. 2009. <u>Status of Pacific Salmon Resources in Southern British Columbia and the Fraser River Basin</u>. Vancouver, B.C.: Pacific Fisheries Resource Conservation Council. (Accessed March 24, 2016.)
- Lawson, P.W., E.A. Logerwell, N.J. Mantua, R.C. Francis, and V.N. Agostini. 2004. Environmental factors influencing freshwater survival and smolt production in Pacific Northwest coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 61: 360–373.
- Leung, L.R. and Y. Qian. 2003. Changes in Seasonal and Extreme Hydrologic Conditions of the Georgia Basin/Puget Sound in an Ensemble Regional Climate Simulation for the Mid-Century. Canadian Water Resources Journal 28 (4): 605–631.
- M&C Panel (Pacific Fisheries Monitoring & Compliance Panel). 2017. Next Steps in Fishery Monitoring & Catch Reporting in BC & Yukon: An Introduction to the Strategic Framework for Fishery Monitoring & Catch Reporting in the Pacific Fisheries.
- Ma, B.O. 2013. Methods for Assigning Designatable Units for Southern BC Chinook Salmon. Prepared by ESSA Technologies for COSEWIC. 23 pp. (Draft)
- Macdonald, J.S., C.D. Levings, U.H. McAllister, M. Fagerlund and J.R. McBride.1988. A field experiment to test the importance of estuaries for Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) survival: short-term results. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 45(8), 1366-1377.
- MacKinlay, D., Lehmann, S., Bateman, J. and Cook, R. 2004. Pacific Salmon Hatcheries in British Columbia. American Fisheries Society Symposium, 44:57-75.
- Magnusson, A. 2001. Survival rates of coho and Chinook Salmon released from hatcheries on the US and Canadian coast 1972-1998, with respect to climate and habitat effects. MSc. Thesis, University Washington Scholl Fisheries Aquatic Sciences. 115 p.
- Magnusson, A. and R. Hilborn. 2003. Estuarine influence on survival rates of coho (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) released from hatcheries on the US Pacific coast. *Estuaries* 26(4), 1094-1103.

- Marmorek, D., D. Pickard, A. Hall, K. Bryan, L. Martell, C. Alexander, K. Wieckowski, L. Greig and C. Schwarz. 2011. Fraser River sockeye salmon: data synthesis and cumulative impacts. ESSA Technologies Ltd. Cohen Commission Tech. Rep. 6. 273p. Vancouver, B.C.
- Marmorek, D.R., I.J. Parnell, M. Porter, C. Pinkham, C.A.D. Alexander, C.N. Peters, J. Hubble C.M. Paulsen and T.R. Fisher. 2004. A multiple watershed approach to assessing the effects of habitat restoration actions on anadromous and resident fish populations. Prepared by ESSA Technologies Ltd., Vancouver, B.C. for Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 420 pp.
- McCullough, D. A. 1999. A review and synthesis of effects of alterations to the water temperature regime on freshwater life stages of salmonids with special reference to Chinook Salmon. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA-910-R-010, Seattle.
- McCullough, D. A., Bartholow, J. M., Jager, H. I., Beschta, R. L., Cheslak, E. F., Deas, M.L., Ebersole, J. L., Foott, J. S., Johnson, S. L., Marine, K. R., Mesa, M. G., Petersen, J. H., Souchon, Y., Tiffan, K. F., & Wurtsbaugh, W. A. (2009). Research in thermal biology: burning questions for coldwater stream fishes. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, *17*(1), 90–115. DOI: 10.1080/10641260802590152.
- Meador, J.P., A. Yeh, G. Young and E.P. Gallagher. 2016. Contaminants of emerging concern in a large temperate estuary. Environmental Pollution 213: 254-267.
- Minns, C.K., R.G. Randall, E.M.P. Chadwick, J.E. Moore, and R. Green. 1995. Potential impact of climate change on the habitat and population dynamics of juvenile Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) in eastern Canada. In Climate Change and Northern Fish Populations. Edited by R.J. Beamish. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 121 pp. 699–708.
- Morrison, J., M.C. Quick, and M.C.G. Foreman. 2002. Climate change in the Fraser River watershed: Flow and temperature projections. Journal of Hydrology 263: 230–244.

Murray, C.L., D. Marmorek and L. Greig. 2015. Adaptive Management Today: A Practitioners' Perspective. Chapter 10, in: Adaptive Management of Social-Ecological Systems. Allen, C., A. Garmestani and C. Smith (Eds.). Springer. http://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-94-017-9682-8

- Neilson, J. D., G.H. Geen and D Bottom. 1985. Estuarine growth of juvenile Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) as inferred from otolith microstructure. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 42(5), 899-908
- Nelitz M, Wieckowski K, Pickard D, Pawley K, Marmorek DR. 2007. Helping Pacific Salmon Survive the Impact of Climate Change on Freshwater Habitats: Case Studies. Final report prepared by ESSA Technologies Ltd., Vancouver, B.C. for Pacific Fisheries Resource Conservation Council, Vancouver, B.C.
- Nelitz, M., C. Murray, and K. Wieckowski. 2008. Returning Salmon: Integrated Planning and the Wild Salmon Policy in B.C. Prepared by ESSA Technologies Ltd. Prepared for David Suzuki Foundation. 44 pp.
- Nelitz, M., M. Porter, K. Bennett, A. Werner, K. Bryan, F. Poulsen, and D. Carr. 2009a. Evaluating the vulnerability of freshwater fish habitats to the effects of climate change in the Cariboo-Chilcotin: Part I – Summary of technical methods. Report prepared by ESSA Technologies Ltd. and Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium for Fraser Salmon and Watersheds Program, B.C. Ministry of Environment, and Pacific Fisheries Resource Conservation Council.
- Nelitz, M., M. Porter, K. Bennett, A. Werner, K. Bryan, F. Poulsen, and D. Carr. 2009b. Evaluating the vulnerability of freshwater fish habitats to the effects of climate change in the Cariboo-Chilcotin: Part II – Summary of results. Report prepared by ESSA Technologies Ltd. And Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium for Fraser Salmon and Watersheds Program, B.C. Ministry of Environment, and Pacific Fisheries Resource Conservation Council.
- Nelson S, Turris B. 2004. The Evolution of Commercial Salmon Fisheries in British Columbia. Vancouver, BC: Prepared for the Pacific Fisheries Resource Conservation Council.

- Nislow, K.H., A.J. Sepulveda, and C.L. Folt. 2004. Mechanistic Linkage of Hydrologic Regime to Summer Growth of Age-0 Atlantic Salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 133(1):79–88.
- NOAA Fisheries. 2006. NOAA Fisheries Glossary. United States Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-69. October 2005. Revised Edition, June 2006. Available at: <u>https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/st4/documents/FishGlossary.pdf</u>
- NOAA Fisheries. 2007. Local Fisheries Knowledge (LFK) Project. NOAA Fisheries: National Marine Fisheries Service. Available at: <u>https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/lfkproject/02_c.definitions.htm</u>.
- NRC (National Research Council). 1996. Upstream: salmon and society in the Pacific Northwest. National Academy Press, Washington D.C.
- Pacific Fisheries Resource Conservation Council (PFRCC). 2009. Status of Pacific Salmon Resources in Southern British Columbia and the Fraser River Basin.
- Pacific Salmon Foundation (PSF). 2015. Salish Sea Marine Survival Project: 2015 Canadian Progress Report. 20 pp. Available at https://www.psf.ca/sites/default/files/FINALPSF_Salish_Sea_Project_Report_V8%20%282%29.pdf (Accessed March 15, 2016)
- Patterson, D.A., Robinson K.A., Lennox, R.J., Nettles, T.L., Donaldson, L.A., Eliason, E.J., Raby, G.D., Chapman, J.M., Cook, K.V., Donaldson, M.R., Bass, A.L., Drenner, S.M., Reid, A.J., Cooke, S.J., and Hinch, S.G. 2017. Review and evaluation of fishing-related incidental mortality for Pacific salmon. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2017/010. ix + 155 p.
- Pestal, G. and S. Johnston. 2015. Estimates of a Biologically-Based Spawning Goal and Biological Benchmarks for the Canadian-Origin Taku River Coho Stock Aggregate. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2015/048. ix + 114 p.
- Peterman R.M., D. Marmorek, B. Beckman, M. Bradford, N. Mantua, B. Riddell, M. Scheuerell, M. Staley, K. Wieckowski, J. Winton, C. Wood. 2010. Synthesis of evidence from a workshop on the decline of Fraser River Sockeye. June 15-17, 2010. A Report to the Pacific Salmon Commission, Vancouver, B.C., 123 pp. + 35 pp. of appendices. Available from www.psc.org
- Peterman, R.M. and B. Dorner. 2011. Fraser River sockeye production dynamics. Cohen Commission Tech. Rept. 10: 134p. Vancouver, B.C.
- Porter, M., S. Casley, C. Ashcroft and D. Pickard. 2015. Southern Chinook Conservation Units: Habitat Report Cards. Report prepared by ESSA Technologies Ltd. for the Pacific Salmon Foundation.
- Portland. 2005. Framework for Integrated Management of Watershed Health. Environmental Services, City of Portland. <u>http://www.portlandoregon.gov/bes/article/108293</u>
- PSC Joint Chinook Technical Committee. 2017. Annual Report of Catch and Escapement for 2016. Report TCCHINOOK (17)-2. May 19, 2017.
- Puget Sound Indian Tribes (PSIT) and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2003. Puget Sound Comprehensive Chinook Management Plan: Harvest Management Component.
- Quinn, T.P. 2005. The behavior and ecology of Pacific salmon and trout. Univ. Wash. Press., Seattle, WA. 278p.
- Raby, G.D., M.R. Donaldson, S.G. Hinch, T.D. Clark, E.J. Eliason, K.M. Jeffries, K.V. Cook, A. Teffer, A.L. Bass, K.M. Miller, D.A. Patterson, A.P. Farrell, and S.J. Cooke. 2015. Fishing for Effective Conservation: Context and Biotic Variation are Keys to Understanding the Survival of Pacific Salmon after Catch-and-Release. Integrative and Comparative Biology 55(4): 554-576.
- Reiser, D. W., and T. C. Bjornn. 1979. Influence of forest and rangeland management on anadromous fish habitat in Western North America: habitat requirements of anadromous salmonids. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-096. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station: 1-54.

- Richter, A., and S. A. Kolmes. 2005. Maximum temperature limits for Chinook, coho, and chum salmon, and steelhead trout in the Pacific Northwest. Reviews in Fisheries Science 13: 23-49.
- Riddell, B., M. Bradford, R. Carmichael, D. Hankin, R. Peterman, and A. Wertheimer. 2013. <u>Assessment of Status</u> <u>and Factors for Decline of Southern BC Chinook Salmon: Independent Panel's Report</u>. Prepared with the assistance of D.R. Marmorek and A.W. Hall, ESSA Technologies Ltd., Vancouver, B.C. for Fisheries and Oceans Canada (Vancouver. BC) and Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat (Merritt, BC). xxix + 165 pp. + Appendices. (Accessed February 29, 2016.)
- Roni P., Beechie T., Bilby R., Leonetti F., Pollock M. & Pess G. (2002) A review of stream restoration techniques and a hierarchical strategy for prioritizing restoration in Pacific Northwest watersheds. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 22, 1–20.
- Sayles, J.S. and J.A. Baggio. 2017. Who collaborates and why: Assessment and diagnostic of governance network integration for salmon restoration in Puget Sound, USA. Journal of Environmental Management 186: 64-78. Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27832929
- Schindler, D. E., R. Hilborn, B. Chasco, C. P. Boatright, T. P. Quinn, L. A. Rogers, and M. S. Webster. 2010. Population diversity and the portfolio effect in an exploited species. Nature 465:609–612.
- Schluchter, M.D and J. Lichatowich. 1977. Juvenile life histories of Rogue River spring Chinook Salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha (Walbaum), as determined by scale analysis. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Research Section.
- Sharma, R. 2009. <u>Survival, maturation, ocean distribution and recruitment of Pacific Northwest Chinook salmon</u> (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in relation to environmental factors, and implications for management. PhD thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 321p.
- Sibert, J and Kask, B. 1978. Do fish have diets? *In* Proceedings of the <u>1977 Northeast Pacific Chinook and Coho</u> <u>Salmon Workshop</u>. Edited by Shepherd, B.G. and R.M. Ginetz. Fish. Mar. Serv. Can. Tech. Rep. 759:48-57. (Accessed February 15, 2016.)
- Simas, T., J.P Nunes and J.G. Ferreira, 2001. Effects of global climate change on coastal salt marshes. Ecological Modelling, 139(1): 1-15.
- Skalski, J.R., Eppard, M.B., Ploskey, G.R., Weiland, M.A., Carlson, T.J. and Townsend, R.L., 2014. Assessment of Subyearling Chinook Salmon Survival through the Federal Hydropower Projects in the Main-Stem Columbia River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 34(4), pp.741-752.
- Smorong, D. and D. Epps. 2014. Cowichan Watershed Assessment: Phase-2 Lower Watershed, 2013 Data Summary. Environmental Quality Section Environmental Protection Division, West Coast Region, Ministry of Environment. 106pp.
- Tompkins, A., G. Brown, and M. Thiess. 2011. Temporal patterns in productivity of North American sockeye and Chinook Salmon. Fisheries and Oceans Canada. NPAFC Doc. 1356. 4pp.
- Tompkins, A., Riddell, B., and Nagtegaal, D.A. <u>A biologically-based spawner abundance goal for Cowichan River fall</u> <u>Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*)</u>. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2005/095. iii + 42 p. (Accessed February 25, 2016.)
- Trudel, M., Fisher, J., Orsi, J., Morris, J.F.T., Thiess, M.E., Sweeting, R.M., Hinton, S., Fergusson, E., and Welch, D.W. 2009. Distribution and migration of juvenile Chinook Salmon derived from coded-wire tag recoveries along the continental shelf of western North America. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.138:1369-1391.
- Tucker, S., Trudel, M., Welch, D.W., Candy, J.R., Morris, J.F.T., Thiess, M.E., Wallace, C. and Beacham, T.D. 2011. Life history and seasonal stock-specific migration of juvenile Chinook Salmon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 140:1101-1119.

- Varanasi, U., Casillas, E., Arkoosh, M. R., Hom, T., Misitano, D. A., Brown, D. W., ... and Stein, J. E. 1993.
 Contaminant exposure and associated biological effects in juvenile Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) from urban and nonurban estuaries of Puget Sound. US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Environmental Conservation Division.
- Velez-Espino, L.A, J.K.B. Ford, H.A. Araujo, G. Ellis, C.K. Parken and R. Sharma. 2014. Relative importance of Chinook salmon abundance on resident killer whale population growth and viability. J. Aquatic Conserv: Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst. DOI: 10.1002/aqc.2494
- Wade, N.L., Martin, J., and Whitfield, P.H. 2001. Hydrologic and climatic zonation of Georgia Basin, British Columbia. Canadian Water Resources Journal 26(1): 43–70.
- Walters, C.J. and C.S. Holling. 1990. Large-scale management experiments and learning by doing. Ecology 71:2060-2068.
- Weitkamp, L. 2010. Marine distributions of Chinook Salmon from the west coast of North America determined by coded wire tag recoveries. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 139:147-170.
- Wells, B.K., Field, J.C., Thayer, J.A., Grimes, C.B., Bograd, S.J., Sydeman, W.J., Schwing, F.B. and Hewitt, R. 2008. Untangling the relationships among climate, prey and top predators in an ocean ecosystem. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 364: 15-29.
- Whitfield, P.H. Wang, J.Y., and Cannon, A.J. 2003. Modelling Future Streamflow Extremes—Floods and Low Flows in Georgia Basin, British Columbia. Canadian Water Resources Journal. 28(4): 633–656.
- Williams, B. K., R. C. Szaro, and C. D. Shapiro. 2009. Adaptive Management: The U.S. Department of the Interior Technical Guide. Adaptive Management Working Group, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC. <u>https://www.doi.gov/sites/doi.gov/files/migrated/ppa/upload/TechGuide.pdf</u>.
- Wofford J.E.B., Gresswell R.E. & Banks M.A. (2005) Influence of barriers to movement on within-watershed genetic variation of coastal cutthroat trout. Ecological Applications 15, 628–637.

Appendix A. The complexity of interacting spatial scales

The diagram and text below conceptually describes the complexity of integrating multiple spatial scales across multiple CUs and multiple influences.

Issue of Spatial Scale. It is necessary to have multiple spatial scales for planning. The actions that affect SBC Chinook occur over very different spatial scales that are not fully nested within each other. For example, freshwater habitat and ecosystem related planning typically has a local scope whereas fisheries planning is focused on major watersheds and coastal areas.

- 1. The conceptual diagram below attempts to represent the spatial complexity and many-to-many relationships among the influences of habitat, fisheries, and hatcheries:
- 2. The diagram focuses on a single Conservation Unit (CU1) for which we need to develop a plan.
- 3. The migratory life cycle of fish from CU1 is shown with black arrows.
- 4. These fish move through many habitat zones (FW rearing, FW migratory, early marine, ocean rearing, back through FW migratory to FW spawning).
- 5. The CU fish may be intercepted by multiple fisheries, potentially by the same ocean fisheries over multiple years.
- 6. Hatchery 1 is producing fish for rebuilding CU 2, which are also intercepted by similar fisheries, and have spawners that sometimes stray into nearby CUs (incl. CU 1).
- 7. Hatchery 2 is producing fish for harvesting in two of the fisheries that also intercept CU 1 fish.
- 8. Other hatcheries also produce fish that interact in the system (they have been represented very simply but may also have similarly complex dynamics to first two).
- 9. There are other CUs, each experiencing similarly complex system with the same types of interactions (but not the same permutations) with habitats, fisheries, hatcheries and other CUs. The other CUs in the diagram are represented very simply but have similarly complex interactions).



The "take home message" of the diagram is that it would not be possible to develop a fully-integrated CU-specific plan for a single CU in isolation of the interdependencies throughout the rest of the system. Identifying internally cohesive, externally independent sub-units of the SBC Chinook population that can be used for planning across all management actions is not feasible. It is necessary for planning to occur at multiple spatial scales, as appropriate for each layer in the system, while still recognizing the cumulative effects of the aggregate.

Appendix B.Detailed Threats and Knowledge Gaps Tables

B.1 Detailed Threats Table

Potential Threat	Sub- category	Natural or Human- Controlled	General	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected CU groups
Climate Cha	nge – impacts o	n freshwater o	or marine ecosystems driven by climatic changes		
Climate Change	Water temperature (freshwater)	Mixed	Most southern Chinook populations have faced increasingly stressful thermal conditions during return migrations in recent decades. Higher temperatures in Fraser River. Many summer run Chinook migrate during peak temperature, exposed to increasingly stressful temperatures	Returning adults	Esp. Upper/Mid Fraser River, Thompson, Okanagan
Climate Change	Water quantity (freshwater)	Mixed	Increased vulnerability to changes in water quantity - climate driven changes exacerbate pressure from water extraction. Impacts on groundwater recharge related to changing snow pack in snowmelt dominated systems.	Returning adults; juveniles (eggs – smolts)	Esp. Upper/Mid Fraser River, Thompson, Okanagan
Climate Change	Productivity	Mixed	Numerous and interconnected pathways. Highly likely that climate variation and change has been a factor influencing productivity in the past and will have increasing impacts in the future.	All, especially marine	
Climate Change	Warm water predators	Mixed	Increased exposure to warm water predators (e.g. mackerel, Humboldt squid, marine mammals) associated with abnormally warm ocean conditions. Chinook salmon have largely not co-evolved with these predators.	Juvenile – smolt; possible adult predation in some areas (e.g. Sea Lions from further south?)	WCVI examples; potential to affect all CU's; possibly less so for far north migrating CUs
Marine Habit	at - impacts on	marine habita	t/ecosystem quality, quantity or usage affecting marine survival rates/adult returns		
Marine Habitat	Early marine conditions	Natural	Shared declines in marine survival across many stocks (not all). Uncertainty about exact mechanisms (e.g. prey availability, competition, physical conditions, predation etc.)	Juvenile, immature adults	Stocks with early or late entry timing have fared better
Marine Habitat	Early marine conditions	Natural	Changes in local and basin-scale oceanographic conditions.	First year of ocean residency	
Marine Habitat	Predation	Natural	Marine mammal predation may affect abundance / inhibit recovery during periods of low productivity. Unlikely driver of general declines for all SBC Chinook since 1995; however, evidence of predation threats contributing to declines of specific CUs (e.g. Cowichan, Puntledge). Substantial increases in Transient KW with potential interactions with to seal dynamics (may have responded to increased seal abundance and/or be displacing seals further north). Observations of resident seals found further back into inlets than ever before, seals resident in freshwater (also natural), and sea lions found in new areas. Predation on out-migrating juveniles and returning adults. Depredation directly from fishing gear.	Marine life stages	Especially in Strait of Georgia, Johnstone Strait
Marine Habitat	Disease	Human	Increased disease risks due to interactions with salmon farm or aquaculture operations	Smolts?	??
Marine Habitat	Competition	Natural	Inter-specific competition (with other salmon species and non-salmon species)		
Estuarine Ha	bitat		·		
Estuary/FW	Predation	Human	Human activities that enhance opportunities for marine mammals to prey on juvenile	smolts	Strait of Georgia

II Appendices

Potential Threat	Sub- category	Natural or Human- Controlled	General	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected CU groups
			Chinook (e.g. lights on bridges or fish farms, haul out areas such as log booms, etc.)		
Estuary/FW	Habitat	Human	Loss of natural shoreline and estuarine marshes in lower river reaches from human activities/development and urbanization/development pressures (e.g. dredging, diking, drainage, industrial activities, port activities)-See Colin Levings work. Loss of critical transition habitats (e.g., Lower Fraser, Squamish River and many others). Much of the estuarine habitats in south coast and Strait of Georgia are mostly occupied by log booming (much research/literature).	Fry, smolts	All, especially Fall Chinook; .
Estuary/FW	Water quality	Human	Decreases in water quality due to wastewater discharges and/or other contamination		
Freshwater H	labitat – potent	ial loss or deg	radation in productivity or useable area of freshwater habitat		-
Freshwater habitat	Water regulation	Human	Human-induced changes in flow and water temperatures (water quantity). Linkage to climate change impacts which can moderate or exacerbate threat.	Eggs, fry, smolts, returning adults, spawners	Vancouver Island, Upper/Mid Fraser, Thompson, and Okanagan
Freshwater habitat	Didymo	Human/ Natural	Broad landscape changes (e.g. increased nitrogen loading either from atmosphere or human activity) leading to didymo outbreaks – potential factor contributing to reduced productivity.	Eggs, fry, smolts	Stream type CUs
Freshwater habitat	Hydrologic Processes ¹	Human/ Natural	Indicators: Forest disturbance, Equivalent Clearcut Area	All freshwater life stages	High risk: none (only BB) Mod risk: Thompson
Freshwater habitat	Vegetation Quality ¹	Human/ Natural	Indicators: Riparian disturbance, Insect and disease defoliation	All freshwater life stages	High risk: U/M Fraser, Thompson, Lower South Coast, Okanagan Mod risk: WCVI
Freshwater habitat	Surface Erosion ¹	Human	Indicators: Road development	All freshwater life stages	High risk: Thompson, Lower South Coast, WCVI, Okanagan Mod risk: Lower Fraser, U/M Fraser
Freshwater habitat	Fish passage / habitat connectivity ¹	Human	Indicators: Stream crossing density	All freshwater life stages	High risk: Lower South Coast, Okanagan Mod risk: Lower Fraser, U/M Fraser, Thompson, WCVI
Freshwater habitat	Water quantity ¹	Human	Indicators: Water licenses	All freshwater life stages	High risk: Thompson, Lower South Coast, Okanagan Mod risk: Lower Fraser, U/M Fraser, WCVI/Upper South Coast
Freshwater habitat	Water quality ¹	Human	Indicators: Wastewater discharges	All freshwater life stages	High risk: Okanagan, plus 5 other CUs across groups Mod risk: All CU groups
Freshwater habitat	Human development footprint ¹	Human	Indicators :Total land cover alterations, impervious surfaces, linear development, mining development, agricultural/rural development	All freshwater life stages	High risk: Lower South Coast, Okanagan (for Linear) Mod risk: U/M Fraser, Thompson, Lower S. Coast

Potential Threat	Sub- category	Natural or Human- Controlled	General	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected CU groups
		Controlled			Mod/low: Lower Fraser, WCVI/Upper South Coast
Harvest – im	pacts associate	d with fishing	activities including retained catch, releases and/or gear interactions		
Harvest	Harvest mortality	Human	Total mortalities (e.g., bycatch, release mortality, depredation, disease, recapture, post- release predation, unauthorized harvest, other unknown/unreported removals) from Chinook directed fisheries exceed sustainable rates given current productivity	Adults, immature	
Harvest	Harvest mortality	Human	Decreasing escapements with stable/decreased ER suggests possibility that total mortality may exceed sustainable rates (e.g., esp. if total mortality is greater than reported mortality). Need to consider total fishing mortalities including from all retention and releases. Several sources of mortality are not captured such as depredation, by-catch in other fisheries (e.g. Bering Sea Pollock fishery).	Adults, immature	
Harvest	Removals	Human	Unreported / unknown removals (including by-catch in fisheries not included in total mortality tables, depredation, unauthorized/unreported harvest)	Adults, immature	All
Hatchery Pro	oduction – impa	cts associated	I with hatchery / enhanced production of Chinook (or other salmon)		
Hatchery production	Genetic	Human	Genetic risks to enhanced and surrounding wild populations generally are believed to result from domestication selection within the hatchery population and/or outbreeding effects on surrounding populations. Potential stressors on natural populations and contributions to reduced productivity and potentially to declines in abundance include: straying into non-target systems high enhanced contributions in some target systems lack of information relating to enhanced contribution inability to mass mark Chinook to assist in genetic management 	Spawners	Higher risk: Strait of Georgia, WCVI Low: Lower Fraser, Thompson, U/M Fraser
Hatchery production	Disease	Human	Contained hatchery populations with disease can potentially transmit pathogens to wild populations in receiving waters.	Fry, smolts	Low risk: All
Hatchery production	Ecological	Human	Hatcheries pose five main ecological interaction issues related to salmon ecosystems: carrying capacity, competition ⁷⁸ , predation, disease and behavior.	Fry, smolts	Low risk: All
Hatchery production	Harvest pressure	Human	Increased hatchery production for harvest objectives can lead to increased fishing on mixed stocks and therefore increased pressure on wild stocks (indirect impact from hatchery production, mediated through harvest decisions).		
Hatchery production		Human	High proportion of hatchery-origin spawners (pHOS) exceeds Columbia criteria. (>0.2 in 50% of past 15 years; CTC). Lack of data on straying and genetics. Unlikely significant negative effect, but uncertain.		Thompson River
Cumulative of	or synergistic in	teractions am	ong threats		
Interaction Effects		Human/ Natural	One or more threats or stressors acting in conjunction on a CU. Many examples.	All	All

⁷⁸ Includes interspecific interactions with other hatchery production releases (e.g. chum, coho). For example, Chum hatchery releases compete for food but are timing of release is ahead of Chinook

B.2 Detailed Knowledge Gaps Table								
Area	Subcategory (incomplete)	Knowledge Gap						
-								

Area	Subcategory	Knowledge Gap	Relevance	CU Group
	(incomplete)		(not completed)	(incomplete)
Status and trends				
Status and trends	Monitoring /	Lack of a comprehensive monitoring framework:	Critical info for	
	assessment	 high-precision network of indicator stocks across life-history types, ecotypes, and ecosystems 	stock	
	framework	 extensive and intensive monitoring programs 	assessment and	
		 additional layer of monitoring (e.g., migration) 	fisheries mgt.	
		Most of the other knowledge gaps associated with status and trends are connected to this overall gap.	(e.g., cohort-	
			specific ERs,	
0			allows forecasts)	
Status and trends	Indicator stocks	Limited number of indicator stocks (10 current indicators stocks for 35 CUs).	l	Numerous
		Highest priority gaps (areas/ecotypes with no representation): Opper Fraser (5.2 springs and summers) and meiological springs and summers) and	l	(esp.
		Other important cape: Lower Fraser (e.g., Birkenhead is likely remnant of more broadly distributed life-history	l	ocean
		type) middle Shuswan	l	distribution)
Status and trends	Wild indicators	Lack of wild indicator stocks. However, tagging representative sample of wild fish is extremely	Separation of	diotributionij
		difficult/expensive (may need surrogate hatchery for info assessment).	wild and	
			hatchery stocks	
Status and trends	Abundance	Quantitative abundance estimates (cannot conduct formal stock-recruitment analyses to estimate stock-	ĺ	Most CUs/
		specific productivity)	ļ	stocks
Status and trends	Mortality	Mortality rates by age-class and annual variability	ļ	
Status and trends	Productivity	Assessment of possible temporal changes in productivity – not possible for most stocks, which use habitat-	l	All
		based methods). The data necessary for S-R analyses is either incomplete or non-existent for most	l	
0		populations.	l	A.II.
Status and trends		In many cases, data on age-at-return, body size, and sex composition are inadequate for analysis	l	All
Status and trends	Evistic v data	Capability to separate treshwater and marine effects on stock recruitment and productivity	 	A !!
Status and trends	Existing data	Validation of pre-1995 spawner data	<u> </u>	All
Marine Habitat	Currying factors	Knowledge of what is surroutly limiting survival in the maxine environment		
Marine habitat	Survival factors	Respite underestimation of natural materity due to increased matine marine involution		
Marine nabilal	manne manmal	Nost information on the interaction of marine mammale with Chinesk in mainland inlate. Discovery Islands	l	
	predation	et. Observations of seals and what they are eating should be reported. Marine mammals are intelligent and	l	
		adaptable so it will be difficult to fully understand predation dynamics without better understanding behaviour	l	
		(e.g. to understand annual variability vs. average consumption rates). Important at local scales and specific	l	
		case-studies, but lower priority at larger scale.		
Marine habitat	Human influence	To what extent are human actions influencing predation, through changes in the abundance, distribution and/or		
	on predation	behaviours of marine mammals.	<u> </u>	
Marine and FW	Environmental	Ability to determine impacts environmental conditions on Chinook. Requires substantial, long-term research		
	conditions	programs.	ļ	
Hatchery	Ecosystem	Limited understanding about estuarine and early marine ecosystem interactions and feedback with		Van. Island,
	interactions	respect to both wild stocks and hatchery production. Have some tools but don't understand broader dynamics.	 	SoG
Marine habitat	Salmon farms	Limited information on potential interactions and risks of salmon farms. Given knowledge on Sockeye and	1	

Area	Subcategory (incomplete)	Knowledge Gap	Relevance (not completed)	CU Group (incomplete)
	(lack of info on Chinook, salmon farms could be considered a threat until shown otherwise.	((
Hatcherv Production	I			
Hatchery	Risks and benefits	Clear understanding of impacts (risks and benefits) of hatcheries and enhanced stocks – interactions, spawning contribution, potential replacement, carrying capacity, abundance indices, harvest rates, bycatch rates, stray rates, genetics. Vancouver Island is highest concern due to highest levels of production by far.		Esp. Vancouver Island
Hatchery	Effects on harvest	Better understanding needed of how changes in hatchery production affect changes in harvest levels in different stocks and fisheries (progress being achieved with HHAT)		
Hatchery	Genetic	Limited ability to assess and monitor enhanced contribution to return in unmarked stocks		All
Hatchery	Genetic - straying	Limited understanding of the extent and effect of genetic outbreeding introgression of hatchery stocks and other non-target stocks.		Van. Island
Hatchery	Genetic - straying	Limited understanding of the extent and magnitude of hatchery Chinook salmon straying into non-natal watersheds		Van. Island
Hatchery		Potential influence of enhancement on natural populations in Lower Fraser is highly uncertain given lack of data on key metrics		Lower Fraser
Pathogens and Diseas	ses			
Pathogens		Monitoring of disease in wild populations and estimation of impact is limited in BC. Monitoring had been largely non-existent until more recently. Strategic Salmon Health Initiative (SAR 2309) has been collecting samples of wild and hatchery fish, across all species, testing for all diseases.		All
Pathogens		The extent to which pathogens and disease contribute to variation in Chinook production both between populations and over time is not known		All
Harvest				
Harvest	Sustainable ERs	Are current harvest-associated total mortalities sustainable based on current productivity levels		
Harvest	Catch monitoring – overall	Overall need to identify where the biggest gaps in catch data are. There is a lack of knowledge about where fish in caught in certain locations are coming from and/or lack of knowledge about the numerous places fish from certain stocks are being caught. Need for assessment of current catch monitoring across all fisheries. Report cards on catch monitoring across all fisheries – bias, precision, underreporting, etc.		
Harvest	Freshwater catch monitoring	There are gaps in freshwater catch monitoring (includes First Nations' and recreational fisheries). There are big gaps in catch information for freshwater fisheries on Vancouver Island, whereas freshwater First Nations fisheries in the Fraser are highly monitored and collect lots of data.		
Harvest	Recreational catch monitoring	There is considerable uncertainty and disagreement among stakeholders and participants on the strength and representativeness of recreational catch monitoring . The recreational catch monitoring program includes estimates of encounters, catches and releases from creel surveys and voluntary CWT head collection. The creel survey and First Nations survey augment primary data from the test fishery to provide good estimates of catch. DFO participants stated that the creel survey is statistically sound and provides decent estimates. Some other participants question the geographic representation within the creel survey program. These concerns may reflect gaps in monitoring and/or gaps in communication and education about the existing approaches.		
Harvest	First Nations participation in catch monitoring	Concerns about First Nations not being included in monitoring . First Nations have repeatedly offered to contribute to monitoring in other regions (e.g., other coastal, Van Island) but have been turned down. Some First Nations have perceived an unwillingness to consider local First Nations capacity to do monitoring within their territory. Partnerships and collaboration could help First Nations achieve more comprehensive monitoring (across all sectors) within their respective territories.		
	i otar mortanty	receive news bottor countrates of the total mortality nom nonenes (the cater, by cater, encounter rates,		

Area	Subcategory	Knowledge Gap	Relevance	CU Group
	(incomplete)	 discards, short-/long-term release mortalities). Is retained catch being accurately and completely estimated? Is Chinook bycatch being accurately estimated? Is long-term release mortality being accurately estimated? Release mortality includes short- and long-term mortality (including predation after release) from catch and release or releases due to fish not meeting regulations. We have a good understanding of mortality within 24 hrs, but lack of understanding of long-term release mortality. Releases could be added to reporting requirements or requests where not already included. Is depredation (forcible removal by predators of fish directly from fishing gear) being accurately estimated? Depredation is very tangible to fishers and appears to be a more significant problem that currently reported. Losses from predators are not (consistently) reported in FSC, recreational and commercial fisheries. Is long-term mortality from drop-off from gill-nets and other fishing gear (injury and infection) being accurately estimated? Is compliance being accurately estimated? Is compliance being accurately estimated? 	(not completed)	(incomplete)
Harvest	Setting ERs	Technical basis for setting optimal exploitation rates is weak for many stocks. Need to consider total allowable mortality from all factors and account for changes and uncertainty in what is considered "optimal". The biggest contributing factor to this knowledge gap is the lack of S-R analyses for most populations to be able to estimate U _{MSY} . ⁷⁹	Adults	
Harvest	Ecosystem-level impacts	The ecological impact of the removal of biomass due to harvest.		
Harvest	Fishery-induced changes	Genetic risk of modifying populations from fishing the "tails" of the distribution of run timing (i.e., "fishery induced evolution").		
Harvest	Fishery-induced changes	Are certain harvest patterns (e.g., size, timing) contributing to reduced productivity for certain stocks		
Climate Change	.			
Climate change		Do not have full knowledge of changes that will happen and potential impacts on different aspects of Chinook life history and habitats		All

⁷⁹ Linkage to Status and Trends knowledge gaps.

Appendix C. Examples of CU-specific Identification of Threats

The following five tables each identify major threats for an example individual CUs. These examples were developed by members of the Technical Working Group. The particular example CUs selected represent an opportunistic sample (i.e., CUs for which there is substantial knowledge and TWG members with time to dedicate to the exercise). These CUs are not meant to provide a representative sample across all regions, ecotypes, run timings, and life-history types. The five example CUs include (in order of their tables):

- 1. CK-01 Okanagan
- 2. CK-15 Shuswap Summers 0.3
- 3. CK-17 Lower Thompson Spring 1.2
- 4. CK-22: Cowichan/Koksilah
- 5. CK-31 SWVI (Somass system only)

CIZ	01	01	
LK-	U1:	Окапааа	n

Potential Threat	Subcategory	Natural or Human	Potential Severity	Extent of Threat	Frequency	Affected Life	Affected Populations	Specific Concern / Comments
Climate Chang	e e		Corolly				i opulationo	
Climate	Water	Human/	High	Freshwater migration.	Annual	fry, smolts,	All	Water temperatures often reach upper tolerance limits for salmon in the mainstem Okanagar
Change	temperature	Natural	(Moderate) -	freshwater residence.		adults		spawners. Metabolically, certain life stages may see a marginal positive gain, thus the High/
5-	and change in		Increasing					······································
	hydroperiod							
Climate	Pathogens	Human/	Moderate -	Freshwater migration,	Annual	adults	All	Increased incidence of pathogens (e.g., BKD) during years with high water temperatures and
Change	-	Natural	Increasing	freshwater residence.				
Climate	Forest Fires	Human/	Low -	Freshwater migration,	Annual	fry, smolts,	All	Increasing droughts and forest fires can lead to increases in sediment supply and changes in
Change		Natural	Increasing	freshwater residence.		adults		
Habitat Degra	dation	1			1		1	
Habitat	Channelization	Human	High - Stable	Almost all freshwater areas	Annual	egg, alevin, fry,	All	Almost the entire Okanagan River has been channelized and diked since the 1950s, leading
Degradation				used		smolts,		habitat. The remaining channel lacks complexity and suitable spawning gravel. Approximate
						spawners		conditions. It is likely that little usable summer habitat remains in the channelized sections of
							A.U.	in combination with high water temperatures. Restoration projects are under way.
Habitat	FIOW	Human		Almost all freshwater areas	Annual	egg, alevin, try,	All	Dams were installed at the outlet of all mainstem lakes for water storage and flow regulation,
Degradation	Regulation		(mainstem) -	used		smolts,		regime (elevated water temperature). Flow regime likely plays a key role in survival of smolts
			(tributorico)			spawners		
			(Indularies) -					
Habitat	Water	Human	High -	Ereshwater migration	Annual	fry smolts	All	Water temperatures often reach upper tolerance limits for salmon in the mainstem Okanaga
Degradation		Tuman	Increasing	freshwater residence	Annual	adulte		snawners. Extremely low flows are often observed in tributary streams, leading to lack of acc
Habitat	Dam	Human	High - Stable	All freshwater areas used	Annual	snawners end	ΔΙΙ	Construction of dams for water storage and flow regulation in the first half of the 20th century
Degradation	Construction	Tuman	Tigit - Otable	An neshwater areas used.	Annual	alevin frv		Okanagan Chinook including portions of the mainstem Okanagan river, tributaries, Skaha a
Dogradation	Conduction					alovin, ny		(McIntyre and Skaha), with the current upstream extent at the south end of Okanagan Lake.
Habitat	Hydroelectric	Human	Low (adults).	Entire freshwater	Annual	smolts.	All	Smolts and adults pass 9 hydroelectric dams in the Columbia River on their migration to and
Degradation	Development		High (smolts) -	outmigration route		returning adults	7.01	survival to the mouth of the Columbia is estimated at 43% (2006 COSEWIC Assessment). M
			Variable	3 1 1 1		J		COSEWIC Assessment). Concerns include impacts from TGP and delayed mortality, increase
Habitat	Pollution	Human	Moderate -	entire migration route but	Annual	fry, smolts and	AII	Pollution from industry and agriculture along the Columbia River and in the estuary. Increasi
Degradation			High - Stable	particularly in Lower		adult migrants		
-			-	Columbia and estuary		-		
Habitat	Channel	Human	High - Stable	Columbia River Estuary	Annual	smolts	All	Loss of wetland and side channel habitat to development in the Lower Columbia River Estua
Degradation	Modifications							production in the estuary from a macrodetritus-based source to a microdetritus-based source
								has lowered the productivity of the estuary (Bottom et al. 2005)
Predation	1	Г	T					
Predation	Predation by	Human	High (fry), Low-	freshwater rearing and	Annual	fry and smolts	All	Introduction of a number of fish-eating alien fish species (e.g. walleye, northern pike, less so
	Exotic species		Moderate	migration habitat to the				juveniles. The delay of out-migrants associated with lower flows and delays at dams can inci
			(smolts) - High	Columbia River estuary				
Dradation	Dradation by	Notural	- Stable	freehuister rearing and	Annual	fry and amolta		Native fich enting fich angeles (a g nikeminnew) are preving an Chinesk invention. The date
Predation	Predation by	Natural		migration babitat in the	Annual	iry and smoits,	All	inative listi-eating listi species (e.g. pikeriliniow) are preying on Chinook juverilies. The deta
	Native Species		increasing	Columbia River estuary		auuits		species
				Columbia Niver estuary				species.
								Also high predation in the Lower Columbia (McNary-Ronneville) and estuary by seabirds tha
								10 to 30 percent of the total estuarine salmonid smolt production in 1997. Estuary survival of
								Also predation on juveniles and adults by pinnipeds.
Harvest		•		•	1	•		
Harvest	Marine	Human	High	Marine residence and	Annual	Adults	All	Total mortalities associated with harvest are uncertain. The retained catch by all sectors ave
			•	migration				data (2013). Biggest impacts are traditionally in marine commercial fisheries but harvest in-ri
l la mira at	En alemater	L humana a	Madausta	Marine meridence and	A	A shults	A 11	
Harvest	Freshwater	Human	woderate -	marine residence and	Annual	Aduits	All	Also unknown component of poaching
Hatabary Dra	luction			migration				
	High	Humon	Modorato /	ontiro migration routo	Appuel	smolts to	All	Large numbers of batchery Chinesk are released into the Columbia Diver in the U.C. annually
Production	Enhancomont	Turnan	High - Stable	particularly in Lower	Annual	smolls to	All	food recourses and habitat as well as through the large coals fisheries directed at these hat
	in US Col R		riigii - Stable	Columbia and estuary				increasing
L	iii 00 00i. IX.		<u> </u>	Solumbia and Coldary	1	1	I	

an River and tributaries, as well as the Columbia River on return migration of /Moderate rating.

nd low flows, leading to prespawn mortality.

in the hydrograph.

g to significant loss of mainstem river channel, side channels and floodplain ely 91% of juvenile rearing habitat has been lost compared to historical f the Okanagan main channel due to lack of complexity and suitable habitat,

, and in most tributaries for water storage, leading to an unnatural thermal s through the river, the dams, and through the estuary.

an River and tributaries, as well as the Columbia River on return migration of accessible habitat and high water temperatures. The blocked access to significant portions of the former range of and Okanagan Lakes. Access was provided recently above two dams

d from sea. Smolt mortality at each dam ranges from 5% to 15%, and Mortality likely varies by year. Adult survival is estimated at 80-85% (2006 ased predation.

ing level of cyanobacteria from nutrification in Osoyoos Lake.

ary has been extensive. This has resulted in a switch in primary be, which

largemouth and smallmouth Bass, perch,) are preying on Chinook rease mortality.

ay of out-migrants associated with lower flows and delays at dams can armer, less complex habitats likely facilitated the proliferation of these

at are increasing in numbers (Fresh et al. 2005). Avian predators consumed if smolts is estimated at approx. 65%.

eraged 61% over last decade. Increase to just under 80% for last year with river in FN and sport fisheries has increased in recent years

y. These fish may adversely impact Okanagan stocks by competition for tchery fish. Adult residualization and mini-jacks of hatchery Chinook

Potential Threat	Subcategory	Natural or Human	Potential Severity	Extent of Threat	Frequency	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected Populations	Specific Concern / Comments
Harvest								
Harvest	Freshwater	Human	Moderate - stable	Freshwater spawning migration	Annual	Spawners	All	Total mortalities associated with harvest are uncertain Retained ca entire CU, Frequency is annual, Pressure is stable.
Harvest	Marine	Human	Moderate - variable	Marine residence and migration	Annual	Adults	All	Total mortalities associated with harvest are uncertain. Retained cat entire CU, Frequency is annual, Pressure is stable.
Climate Chan	qe	•		•				
Climate Change	Water temperature (FW)	Human/ Natural	Moderate - Increasing	Freshwater migration, freshwater residence.	During some years	egg, alevin, fry, smolts, adults	All	Water temperatures can reach upper tolerance limits for salmon in the migration of spawners. Observed prespawn mortality in Lower Shus Deep cold holding pools have become rare in some areas of this CL Juveniles are less susceptible due to ocean-type life history and relations.
Climate Change	Water temperature (marine)	Human/ Natural	Moderate - Increasing	All	Annual	early marine though returning adult	All	Throughout marine residence. Increased energetic demand, reduce
Climate Change	Acidity	Human Induced	Unknown - likely increasing due to fossil fuel use	All	Annual	early marine through returning adult	All	Ocean acidification associated with fossil fuel use
Hatchery		1						
Production	component	Human	Moderate - stable	All life stages in Middle Shuswap River	Annual	egg, alevin, fry, smolts, adults	(1 of 2)	production is extremely low there but causes unclear (Hydroelectric
Freshwater Ha	abitat	Liveran		Conversions habitat within 500 m of	Annual	and aloring for	Middle Chusuran	Intercention of moved at Wilcow Dave has let to according of exhate
Habitat Degradation	Development	Human	Low? - Increasing	Wilsey Dam, Middle Shuswap River	Annual	egg, alevin, fry	(1 of 2)	This is an area that is typically heavily used by Middle Shuswap Chi
Habitat Degradation	Hydroelectric Development	Human	Low - Stable	Spawning habitat downstream of Wilsey Dam, Middle Shuswap River	Annual	egg, alevin, fry	Middle Shuswap (1 of 2)	Alteration of thermal regime and incubation temperatures by 2 dams upstream [run of river])
Habitat	Hydroelectric	Human	Moderate -	Spawning and adult holding habitat in	Annual	spawners, egg,	Middle Shuswap	Dredging of fine sediment from the forebay of Wilsey Dam planned f
Degradation	Development		Increasing	the Middle Shuswap River		alevin, fry	(1 of 2)	Concern over infilling of holding pools.
Habitat Degradation	Hydroelectric Development	Human	High - Stable	Middle Shuswap River	Annual	spawners, egg, alevin, fry	Middle Shuswap (1 of 2)	Construction of Wilsey Dam in the 1930 blocked access to 30km of Spawning below occurs only within a few km of the dam; redd super
Habitat Degradation	Forestry	Human	Moderate - stable	throughout freshwater residence	Annual	all freshwater life stages	All	Alterations to flow regimes. Loss of riparian cover, loss of channel st riparian cover
Habitat Degradation	Road Development	Human	moderate - stable	Variable	Annual; Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	All	Lots of logging in the area. Also, highway runs adjacent for some str
Habitat Degradation	Permitted waste water discharge	Human	Unknown	Lower Shuswap River downstream of Enderby	Annual; Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	Lower Shuswap (1 of 2)	Enderby Treated Effluent Discharge permitted into Lower Shuswap
Habitat Degradation	Sedimentation	Human/ Natural	High - Increasing	Spawning and adult holding habitat in the Middle Shuswap River	Annual	spawners, egg, alevin, fry	Middle Shuswap (1 of 2)	Sedimentation of deep holding pools in the Middle Shuswap River h holding Chinook; all others were observed to be too shallow. This is the proposed dredging works (see above). The source of sediment i
Habitat Degradation	Riparian Disturbance	Human	High - Increasing	riparian area, freshwater rearing habitat, spawning habitat	Annual	egg, alevin, fry, and smolts	All	Riparian zone damage from channelization and clearing has degrad sediment deposits. Habitat complexity is very low in the Lower Shus limited areas.
Habitat Degradation	Channelization, Riparian Disturb, Low Streamflow	Human	High - Increasing	freshwater rearing areas	Annual	fry and smolts	All	Migrating fry and smolts are known to use the lower reaches of tribu tributaries and off-channel areas are in agricultural areas and have to disturbance, and water withdrawals, leading to uniform, sandy-botto inaccessible to rearing Chinook, or are of lower value and favour cost compete with and prey upon juvenile Chinook. Further, stranding of
Habitat Degradation	Agricultural Activities	Human	Moderate - Increasing	freshwater rearing areas	Annual	fry and smolts	All	Runoff from agricultural areas introduces nutrients mainly to small tr River. In small tributaries and side channels, this leads to excessive fluctuating DO and pH (fish kills observed in some areas).
Habitat Degradation	Channel Modifications	Natural	Moderate - Increasing	freshwater rearing areas	Annual	fry and smolts	Middle Shuswap (1 of 2)	Off-channel rearing areas are partially or fully cut off from main char weeds. Off-channel access needs to be maintained. Restoration pro
Habitat Degradation	Channel Modifications	Human	High - Increasing	entire migration route but particularly in Lower Fraser and estuary	Annual	smolts	All	Extensive diking and elimination of off-channel rearing habitats alon the Lower Fraser e.g., Sumas Lake, Nicomen Slough, Seabird Islan or completely eliminated.
Habitat Degradation	Pollution (Lower Fraser and Estuary)	Human	Moderate - trend unknown	entire migration route but particularly in Lower Fraser and estuary	Annual	smolts and adult migrants	All	Pollution from industry and agriculture along the Lower Fraser and in

CK-15: Shuswap Summers 0.3

atch all sectors averaged 52% over last decade. Severity is high, extent is

tch by all sectors averaged 52% over last decade. Severity is high, extent is

he Middle and Lower Shuswap Rivers, as well as the Fraser River on return wap (temps, affected by density of Chinook and sockeye e.g., stress).

atively limited freshwater rearing.

d quality and quantity of food. Increased threat from warm-water predators

tivity (Return per spawner) much less than Lower Shuswap. Natural Operation?).

ate outside the preferred range for Chinook within approx. 500 m of the dam. nook spawners.

s (Sugar Lake Dam, 30 km upstream and Wilsey Dam, immediately

for spring 2016. Sediment will be pumped into the river below the dam.

river upstream with abundant high quality Chinook spawning habitat. rimposition observed at times

tability and complexity, siltation; often exacerbated by agricultural removal of

retches.

downstream of Enderby.

has increased over the past ~5 years. In 2015, only one pool was used by sue may be exacerbated in future years by bulk sediment releases during is unknown but suspected to be in the tributary Bessette Creek. The distream habitat by reducing cover, LWD inputs, and increasing fine swap downstream of Enderby. Restoration projects are underway in some

tary streams as well as off-channel areas for rearing. Many of those been heavily modified by infilling, channelization, diking, riparian om channels with high water temperatures. These areas are now either arse fish species (e.g., pikeminnow, redside shiners, carp), that may fry in flooded pastures is a concern where dikes exist.

ibutary streams but likely also the mainstem Lower and Middle Shuswap growth of aquatic macrophytes and associated water stagnation and highly

nnel flows by channel migration, leading to decreased flows and increased ojects under way in some areas.

g the migration route of smolts (e.g., seasonally flooded habitats throughout d, estuary near Vancouver Airport). Side channels were cut-off due to diking

n the estuary (e.g., airport chemical run-off from de-icing)

Potential Threat	Subcategory	Natural or Human	Potential Severity	Extent of Threat	Frequency	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected Populations	Specific Concern / Comments
Predation		•		·				
Predation	Predation by exotic species	Human	Low - Increasing	freshwater rearing habitat	Annual	fry and smolts	All	Introduction of fish-eating alien fish species (Largemouth Bass) are
Predation	Predation by Native Species	Natural	Low - Stable	Throughout Middle Shuswap River	Annual	eggs, fry	Middle Shuswap (1 of 2)	Anecdotal information suggests increasing population of Mountain V
Predation	Marine mammals	Natural	?	Marine migration through nearshore areas	Annual	Adults and sub- adults	All	High predation on Chinook from killer whale (spring stocks concentra See Hansen et al; John Ford, Graeme Ellis -Harbor seals and sea lions potentially high predation levels
Marine Habita	at							
Food Availability	Reduced Prey Items	Natural	Moderate - Increasing	Marine	Most years	sub-adults, adults	All	Increasing marine water temperatures changes prey items from high effect on productivity, on offshore migrant CU populations, occurs m
Habitat Degradation	Pollution	Human	Moderate - Increasing	Strait of Georgia	Annual	smolts / early marine	All	Acidification, urbanization, higher pollution levels in Strait of Georgia threat is increasing with growing human population.
Food Availability	Reduced Prey Items	?	High - Stable	Strait of Georgia	Annual	smolts / early marine	All	Decreased Chinook prey items such as eulachon and herring. Cause stage. Severity is high, Extent is entire CU, Frequency is likely annu-
Food Availability	Reduced Prey Items		?	Strait of Georgia	Annual	smolts / early marine	All	Strait of Georgia late ocean entry. Oceanic zooplankton community dominated by smaller copepods and euphausiids but tradeoff betwee bloom timing (short phyto blooms at spring neap frequency).
Habitat Degradation	Pollution (Marine)	Human	low - stable	Variable	Annual During estuarine and marine residence	early marine through returning adult	All	Marine threats due to increasing tanker traffic with oils and LNG

preying on Chinook juveniles

Whitefish that may be preying on Chinook eggs and juveniles

rated in southern resident kw diets; northern residents timing might not align):

h lipid large zooplankton to smaller, low lipid zooplankton. Likely a moderate nost years and threat is increasing over last 100 years a. Severity is moderate, extent is entire CU population, frequency is annual,

sative factor unknown, but will affect survival of Chinook in early marine ual and Change may be stable at this point.

arge decadal variability of amount and composition. Summer zooplankton een these surface nutrient supply modulated by stratification. Variation in

Potential Threat	Sub- category	Natural or Human	Potential Severity	Extent of Threat	Frequency	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected Populations	Specific Concern /
Climate Chan	ge						1	
Climate Change	Water temperature (marine)	Natural (??)	moderate- increasing	All	throughout marine residence	early marine through returning adult	All	Throughout marine quantity of food. Inc
Climate Change	Water temperature (FW)	Natural (? human- induced)	high - increasing	All	Summer period during FW residence	0+ parr	All, those rearing in tributary streams likely more vulnerable	
Climate Change	Acidity	Human induced	Unknown - likely increasing	All	throughout marine residence	early marine through returning adult	All	Ocean acidification
Climate Change	Drought	Natural (??)	high - increasing	All	Throughout FW residence, possible impact in-redd due to reduced groundwater availability	0+ parr	All	Main impact linked to rearing habitats. If g buffering of GW, res decreased availabilit
Habitat Degra	dation							
Habitat Degradation	Forestry	Human Controlled	moderate - stable	All	Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	All	Alterations to flow re complexity, siltation
Habitat Degradation	Urban development	Human Controlled	moderate-high - increasing on Nicola	Variable	Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	Nicola and Coldwater most	"Sustainable growth
Habitat Degradation	Pollution (FW)	Human Controlled	moderate-high - stable	Variable	Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	All but Coldwater River very vulnerable to road- related pollutants; others to agricultural run-off	Highway pollution, a petrochemical spills
Habitat Degradation	Pollution (Estuary)	Human Controlled	moderate - trend unknown.	Variable	During estuarine residence	early marine	Pollution in Fraser estuary associated with industrial activities, and GVRD sewage, runoff etc.	Very vulnerable life development, boom Estuary (well docum
Habitat Degradation	Pollution (Marine)	Human Controlled	low - stable	Variable	During estuarine and marine residence	early marine through returning adult	All but Coldwater River very vulnerable to road- related pollutants; others to agricultural run-off	Marine threats due
Habitat Degradation	Road development	Human Controlled	moderate - stable	Variable	Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	All but some more vulnerable. Coldwater and Bonaparte very vulnerable to all linear development	Lots of roads in Nice vulnerable
Habitat Degradation	Mining development	Human Controlled	Unknown	All	Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	Unaware of any proposals currently	
Habitat Degradation	Water allocation	Human Controlled	high - increasing	All	Throughout FW residence, possible impact in-redd due to reduced groundwater availability	0+ parr, returning adults	All. Nicola, Coldwater and Louis likely most vulnerable	Major issue in south source removals
Habitat Degradation	Permitted waste water discharge	Human Controlled	Unknown	All, especially sewage discharge into Nicola	Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	Nicola. Not sure about permitted discharge into others	Merritt sewer
Habitat Degradation	Riparian disturbance	Mostly human Controlled	high - increasing	Bonaparte, Louis, Nicola, Coldwater, Deadman. Less in upper Spius and upper Coldwater	Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	Bonaparte, Louis, Nicola, Coldwater, Deadman. Less in upper Spius and upper Coldwater	Agriculture is prima
Habitat Degradation	Agricultural/ Rural development	Mostly human Controlled	high - increasing	Bonaparte, Louis, Nicola, Coldwater, Deadman. Much less in Spius	Throughout FW residence	All FW life stages	Bonaparte, Louis, Nicola, Coldwater, Deadman.	Agriculture is primate leading to bank inst
Harvest	•		•					
Harvest	Freshwater	Human Controlled	Moderate, stable	All	Annual on return migration	Returning adults	All, later returning more vulnerable than pre-freshet	FN and rec fisheries
Harvest	Marine	Human Controlled	Moderate, variable	All	Annual on return migration	Returning adults	All, later returning more vulnerable than pre-freshet	WCVI troll, entrance

CK-17: Fraser River Spring 1.2

Comments

residence. Increased energetic demand, reduced quality and creased threat from warm-water predators

associated with fossil fuel use

to temperature in summer and reduced quality and quantity of groundwater impacted, reduced GW availability may reduce thermal sulting in decreased egg to fry, loss of summer thermal refugia, lity of overwinter GW habitats

egimes. Loss of riparian cover, loss of channel stability and i; often exacerbated by agricultural removal of riparian cover n....."

agricultural runoff. Coldwater and Louis many be vulnerable to with pipeline development

stage and much habitat has been lost due to industrial ning grounds. GVRD sewage discharge and other impacts to Fraser nented)

to increasing tanker traffic with oils and LNG

ola, Coldwater and along Louis. Deadman and Spius less

nern interior associated with urbanization, agriculture and other point

ry culprit with linear development and forestry also contributing

ary culprit, frequently associated with removal of riparian cover tability and loss of channel stability and loss of LWD recruitment

s in Lower Fraser and terminally

e recreational fisheries

Potential Threat	Subcategory	Natural or Human	Potential Severity	Extent of Threat	Frequency	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected Populations	Specific Concern / Commen
Climate Change								
Climate Change	Water levels	Natural	Low	All	Annually	fry emergence	All populations	High water scour of redds
Climate Change	Water levels	Natural/ Human	Low	All Spawning Habitat	3 in 10 years	fry emergence	All population in low water years where spawn is in lower river	Chum overspawn
Climate Change	Water levels	Natural	Low/V. Low	20% of spawning area	1 in 10 years	fry emergence	20% of population that spawns below Skutz Falls	Dewatering of redds and redu
Climate change	water levels	Natural/Human	Lack of Information	All of river	3 in 10 years	Freshwater residency	All populations	Stranding in isolated off-chann
Climate Change	water levels	Natural	High	All of river	Annually	Freshwater residency	All populations	insufficient flow to provide acc mainstem rearing habitat betw
Climate Change	Water Temperature	Natural/Human	low	lower river	Annually	Freshwater residency	Fry	High water temps combined w
Climate Change	Water levels	Natural	low	All of river	Annually	Freshwater residency	fry	Higher high flows that prematu
Habitat								
Habitat Degradation	Water Quality	Natural	High	All spawning habitat	Annually	egg, alevin, fry	All populations if spawn if more than average of 25% spawning occurs downstream of Sandy Pool	High Suspended Sediment
Habitat Degradation	Water Quality	Natural	Low	All Spawning habitat	Annually	egg, alevin, fry	All population, 75% generally spawn above eroding clav banks	High Suspended Sediment
Habitat Degradation	Freshwater	Human	Moderate	70% of Lower river rearing	Annually	Freshwater residency	High amount of population affected	Loss of access to historic tribu
Habitat Degradation	Freshwater	Human	High	Lower river	Annually	Freshwater residency	All populations	Loss of high guality rearing ha
Habitat Degradation	Estuary	Human	Lack of Information	All estuary	Annually	smolt	Potentially high - gap in information	Decreasing freshwater flows
Habitat Degradation	Food supply	unknown	unknown	moderate	Annually	Freshwater residency	Fry	Lack of suitable food supply
Habitat Degradation	Water Quality	Human	Lack of Information	lower river	Annually	Freshwater residency	fry	Heavy metal concentrations
Habitat Degradation	Estuarine habitat	Human	high	All estuary	Annually	Smolting, estuarine residence	All populations	lack of good quality estuarine
Habitat Degradation	Estuarine habitat	Human	lack of	All estuary	unknown	Smolting, estuarine residence	All populations	Decreased water quality and r
			information			chief and a second seco		sewage effluent in the estuary
Habitat Degradation	Estuary	Human	Lack of Information	All estuary	unknown	Smolting, estuarine residence	All populations	available food supply and rear
Habitat Degradation	Lower River	Natural/Human	Moderate	lower river	3 in 10 years	freshwater entry	Some of population is affected	aggradation of sediments crea early fall period.
Habitat Degradation	fish passage	Human	Moderate	All of lower river	Annually	upstream migration	All population in Cowichan River	Loss of safe migration route th
Habitat Degradation	Refuge habitat	Natural	Moderate	middle and upper reaches of mainstem Cowichan	Annually	upstream migration	most of fall population	Availability of good quality refu
Habitat Degradation	Water Temperature	Natural/Human	moderate	all CU except earlies holding in Lake	Annually	upstream migration	All fall populations and earlies holding in the river	High water temperatures in low migration mortality and subleth
Habitat Degradation	Water Quality	Human	Low	lower river	Annually	upstream migration	Affects Cowichan/Koksilah portions of CU	poor water quality conditions of
Habitat Degradation	Water Quality	Natural	Moderate	All spawning habitat	Annually	spawning	Affects all CU	High suspended sediment load
Habitat Degradation	Spawning Habitat	Natural	Low	All spawning habitat	Annually	spawning	All populations except upper watershed spawners	Lack of sufficient natural grave
Habitat Degradation	Spawning Habitat	Natural	unknown	All spawning habitat	Annually	spawning	All populations	Availability of a sufficient quan
Habitat Degradation	Invasive Species	Human	unknown	All of river	Annually	all life stages in freshwater	All populations	Colonization of invasive specie
Barrier	fish passage	Human	high	Moderate extent - specific to Cowichan Lake access for fry	Annually	Freshwater residency	Early-run population and fall run fish that spawn in upper tributaries	Limited juvenile passage at Co
Barrier	fish passage	Natural	high	80% of spawning habitat	Annually	upstream migration	Some of population is affected	Limited access through Skutz
Barrier	fish passage	Human	Moderate	lower river	Annually	upstream migration	most of fall population	Potential delay in migration du
Predation	Freshwater	Natural	Moderate	All	Annually	fry emergence	All populations egg to fry survival	Predation by birds and fish
Predation	Freshwater and estuary	Natural	Lack of Information	All parts of river and estuary	Annually	Freshwater residency	All populations; 50% loss of hatchery fry	Predation of fry by brown trout
Predation	Estuary	Natural	lack of information	All estuary	unknown	Smolting, estuarine residence	All populations	predation of smolts in estuary
Predation	Estuary	Natural	High	Estuary and Lower River	3 in 10 vears	freshwater entrv	All population in low water years	Predation of adults in estuary
Harvest	,		1 U			,		
Harvest	Marine	Human	high	All ocean rearing	Annually	Deep-water marine	All populations	over harvest in commercial an
Harvest	Freshwater	Human	Moderate	Lower river	Annually	freshwater entry	All populations	harvest in FNs fishery

CK-22: Cowichan/Koksilah

ents
duced incubation survival
nnel habitat and tributaries with rapid decreases in flow
ccess and sustain quality/quantity of seasonally available OC habitat and tween March/May
with low DO
aturely displace juveniles downstream and reduce overall fry survival
butary and off-channel habitat due to diking
habitat
3
e interface habitat for smolts
d reduced fry survival due to ballast dumping, industrial discharge and ary
aring capacity of Cowichan estuary due to competition with invasives
eates a migration barrier in lower Cowichan mainstem during summer and
through lower mainstem due to channelization, loss of habitat complexity and
efuge habitat in close proximity to spawning habitat
lower river/estuary during late summer/early fall migration period can increase ethal stress.
s during late summer/early fall migration (coliforms, deleterious substances)
bads can reduce spawning habitat quality by compacting gravel
avel recruitment to mainstem spawning habitat
antity of good quality spawning habitat
cies
Cowichan Lake fishway, tributary culverts

Falls and possibly Marie Canyon ue to counting fence

t, river otters, pinnipeds, birds, etc.

by pinnipeds

and lower river by pinnipeds

nd recreational fishery
Potential Threat	Subcategory	Natural or Human	Potential Severity	Extent of Threat	Freq. ⁸⁰	Affected Life Stage(s)	Affected Populations	Condition	Specific Concerns / Comments
Habitat			·					l	•
Environmental conditions	Water flow	Human	Low	Spawning habitat	3	egg, alevin	Upper River	data gap	Low water flows that dewater redds. Com
Environmental conditions	Water flow	Natural/Human	Very Low	Spawning habitat	2	egg, alevin	All populations	Increasing	More frequent and higher peak flows ove and herring.
Environmental conditions	Water flow	Natural	Very Low	River / tributaries	1	fry	Stamp, Somas, Sproat	Unknown	Increased stranding in isolated off-channel
Environmental conditions	Water flow	Natural/Human	Low	Tributaries	3	fry	Great Central	Stable	Increased stranding in isolated off-channel logging activity.
Environmental conditions	Water flow	Natural/Human	Moderate	River / tributaries	3	fry, smolt	All populations	Stable	High flows
Environmental conditions	Water guality		Very Low	Lower river	3	Adults	All populations	Increasing	Limited or delayed access due to condition
Environmental conditions	Water quality	Natural/Human	Low	Estuary/lower riv.	3	Adults	All populations	Increasing	High water temperatures during late sum and forest cut rate.
Environmental conditions	Water quality	Natural/Human	Very Low	Estuary	1	Adults	All populations	Increasing	Poor conditions during the late summer/e substances). Influences include natural a
Environmental conditions	Water quality	Human	High	Lower River	5	fry, smolt	All populations	Increasing/data gap	Poor water guality (temperature, TSS, DC
Water quality			Unknown	Estuary		smolt	All populations	Unknown	Mortality or reduced fitness resulting in fa
Habitat degradation	Urban/indust. dev't	Human	Very High	Estuary	5	smolt	All populations	information gap	Low early marine survival due to lack of f
Habitat degradation	Urban/indust. dev't	Human	Very High	Estuary	5	smolt	All populations	Increasing	Loss of good quality foreshore, estuarine
Habitat degradation	River bed		High	Spawning habitat	4	egg, alevin	Lower river and tributaries	Stable/data gap	Egg mortality due to abundant and right s
Habitat degradation	River bed		Very Low	Spawning habitat	2	egg, alevin	Upper Stamp	Unknown	Egg mortality due to abundant and right s
Habitat degradation	River bed		Very High	Spawning habitat	5	egg, alevin	All populations	information gap	Gravel quality and quantity
Habitat degradation	Urban dev't and channelization	Human	Very High	Lower River	5	fry, smolts	All populations	Stable	Lack of in-stream complexity and riparian
Habitat degradation	Urban dev't	Human	High	Estuary	4	smolt	All populations	Stable	Loss of suitable habitat
Habitat degradation	Aggradation	Natural/Human	Very Low	Lower River	1	Adults	All populations	Unknown	Creating a migration barrier during summ
Habitat degradation	Sedimentation	Human	High	Spawning habitat	5	egg, alevin, fry	All populations	Increasing/data gap	High suspended sediment loads
Habitat degradation	Invasive species	Human	Very Low	Spawning habitat	1	egg, alevin, fry	Upper River	Unknown	Feeding by invasive koi
Habitat degradation	Pollution	Human	Moderate	Estuary	3	smolt	All populations	Stable	Decreased water quality
Habitat degradation		Natural/Human	Very Low	Lower River	1	Adults	All populations	Unknown	Loss of safe migration route due to chanr
Habitat degradation				River		fry			Lack of suitable habitat
Food availability			Moderate	River	4	fry, smolt	All populations	Increasing/data gap	Lack of food
Disturbance	Invasive species		Very Low	Spawning habitat	1	Egg, Alevin	Upper river	Unknown	Redd disturbance
Anthropogenic Disturb.		Human	Very Low	Spawning habitat	4	Adults	All populations	Increasing	Disturbance to spawning activity
Anthropogenic Disturb.		Human	Very Low	Spawning habitat	1	Egg	Upper river	Unknown	Redd disturbance
Anthropogenic Disturb.		Human	Very Low	River	1	fry, smolts	All populations	Unknown	Mortality or fitness impacts
Anthropogenic Disturb.		Human	Very Low	Estuary	1	smolt	All populations	Unknown	Mortality or reduced fitness from disturba
Other salmon species	Chum	Natural	Very Low	Spawning habitat	1	Egg		Unknown	Chum overspawn that disturbs Chinook r
Competition	Invasive species		Very Low	Lower river	1	fry, smolt	All populations	Unknown	Competition with aquatic invasive species
Competition / predation	Invasive species		Very Low	Estuary	1	smolt	All populations	Unknown	Competition and predation by aquatic inv
Predation	Pinnipeds	Natural/Human	Low	Estuary/lower riv.	4	Adults	All populations	Increasing	Safe holding habitat in estuary and lower and urban development.
Predation	Pinnipeds	Natural/Human	Moderate	Estuary/lower riv.	4	Adults	All populations	Increasing	During low water, high temperature, and channelization, and natural ecosystem fa
Predation		Natural	Very Low	Spawning habitat	5	Adults	All populations	Unknown	Predation on spawning grounds
Predation	Other species	Natural	High	River	4	fry, smolts	All populations	Stable/information gap	Mortality as a result of high levels of pred
Predation	Other species	Natural	High	Estuary		smolt	All populations	Stable	Predation of smolts in the estuary
Predation	Other fish species	Natural	Very Low	Spawning habitat	4	Egg, Alevin	Upper river	Increasing	Predation by sculpins, trout, pike minnow

CK-31: SWVI (Somass system only)

npeting human uses. r winter can scour/disturb redds. Influences include climate change el habitat and tributaries with decreases in flow el habitat and tributaries with decreases in flow. Influences include ons such as water temperature, DO, or flow mer/early fall migration period. Influences include climate change early fall migration period (low DO, coliform levels, deleterious nd pollution. D, pH, hardness, supersaturation) ailure to smolt ood and reduced water quality and nearshore habitat size gravel size gravel complexity. her and early fall periods. Influences include logging activities. nelization, loss of habitat complexity, and instream cover features nce edds asive species river during good flow regimes. Influences include channelization tide related. Influences also by urban development and ctors. lation , cutthroat, crayfish, etc.

⁸⁰ Temporal Frequency: how often in 10years will this happen? (1 rarely to 5 frequent)

Potential Threat	Subcategory	Natural or	Potential	Extent of Threat	Freq. ⁸⁰	Affected Life	Affected Populations	Condition	Specific Concerns / Comments
		Human	Severity			Stage(s)			
Harvest		·						•	
Fisheries management	Enumeration	Human	Low	River	4	Adults	All populations	Stable	Delays in upstream migration due to fishw
Fisheries management	Enforcement	Human	Low	River	5	Adults	All populations	Stable/information gap	Unauthorized fishing
Hatchery Production									
Hatchery impacts	Competition	Human	High	River	5	fry	All populations	Stable/data gap	Competition with hatchery fry
Pathogens and Disease									
Disease		Natural/Human	Low	River	2	fry, smolt	All populations	Stable	Mortality of fitness impacts. Influences inc
Disease				Estuary					Mortality of fitness impacts

ways, blockages, counting fences, etc.

clude hatchery and natural environment.

Area	CU Index	CU Name	Adult Run Timing	Major Juvenile Type	# Time Series for Analysis ¹	Status	CWT Indicator Stock [strong] [weak]	Ocean distrib. pattern	Total Exploitation (avg 1999- 2011)	Top 2 Fisheries (avg 1999-2011)	Panel: ER > Adj E _{MSY} ¹	Habitat pressure – # of watersheds by cumulative risk rating (Full CU) ²		Habitat pre high/mod	Mod/high enhancement		
												High	Mod	Low	FULL CU	Spawning	
Fraser River Conse	ervation Units												-				
						▼	Harrison	Local	35%	11% in WCVI troll, 5% in SoG		11	1	2		100%	
Fraser-Lower	CK-03	LFR-fall	Fall	ocean	1					sport					86%		
	CK-04	LFR-spring ²	Spring	stream	1		(Dome)					9	11	29	40%	75%	
	CK-05	LFR-UPITI	Summer	stream	1		(Dome)					5	/	1/	41%	75%	
	CK-06	LFR-summer	Summer	stream	1		(Dome)					23	29	68	43%	/9% 100%	-
	GK-07	Mana	Summer	ocean	I		Chilliwack		30%	6% in WCV/I troll 6% torminal			0	0	100%	100%	-
		(D)HatabY ED	Fall	00000	1		Chilliwack	LUCAI	5078	sport							-
Fraser-THOM	CK-9000	STh-0.3	Summer	ocean	4 (1)		Shuswan			зрыт		137	56	21	90%	100%	
	CK-14	STh-1.3	Summer	stream	2		(Dome)					40	18	19	75%	92%	
	CK-15	STh-SHUR	Summer	ocean	2	?	Shuswap	Far North	52%	10% NBC sport. 7% NBC troll	YES	33	15	1	98%	100%	•
	CK-16	STh-BESS	Summer	stream	4	?	Nicola			in the state of th		14	2	0	101%	100%	
						▼	Nicola	Offshore	33%	6% terminal FN net, 5%		191	59	8			•
	CK-17	LTh	Spring	stream	6 (3)					Nicola mouth sport					97%	100%	
	CK-18	NTh-spr	Spring	stream	2	▼	(Dome)					36	17	27	66%	100%	
	CK-19	NTh-sum	Summer	stream	5		(Dome)					73	30	30	78%	80%	
	CK-82	Adams-upper	Summer	ocean	1		(Dome)					5	11	16	50%	66%	
Fraser-Upper	CK-08	NAHAT	Spring	stream	1	?	(Dome)					5	9	20	41%	57%	
	CK-09	Portage	Fall	stream	1		(Dome)					10	3	9	59%	80%	
	CK-10	MER summor	Spring	stream	12(7)		(Dorrie)					409	200 135	338	00% 70%	80% 97%	
	GR-11		Summer	Sueam	0 (2)	V	(Dome)	Offshore	69% (to 2006)	10% term EN net 12% luan	YES	163	132	213	19/0	01 /0	
	CK-12	LIER-spring	Spring	stroom	27 (6)		(Donic)	Chonord	00 /0 (10 2000)	de Fuca sport	120	100	102	210	58%	76%	_
Coastal Conservat	ion Units	Of IX-Spring	oping	Silean	21 (0)										5070	1070	
							SUM (Col. R.					17	7	1			
GS+OK	CK-01	OK ³	Summer	stream	1		Summers)								96%	n/a	
							NSF (Puget					8	0	0			•
	CK-02	BB	Fall	ocean	1		Sound)								100%	100%	
	CK-20	SC+GStr ⁴	Fall	ocean	6 (14)	?	Big Qualicum					35	25	117	34%	36%	_
	CK-21	Goldstr	Fall	ocean	1		Cowichan	1	050/	070/ 0.0	VEO	2	0	0	100%	100%	
	014 00						Cowicnan	Local	65%	27% SoG sport, 9% WCVI	TES	19	6	5			-
	CK-22	CWCH-KOK	Fall	ocean	1 (4)	•	Dura the stars			troll		0	0	4	83%	75%	
	UK-23	Nank-spr	Spring	stream	I	í V	Puntledge	Far North	20%	10% SoC apart 7% NPC		2	2	4	50%	33%	
	CK 93	midE\/Loum	Cummor		2 (1)		Fundeuge		2370	nu% SUG Sport, 7% NBC		21	22	22	0.00/	040/	-
	CK-03	IIIUE VI-SUIII	Summer	ocean	2(1)		Nanaimo		47%	24% SoC sport 6% torm	VES	11	3	8	02 %	04%	
	CK 25	midE\/I foll	Fall	00000	2 (2)		Nanaimo		41 /0	2478 SOG Sport, 078 territ.	120		5	0	610/	100%	
	CK-25		Fall	ocean	2 (2) 4 (2)		Big Qualicum	Far North	40%	9% SoG sport 8% NBC sport		28	з	23	04 % 58%	100%	
WCVI/NEVI/USC	CK-27	SC+SFi ⁵	Fall	ocean	<u>4 (2)</u> 10		Big Qualicum		1070			7	10	131	12%	26%	
WOV//NEV//000	014-20	001013	i aii	ocean	10		Quinsam	Far North	41%	15% NBC sport 1% SoG		61	53	42	1270	2070	-
	CK-29		Fall	ocean	5 (1)					sport					73%	100%	
	017 20		i ali	005011	5(1)	V	Robertson	Far North	56%	13% term comm net 11%	YES	51	46	50	10/0	100/0	
	CK-31	SWVI	Fall	ocean	20 (20)					term sport					66%	60%	
	CK-32	NoKv ⁶	Fall	ocean	21 (3)		Robertson					37	27	22	74%	100%	
	CK-33	NWVI	Fall	ocean	2 (2)		Robertson					18	8	0	90%	83%	•
	CK-34	HOMATH	Summer	stream	Ò́	?	Atnarko					0	16	23	8%	25%	
	CK-35	KLINA	Summer	stream	1 (1)	?	Atnarko					4	35	39	17%	n/a	

Appendix D. **CU Summary Table**

¹ See Riddell et al. (2013)

² From southern BC Chinook salmon habitat report cards (Porter et al. 2015)
³ In the 2013 analyses, C K-04 and CK-12 fell within the definition of "moderate-high" enhancement but would likely be considered "unknown-low" because enhancement activity stopped just over 3 generations ago.

Appendix E. Assessment Summary for Southern BC Chinook

This table provides a summary of the current state of assessment monitoring for southern BC Chinook across all CUs. A stoplight approach is used for qualitative ratings – in general, green indicates the program elements are established and adequate, yellow indicates that program elements are in place but inadequate, and red indicates that the program elements are either not in place at all or completely inadequate (details for the thematic classification of each field are included below the table). PST CTC Mgt. Unit – Pacific Salmon Treaty Chinook Technical Committee management units. DU - Designatable Unit (i.e., the unit used in the COSEWIC status assessment).

	PST CTC Mgt. Unit	Proposed DU Name	CU	Conservation Unit Name	Life History	Ocean Distribution	CWT Indicator	Rivers with type 1 or 2 escapement	Rivers with escapement est, by age	Rivers Assessed (2015) ¹	Total Rivers	Draft WSP Status ²	Forecast	
	ser ite	PC Lower Frager Diver Ocean Fall	CK-03	CK_Lower Fraser River_FA_0.3	Ocean (Immediate)	Local	Harrison R	1	1	1	1	GREEN (p)	Y	Harrison River
	Fra La	DC LOWEI Flaser River Ocean Fair	CK- 9008	Fraser-Harrison fall transplant_FA_0.3	Ocean (Immediate)	Local	Chilliwack/ Vedder R.	0	1	1	1	TBD	Y	Chilliwack/Harrison Chi
		BC Lower Fraser River Stream Spring	CK-04	CK_Lower Fraser River_SP_1.3	Stream	Far north migrating (Birkenhead)	None	0	0	1	3	DD*	Ν	Birkenhead, Ryan and status should be re-eva
	ing 1.3	BC Middle Fraser River Stream Spring (FRCany+GStr)	CK-08	CK_Middle Fraser-Fraser Canyon_SP_1.3	Stream	Offshore	None	0	0	0	1	DD	Ν	Nahatlatch River, presu
	ser Spr	BC Middle Fraser River Stream Spring (MFR+GStr)	CK-10	CK_Middle Fraser River_SP_1.3	Stream	Offshore	None	0	0	10	19	RED	Ν	Mid Fraser springs
	Frae	BC Upper Fraser River Stream Spring	CK-12	CK_Upper Fraser River_SP_1.3	Stream	Offshore	None	0	0	18	26	RED	Ν	Upper Fraser springs
		BC North Thompson Stream Spring	CK-18	CK_North Thompson_SP_1.3	Stream	Offshore	None	0	0	1	8	RED	Ν	North Thompson spring surveyed some years, I
	raser pring 1.2	BC South Thompson Stream Summer	CK-16	CK_South Thompson-Bessette Creek_SU_1.2	Stream	Offshore?	None (Nicola?)	0	0	4	4	RED*	Ν	Lots of issues with relat should be reviewed
ver	т Л	BC Lower Thompson Stream Spring	CK-17	CK_Lower Thompson_SP_1.2	Stream	Offshore	Nicola R	3 ³	14	6	8	RED	Ν	Well covered with Nicol
Fraser Ri		BC Lower Fraser River Stream	CK-05	CK_Lower Fraser River-Upper Pitt_SU_1.3	Stream	Unknown⁵	None	0	0	1	1	DD	Ν	Upper Pitt poorly under Distribution unknown. U Pitt
side -	٣.	Summer	CK-06	CK_Lower Fraser River_SU_1.3	Stream	Unknown⁵	None	0	0	2	9	DD	Ν	Chilliwack summers, Li smaller systems like Big
Ч	nmer 1	BC Middle Fraser River Stream Fall	CK-09	CK_Middle Fraser River - Portage_FA_1.3	Stream	Offshore?	None	0	0	1	1	RED	Ν	Strange genetics. Does Distribution inferred but
	ser Sur	BC Middle Fraser River Stream Summer	CK-11	CK_Middle Fraser River-SU_1.3	Stream	Offshore	Chilko R (proposed)	1	16	9	16	AMBER	Ν	Stuart, Taseko, Cariboo at Chilko, and work to c
	Fras	BC South Thompson Stream Summer	CK-14	CK_South Thompson_SU_1.3	Stream	Offshore	None (Chilko?)	17	0	2	4	RED AMBER	N	Poorly understood grou
		BC North Thompson Stream Summer	CK-19	CK_North Thompson_SU_1.3	Stream	Offshore	None (Chilko?)	0	0	5	6	RED	Ν	Barriere, North Thomps
	0.3	BC Lower Fraser River Ocean Summer	CK-07	CK_Maria Slough_SU_0.3	Ocean (90 day)	Far north migrating	None (Lwr Shuswap)	0	0	1	1	TBD	Ν	Maria Slough
	mmer		CK-13	CK_South Thompson_SU_0.3	Ocean (90-150-day)	Far north migrating	None (Lwr Shuswap)	0	4	4	4	GREEN	Ν	Largest returns in Interi these stocks
	iser Su	BC South Thompson Ocean Summer	CK-15	CK_Shuswap River_SU_0.3	Ocean (90-150-day)	Far north migrating	Lower Shuswap R	1 ⁸	2	2	3	TBD	Ν	Lower and Mid Shuswa
	Fra		CK-82	CK_Upper Adams River_SU_x.x	Ocean?	Far north migrating?	None (Lwr Shuswap)	0	0	0	1	DD	Ν	Remnant of two recolor Thompson Spring 1.3)

Comments

inook transplanted

Green. 1980's Birkenhead tags mostly recovered in SEAK troll. *CU aluated after review of enhancement level definition

umed offshore, sporadic escapement work with Sox program

gs. Many systems not surveyable due to glacial turbidity. Blue Finn Creek is type 5 survey in reality

tionship between Bessette stocks and Mid Shuswap. *CU definition

bla (MR), Deadman and Bonaparte (resistivity counts). Nicola CWTs rstood, due to glacial turbidity. Count is on one clear tributary. Unknown if escapement in Blue Cr. Is an index of return to Upper

illooet summers. Difficult to enumerate other than Chilliwack and ig Silver

not fit with rest of mid Fraser. May be from different ancestry. uncertain. Small population.

o difficult to assess due to turbidity. Some DIDSON at Taseko. MR develop indicator.

up. Not clear if Chilko would be appropriate indicator.

son, Clearwater, Mahood etc.

rior currently. Not currently feasible to run type 1 or 2 project on

ар

nization attempts. Broodstocks were Finn Creek (Upper North and Lower Shuswap (Shuswap Summer 0.3)

	PST CTC Mgt. Unit	Proposed DU Name	CU	Conservation Unit Name	Life History	Ocean Distribution	CWT Indicator	Rivers with type 1 or 2 escapement	Rivers with escapement	Rivers Assessed (2015) ¹	Total Rivers	Draft WSP Status ²	Forecast	
		BC Southern Mainland - Boundary Bay Ocean Fall	CK-02	CK_Boundary Bay_FA_0.3	Ocean	Local?	None Nooksack?, Samish?	0		0	3	TBD	N	
	sentec	BC South Coast - Georgia Strait Ocean Fall	CK-20	CK_Southern Mainland-Georgia Strait_FA_0.x	Ocean	Far north migrating?	None (Big Qualicum?)	1		1	15	DD	Ν	
	Jnrepre	BC East Vancouver Island Stream Spring	CK-23	CK_East Vancouver Island- Nanaimo_SP_1.x	Stream	Local?	None	0		0	1	DD	Ν	
		BC East Vancouver Island Ocean Summer	CK-83	Vancouver Island-Georgia Strait_SU_0.3	Ocean	Far North Migrating	Puntledge Summers	0		4	4	TBD	Ν	Includes Cowichan Sur population from the Co also may not be a sepa
	trait		CK-21	CK_East Vancouver Island- Goldstream_FA_0.x	Ocean	Local?	Cowichan	1		1	1	TBD	Ν	
Inside	orgia S SS)	BC East Vancouver Island Ocean	CK-22	CK_East Vancouver Island- Cowichan & Koksilah_FA_0.x	Ocean	Local	Cowichan	1		2	3	TBD	Ν	
	/er Ge((LC	Fall	CK-25	CK_East Vancouver Island- Nanaimo & Chemainus_FA_0.x	Ocean	Local	None (was Nanaimo)	0		2	2	TBD	Ν	
	Low		CK-27	CK_East Vancouver Island- Qualicum & Puntledge_FA_0.x	Ocean	Far North Migrating	Big Qualicum	0		4	6	TBD	Ν	
	<u>و</u> ر ا	BC South Coast - Southern Fjords Ocean Fall	CK-28	CK_Southern Mainland-Southern Fjords_FA_0.x	Ocean	Far North Migrating	Phillips				25	DD	Ν	
	Strait o (UGS	BC East Vancouver Island Ocean Fall (EVI + SFj)	CK-29	CK_East Vancouver Island- North_FA_0.x	Ocean	Far North Migrating	Quinsam				14	RED	Ν	
	Jpper (BC Southern Mainland Ocean Summer	CK-34	CK_Homathko_SU_x.x	Ocean	Far North Migrating	None	0			2	DD	Ν	
		BC Southern Mainland Stream Summer	CK-35	CK_Klinaklini_SU_1.3	Stream	Far North Migrating	None	0			2	DD	Ν	Fishwheel program pro Glacially turbid system
a	sl. (WCVI)	BC West Vancouver Island Ocean Fall (South)	CK-31	CK_West Vancouver Island- South_FA_0.x	Ocean	Far North Migrating	Robertson	1		34	49	RED	Y	Stamp falls has counter surveyed at least once Gracie Creek, Taylor F River, Nitinat River, Cle River, Cypre River, Me
Outsid	Coast Van. I	BC West Vancouver Island Ocean Fall (Nootka & Kyuquot)	СК-32	CK_West Vancouver Island- Nootka & Kyuquot_FA_0.x	Ocean	Far North Migrating	None (Robertson)	1		24	43	RED	Y	MR at the Burman Rive Enumeration Class Typ River, Tahsis River, Th Creek, Gold River, Littl
	West	BC West Vancouver Island Ocean Fall (North)	CK-33	CK_West Vancouver Island- North_FA_0.x	Ocean	Far North Migrating	None (Robertson)	0		3	10	TBD	Y	Systems assessed are to be Utluh Creek. Utlu at all.

¹ Estimate of Type-5 or better. ² Brown et al. 2016c (WSP Assessment). ³ Nicola MR; Bonaparte and Deadmas resistivity counts but no biosampling. ⁴ Uncertain if Nicola ages and sex reflect wild escapements at other sites. ⁵ Far north migrating? Likely far north due to ancestry. ⁶ Would be green if test fishery ages are reasonably represented. ⁷ Salmon River at Salmon Arm broodstock and enumeration fence. ⁸ Lower Shuswap. Sometimes do MR at Mid Shuswap if funding available.

Thematic c	lassification key:			
	PST CTC Management Unit	Life History	Ocean Distribution	CWT Indicator
Green	Unit is homogenous (1 life history type and single marine distribution)	Known	Known from tags, or strong genetic evidence	CWT indicator in CU that represents CU well in terms of distribution and maturation
Yellow	Unit is not homogenous (i.e., differences in life history or run timing)		Inferred by tags or genetics	No indicator in CU but one in neighbouring CU that represents indicator well in te
Red	Not represented within the CTC management framework at all	Unknown	Unknown	No existing, appropriate indicator

Comments	
----------	--

Immers however we are unclear whether these are a separate owichan Falls at this time. Also includes Chemainus Summers which arate timed population

oduced successful mark-recapture in KlinaKlini in early 2000's. Is with substantial returns, currently under-assessed.

ers on the Somas River. Out of the 34 assessed, each system was e. Enumeration Class Type 5 or better: Somass River, Ash River, River, Drinkwater Creek, McBride Creek, Tranquil Creek, San Juan emens Creek, Nahmint River, Sarita River, Toquaht River, Bedwell egin River.

er. Out of the 24 assessed, each system was surveyed at least once. pe 5 or better: Burman River, Leiner River, Kaouk River, Conuma upana River, Artlish River, Malksope River, Tahsish River, Espinosa le Zeballos River, Oktwanch River, Zeballos River, Kauwinch River. Marble, Colonial, and Cayeghle. Note: Colonial Creek was thought uh Creek should be removed from the files as it doesn't produce fish

on
rms of ocean distribution